Structure of Hf(IV) in aqueous solution - An ab initio QM/MM MD approach
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Abstract—An ab initio 2-body analytical potential function was constructed to describe Hf(IV)-water interactions. Classical and combined QM/MM molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have been performed to study the structure of the hydrated Hf(IV) ion. The influence of 3-body and higher (n-body) terms were investigated. The hydration structure of Hf(IV) is discussed in terms of radial distribution functions (RDF), coordination numbers (CND), and angular distribution functions (ADF). The results of the QM/MM MD simulations have been found to be in good agreement with experimental values, proving that 3-body and n-body effects play an important role in the description of the structure of the hydrated Hf(IV) ion.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Hafnium and zirconium coexist in nature. Both of them are used in nuclear reactors. Zirconium is used as a structural and container material while hafnium as a control material in water-cooled nuclear reactors. It is well known that elements have very similar chemical properties and are referred to as chemical isotopes [3]. Hafnium has a high thermal neutron capture while pure or reactor-grade zirconium (hafnium-free) has a low neutron capture; hence, for most nuclear applications, reactor-grade zirconium is required. For this reason, it is necessary to separate the hafnium from the zirconium, although complicated separation methods are required to remove the hafnium. One of that separation methods is solvent extraction. The reaction for the extraction of the metal ion may be expressed as follows [3]:

\[
[M^{z+}]_{aq} + (m/2)[R_2H_2]_{org} = [MR_z(m-z)RH]_{org} + z[H^+]_{aq}
\]  

It is clear that more easily M\(^{z+}\) ions enter in organic phase more larger M\(^{z+}\) ions can be extracted. However, M\(^{z+}\) ions in water undergo hydration so that the simple or not extraction will depend on the structure of the hydration of M\(^{z+}\) ions. The rigidity of the structure of hydration caused M\(^{z+}\) ions hard to get out of the water phase.

The EXAFS studies of Hf(OH\(_2\))\(^4+\)\(_{aq}\) at concentrations of 0.5 M have yielded average coordination numbers of 8 and average H–O distances of 2.16 Å [2]. Several recent investigations of solvated ions have proven that MD simulations are suitable tools to obtain realistic data of solvation structures [6], if the QM/MM approach is performed to include many-body effects, using at least double zeta basis sets for the Hartree–Fock ab initio treatment of the quantum mechanical region. In this paper, we focused on study of structure of hydrated Hf(IV) ion by an ab initio QM/MM approach.

II. METHODS

2.1. Potential Functions.

The 2-body potential for the Hf(IV)–water interaction was newly constructed, applying DZP basis sets for oxygen and hydrogen and the modified LANL2DZ ECP basis for hafnium[15]. More than 8000 Hartree–Fock interaction energy points cal-culated by the TURBOMOLE 5.10 program were fitted to an analytical form by a least square error minimization using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm[1]:

\[
\Delta F_{2bd}^{Fu} = \frac{q_0q_{Hf(IV)}}{r} + \frac{A_H}{r^5} + \frac{B_H}{r^9} + \frac{C_H}{r^{11}} + \frac{D_H}{r^{12}}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \frac{q_Hq_{Hf(IV)}}{r_i} + \frac{A_H}{r_i^5} + \frac{B_H}{r_i^9} + \frac{C_H}{r_i^{11}} + \frac{D_H}{r_i^{12}} \right)
\]

(2)

The A, B, C and D are fitting parameters for oxygen and hydrogen. All of them are given in Table 1. The values of -0.65966 and 0.32983 were adopted for q\(_0\) and q\(_H\) in accordance with the charges of the flexible BJH–CF2 water model used in the MM region. The experimental gas phase geometry of water was fixed (O–H distance of 0.9601 Å and H–O–H angle of 104.47°). The minimum energy for the Hf–H\(_2\)O interaction is -144.39 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at a distance of 2.1 Å.
Table 1 Final optimized parameters for the interactions of O and H atoms of water with Hf(IV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_O$ (kcal/mol Å$^5$)</td>
<td>-9871.767</td>
<td>385214.691</td>
<td>-1706235.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_O$ (kcal/mol Å$^3$)</td>
<td>1463076.988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_O$ (kcal/mol Å$^{11}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_O$ (kcal/mol Å$^{12}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_H$ (kcal/mol Å$^4$)</td>
<td>-570.786</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_H$ (kcal/mol Å$^3$)</td>
<td>2674.223</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_H$ (kcal/mol Å$^6$)</td>
<td>-2092.776</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_H$ (kcal/mol Å$^{12}$)</td>
<td>284.542</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Hf-O and Hf-H radial distribution functions (RDFs) and their running integration numbers obtained from classical and QM/MM simulations are displayed in Figure 1 and the main structural parameters are listed in Table 3. The maximum occurrence for the Hf-O and Hf-H distances in the first hydration shell obtained from the QM/MM simulation were observed at 2.335 and 2.855 Å. The Hf-O distance is in good agreement with experimental values (2.2 ± 0.02 Å) [7].

Table 3 Hydration parameters for Hf(IV) in water obtained from QM/MM and classical MD simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Classical</th>
<th>QM/MM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_{Hf-O}$ (1$^{st}$ maximum of peaks)</td>
<td>2.345</td>
<td>2.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{2Hf-O}$ (2$^{nd}$ maximum of peaks)</td>
<td>3.095</td>
<td>2.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN (first hydration shell)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN (second hydration shell)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Hf angle ($^\circ$)</td>
<td>66/128</td>
<td>74/141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilt angle for 1$^{st}$ hydration shell</td>
<td>-10/10</td>
<td>-10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta angle for 2$^{nd}$ hydration shell</td>
<td>170/178</td>
<td>170/178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Hf-O and Hf-H radial distribution functions and their running integration numbers for Hf(IV)–water obtained by QM/MM–MD simulations.

The maximum of the first peak in the Hf-O radial distribution function corresponding to the first hydration shell is located at 2.335 Å, while the peak corresponding to the second hydration shell is centered at 4.8 Å. The first peak is very sharply pointed and narrow indicating a highly structured rigid first hydration shell. Furthermore, this shell is well separated from the second shell, i.e. the RDF is practically zero in the inter-shell region within a range of about 1 Å, indicating that within the simulation time no exchange occurs. It can also know more clearly from Figure 2 that showed no

2.2. QM/MM–MD simulation

The system consisted of one Hf(IV) ion and 499 water molecules in a periodic cube at a temperature of 298.16 K and constant volume. The radial cutoff limit was set to 12.0 Å. The quantum mechanical region includes metal ion and first shell was given a radius of 3.8 Å in accordance with RDF data from classic MD simulations with pair potential plus three-body corrections. A reaction field was established to properly account for long-range Coulombic interactions, and the density of 0.99702 g cm$^{-3}$ was assumed to be the same as that of pure water. The reaction field method was used to correct the cutoff of long-range electrostatic interactions. The Newtonian equations of motion were treated by a predictor–corrector algorithm. A time step of 0.2 fs was chosen, since the BJH-CF2 water model allows explicit hydrogen movements [1,4,10,11].

The simulation was carried out with the QM/MM–MD program developed in Austrian-Indonesian Centre for Computational Chemistry for the calculation of the ab initio forces in the QM region. The basis sets used for evaluation of QM forces were the same as in the calculation of the 2-body and 3-body potential functions. The system was equilibrated with a starting configuration obtained from a previous classical MD simulation. Data for structural evaluation was sampled within 12.0 ps.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

More 17000 water–Hf(IV) ion–water configurations were used in the construction of the 3-body potential. The resulting 3-body energies were fitted to the following functional form to be used as a correction for the 2-body potential [8,9]

$$\Delta E_{3b}^{corr} = A_1 \exp(-A_2 r_{Hf(IV)-O_1}) \exp(-A_2 r_{Hf(IV)-O_2}) \times \exp(-A_3 r_{O_1-O_2}) (r_{cut} - r_{Hf(IV)-O_1})^2$$

$$\times (r_{cut} - r_{Hf(IV)-O_2})^2$$ (3)

$r_{Hf(IV)-O}$ is the Hf(IV) ion–oxygen distances for water molecules, and $r_{O_1-O_2}$ is the oxygen–oxygen distance between both water molecules. The cutoff radius $r_{cut}$ is set to 6.0 Å after which three-body effects are negligible. The last, quadratic term ensures that energy and forces smoothly approach zero at $r_{cut}$. The optimized parameters $A_1$, $A_2$, and $A_3$ are listed in Table 2.

Table 2 The optimized parameters $A_1$, $A_2$, and $A_3$ for interactions H$_2$O-Hf-H$_2$O

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$(kcal/mol)</td>
<td>0.1080313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$(Å$^3$)</td>
<td>0.3089268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_3$(Å$^2$)</td>
<td>-0.2259769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulation was carried out with the QM/MM–MD program developed in Austrian-Indonesian Centre for Computational Chemistry for the calculation of the ab initio forces in the QM region. The basis sets used for evaluation of QM forces were the same as in the calculation of the 2-body and 3-body potential functions. The system was equilibrated with a starting configuration obtained from a previous classical MD simulation. Data for structural evaluation was sampled within 12.0 ps.

Fig. 1. Hf-O and Hf-H radial distribution functions and their running integration numbers for Hf(IV)–water obtained by QM/MM–MD simulations.
ligand migration occurs from the first hydration shell to the second hydration shell or vice versa.

Figure 2. Variation of Hf(IV) ion-oxygen distances during the QM/MM simulation, showing no exchange processes between the first and second hydration shells.

The running integration numbers as derived from the Hf–O RDF predict a first shell coordination number of 8. The running integration number of the Hf–H RDF at the first minimum is exactly twice as much supporting the notion of two clearly isolated hydration spheres. The Hf–O RDF also shows that the structure-making effect of the cation extends up to distances of about 7Å, but the lack of a correspondence to the broad third Hf–O peak in the Hf–H RDF shows these third shell effects to be rather weak. The classical 2-body potential simulation would predict a tenfold first hydration shell in clear contrast to the higher level QM/MM–MD simulation. Obviously, the simple form of Eq. (2) alone is not sufficient to properly describe the structure of this Hf(IV) ion. Hence, we concentrate on the QM/MM–MD simulation.

The detailed coordination number distributions from first and second shells are shown in Fig. 3. The first hydration shell exhibits 100% of octacoordination. The second shell, in contrast, is rather dynamic with appreciable populations ranging from 14 to 20, indicating a rapid exchange with the bulk (compare to the strongly non-zero RDF at the minimum after the second peak). The highest occurrence of coordination number of the second hydration shell is 16, i.e. every water in the first shell binds to 2.0 water molecules in the second shell.

The O–Hf–O angular distribution is displayed in Fig. 4. The main peaks are located near 74 and 141°, which represents an almost perfect square antiprismatic geometry. In addition, the peaks are clearly separated from each other showing the low inter-shell flexibility of the first shell, i.e. the water ligands are kept well apart in the field of the metal ion [4,13].

The orientation of the water molecules relative to the ion may be concluded from the vector between the dipole moment and the V–O connection vector (theta angle) which gives further insight into the hydrates structure[14]. We observe an angle distribution with a maximum at 172° with tailing towards approximately 150°, showing a relatively low degree of flexibility of the first shell ligands (Fig. 5). The distribution of the tilt angle (angle between Hf-O connection vector and plane formed by O-H vectors) shows a narrow peak with its maximum at 0° and reaching zero at ±30°. Compared to the tilt angle of La(III)-H2O reaches zero at ±50°, Hf(IV) ion shows a low degree of flexibility within the first shell [11].
The values of H–O–H angles and O–H distances with the highest occurrence in the first hydration shell are 101° and 0.9725 Å, respectively as shown in Fig. 6.

The inclusion of many-body effects in the QM region does not indicate H–O–H angle and O–H distances in the first hydration shell are significantly different compared with those in second hydration shell and bulk [5].

IV. CONCLUSION

Accordingly the results of QM/MM MD simulations, the structure of hydrated Hf(IV) ion is rigid, i.e. low degree of flexibility of the ligands in the first hydration shell. This is a reason why the extraction of Hf(IV) ion in water is difficult to performed. Here, we also want to stress the importance of structural data to understand basic process in solutions, especially the equilibria process in solvent extraction.
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