In Other Words

This book addresses the need for a systematic approach to the training of translators and provides an explicit syllabus which reflects some of the main intricacies involved in rendering a text from one language into another. It explores the relevance of some of the key areas of modern linguistic theory and illustrates how an understanding of these key areas can guide and inform at least some of the decisions that translators have to make. It draws on insights from current research in such areas as lexical studies, text linguistics and pragmatics to maintain a constant link between language, translation, and the social and cultural environment in which both language and translation operate.

*In Other Words* examines various areas of language, ranging from the meaning of single words and expressions to grammatical categories and cultural contexts. Firmly grounded in modern linguistic theory, the book starts at a simple level and grows in complexity by widening its focus gradually. The author explains with clarity and precision the concepts and theoretical positions explored within each chapter and relates these to authentic examples of translated texts in a variety of languages, although a knowledge of English is all that is required to understand the examples presented. Each chapter ends with a series of practical exercises which provide the translator with an opportunity to test the relevance of the issues discussed. This combination of theoretical discussion and practical application provides a sound basis for the study of translation as a professional activity.
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Do we really know how we translate or what we translate? ... Are we to accept ‘naked ideas’ as the means of crossing from one language to another? ... Translators know they cross over but do not know by what sort of bridge. They often re-cross by a different bridge to check up again. Sometimes they fall over the parapet into limbo.

(Firth, 1957: 197)

Translation quality assessment proceeds according to the lordly, but completely unexplained, whimsy of ‘It doesn’t sound right.’

(Fawcett, 1981: 142)
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1 Introduction

Professionals in every walk of life form associations and institutes of various kinds to provide practising members with a forum to discuss and set standards for the profession as a whole, to set examinations, assess competence, and lay codes of conduct. The standards set by a given profession may well be extremely high, but this does not necessarily guarantee recognition by those outside the profession. Notwithstanding the length and breadth of one's experience, recognition, in our increasingly qualification-conscious society, comes mostly with proof of some kind of formal education. Every respectable profession (or every profession which wants to be recognized as such) therefore attempts to provide its members with systematic training in the field. One of the first things that the Institute of Translation and Interpreting of Great Britain did as soon as it was formed was to set up an Education Committee to design and run training courses for members of the profession.

There are two main types of training that a profession can provide for its members: vocational training and academic training. Vocational courses provide training in practical skills but do not include a strong theoretical component. A good example would be a course in plumbing or typing. At the end of a typing course, a student is able to type accurately and at speed and has a piece of paper to prove it. But that is the end of the story; what s/he acquires is a purely practical skill which is recognized by society as 'skilled work' but is not generally elevated to the level of a profession. Like vocational courses, most academic courses set out to teach students how to do a particular job such as curing certain types of illness, building bridges, or writing computer programs. But they do more than that: an academic course always includes a strong theoretical component. The value of this theoretical component is that it encourages students to reflect on what they do, how they do it, and why they do it in one
way rather than another. This last exercise, exploring the advantages and disadvantages of various ways of doing things, is itself impossible to perform unless one has a thorough and intimate knowledge of the objects and tools of one’s work. A doctor cannot decide whether it is better to follow one course of treatment rather than another without understanding such things as how the human body works, what side effects a given medicine may have, what is available to counteract these effects, and so on.

Theoretical training does not necessarily guarantee success in all instances. Things still go wrong occasionally because, in medicine for example, the reaction of the human body and the influence of other factors such as stress will never be totally predictable. But the value of a theoretical understanding of, say, the human apparatus and such things as the nature and make-up of various drugs is that (a) it minimizes the risks involved on any given occasion and prepares the student for dealing with the unpredictable, (b) it gives the practising doctor a certain degree of confidence which comes from knowing that his/her decisions are calculated on the basis of concrete knowledge rather than ‘hunches’ or ‘intuition’, and (c) provides the basis on which further developments in the field may be achieved because it represents a formalized pool of knowledge which is shared and can be explored and extended by the professional community as a whole, not just locally but across the world. Needless to say, this type of theoretical knowledge is itself of no value unless it is firmly grounded in practical experience.

Throughout its long history, translation has never really enjoyed the kind of recognition and respect that other professions such as medicine and engineering enjoy. Translators have constantly complained that translation is underestimated as a profession. In summing up the first conference held by the Institute of Translation and Interpreting in Britain, Professor Bellos (reported by Nick Rosenthal) stated that “The main impetus and concern of this first ITI Conference was the unjustly low status in professional terms of the translator. An appropriate theme, since it was one of the main reasons for the formation of the ITI” (1987: 163). There is no doubt that the low status accorded to translation as a profession is ‘unjust’, but one has to admit that this is not just the fault of the general public. The translation community itself is guilty of underestimating not so much the value as the complexity of the translation process and hence the need for formal professional training in the field. Translators are not yet sure ‘whether translation is a trade, an art, a profession or a business’ (ibid.: 164). Talented translators who have
had no systematic formal training in translation but who have nevertheless achieved a high level of competence through long and varied experience tend to think that the translation community as a whole can achieve their own high standards in the same way:

Our profession is based on knowledge and experience. It has the longest apprenticeship of any profession. Not until thirty do you start to be useful as a translator, not until fifty do you start to be in your prime.

The first stage of the career pyramid – the apprenticeship stage – is the time we devote to investing in ourselves by acquiring knowledge and experience of life. Let me propose a life path: grandparents of different nationalities, a good school education in which you learn to read, write, spell, construe and love your own language. Then roam the world, make friends, see life. Go back to education, but to take a technical or commercial degree, not a language degree. Spend the rest of your twenties and your early thirties in the countries whose languages you speak, working in industry or commerce but not directly in languages. Never marry into your own nationality. Have your children. Then back to a postgraduate translation course. A staff job as a translator, and then go freelance. By which time you are forty and ready to begin.

(Lanna Castellano, 1988: 133)

Lanna’s recommended career path would no doubt work for many people. Her own case proves that it does: she is a widely respected first-class translator. The question is whether it is feasible for most aspiring translators to pursue this career path and whether this approach is right for the profession as a whole, bearing in mind that it stresses, at least for the first thirty or forty years of one’s career, life experience rather than formal academic training. One obvious problem with this career path is that it takes so long to acquire the necessary skills you need as a translator that your career is almost over before it begins.

Lanna Castellano is not opposed to formal academic training; on the contrary, she encourages it and recognizes its value to the profession. But I have met professional translators who actually argue strongly against formal academic training because, they suggest, translation is an art which requires aptitude, practice, and general knowledge – nothing more. The ability to translate is a gift, they say: you either have it or you do not, and theory (almost a dirty word in some translation circles) is therefore irrelevant to the work of a translator. To take the analogy with medicine a step further: if
we accept this line of thinking we will never be seen as anything but
witch doctors and faith healers. And while it may well suit some
individuals to think that they can heal people because they have
magic powers or a special relationship with God, rather than because
they have a thorough and conscious understanding of drugs and of
the human body, the fact remains that witch doctory and faith healing
are not recognized professions and that medicine is.

Most translators prefer to think of their work as a profession and
would like to see others treat them as professionals rather than as
skilled or semi-skilled workers. But to achieve this, translators need
to develop an ability to stand back and reflect on what they do and
how they do it. Like doctors and engineers, they have to prove to
themselves as well as others that they are in control of what they do;
that they do not just translate well because they have a ‘flair’ for
translation, but rather because, like other professionals, they have
made a conscious effort to understand various aspects of their work.

Unlike medicine and engineering, translation is a very young
discipline in academic terms. It is only just starting to feature as a
subject of study in its own right, not yet in all but in an increasing
number of universities and colleges around the world. Like any
young discipline, it needs to draw on the findings and theories of
other related disciplines in order to develop and formalize its own
methods; but which disciplines it can naturally and fruitfully be
related to is still a matter of some controversy. Almost every aspect
of life in general and of the interaction between speech communities
in particular can be considered relevant to translation, a discipline
which has to concern itself with how meaning is generated within and
between various groups of people in various cultural settings. This is
clearly too big an area to investigate in one go. So, let us just start
by saying that, if translation is ever to become a profession in the full
sense of the word, translators will need something other than the
current mixture of intuition and practice to enable them to reflect on
what they do and how they do it. They will need, above all, to acquire
a sound knowledge of the raw material with which they work: to
understand what language is and how it comes to function for its
users.

Linguistics is a discipline which studies language both in its own
right and as a tool for generating meanings. It should therefore have
a great deal to offer to the budding discipline of translation studies;
it can certainly offer translators valuable insights into the nature and
function of language. This is particularly true of modern linguistics,
which no longer restricts itself to the study of language per se but
embraces such sub-disciplines as textlinguistics (the study of text as a communicative event rather than as a shapeless string of words and structures) and pragmatics (the study of language in use rather than language as an abstract system). This book attempts to explore some areas in which modern linguistic theory can provide a basis for training translators and can inform and guide the decisions they have to make in the course of performing their work.

1.1 ABOUT THE ORGANIZATION OF THIS BOOK

The organization of this book is largely hierarchical and is based on a straightforward principle: it starts at the simplest possible level and grows in complexity by widening its focus in each chapter. Chapter 2, ‘Equivalence at word level’, initially adopts a naive building-block approach and explores the ‘meaning’ of single words and expressions. In Chapter 3, ‘Equivalence above word level’, the scope of reference is widened a little by looking at combinations of words and phrases: what happens when words start combining with other words to form conventionalized or semi-conventionalized stretches of language. Chapter 4, ‘Grammatical equivalence’, deals with grammatical categories such as number and gender. Chapters 5 and 6 cover part of what might be loosely termed the textual level of language. Chapter 5 deals with the role played by word order in structuring messages at text level and Chapter 6 discusses cohesion: grammatical and lexical relationships which provide links between various parts of a text. Chapter 7, ‘Pragmatic equivalence’, looks at how texts are used in communicative situations that involve variables such as writers, readers, and cultural context.

The division of language into seemingly self-contained areas such as words, grammar, and text is artificial and open to question. For one thing, the areas are not discrete: it is virtually impossible to say where the concerns of one area end and those of another begin. Moreover, decisions taken at, say, the level of the word or grammatical category during the course of translation are influenced by the perceived function and purpose of both the original text and the translation and have implications for the discourse as a whole. But artificial as it is, the division of language into discrete areas is useful for the purposes of analysis and, provided we are aware that it is adopted merely as a measure of convenience, it can help to pinpoint potential areas of difficulty in translation.

Like the division of language into discrete areas, the term equivalence is adopted in this book for the sake of convenience – because
most translators are used to it rather than because it has any theoretical status. It is used here with the proviso that although equivalence can usually be obtained to some extent, it is influenced by a variety of linguistic and cultural factors and is therefore always relative.

The organization followed in this book is a bottom-up rather than a top-down one: it starts with simple words and phrases rather than with the text as situated in its context of culture. This may seem somewhat at odds with current thinking in linguistic and translation studies. Snell-Hornby (1988: 69) suggests that ‘textual analysis, which is an essential preliminary to translation, should proceed from the “top down”, from the macro to the micro level, from text to sign’, and Hatim and Mason’s model of the translation process (1990) also adopts a top-down approach, taking such things as text-type and context as starting points for discussing translation problems and strategies. The top-down approach is the more valid one theoretically, but for those who are not trained linguists it can be difficult to follow: there is too much to take in all at once. Moreover, an excessive emphasis on ‘text’ and ‘context’ runs the risk of obscuring the fact that although ‘a text is a semantic unit, not a grammatical one ... meanings are realized through wordings; and without a theory of wordings ... there is no way of making explicit one’s interpretation of the meaning of a text’ (Halliday, 1985: xvii). In other words, text is a meaning unit, not a form unit, but meaning is realized through form and without understanding the meanings of individual forms one cannot interpret the meaning of the text as a whole. Translating words and phrases out of context is certainly a futile exercise, but it is equally unhelpful to expect a student to appreciate translation decisions made at the level of text without a reasonable understanding of how the lower levels, the individual words, phrases, and grammatical structures, control and shape the overall meaning of the text. Both the top-down and the bottom-up approaches are therefore valid in their own way; I have opted for the latter for pedagogical reasons – because it is much easier to follow for those who have had no previous training in linguistics.

1.2 EXAMPLES, BACK-TRANSLATIONS AND THE LANGUAGES OF ILLUSTRATION

In each chapter, an attempt is made to identify potential sources of translation difficulties related to the linguistic area under discussion and possible strategies for resolving these difficulties. The strategies
are not preconceived, nor are they suggested as ideal solutions; they are identified by analysing authentic examples of translated texts in a variety of languages and presented as 'actual' strategies used rather than the 'correct' strategies to use. The examples are quoted and discussed, sometimes at length, to illustrate the various strategies identified and to explore the potential pros and cons of each strategy. Although the discussion is occasionally critical of certain translations, finding fault with published translations is never the object of the exercise. It is in fact virtually impossible, except in extreme cases, to draw a line between what counts as a good translation and what counts as a bad one. Every translation has points of strength and points of weakness and every translation is open to improvement.

The source language of most examples is English. This is because in non-literary translation, the main concern of this book, English is probably the most widely translated language in the world. And since it also happens to be the language in which this book is written, I feel justified in assuming that all readers will have an adequate command of it. Much as I would have liked to include examples of and exercises on translation into English, I have had to accept that it is not possible to write a general coursebook on translation unless the source language is kept constant. With a few exceptions, the direction of translation is therefore assumed to be from English into a variety of target languages. However, readers – particularly teachers of translation – are invited to adapt the examples and exercises to suit their individual purposes. Once a given topic is discussed and understood, alternative texts can be easily found in other languages to replace the examples and exercises in which English is treated as the source language.

The target languages exemplified are by no means all European. They include major non-European languages such as Arabic, Japanese, and Chinese. The emphasis on non-European languages may seem unusual, but it is meant to counterbalance the current preoccupation with European languages in translation studies. It is high time the European translation community realized that there is life – and indeed translation – outside Europe and that professional non-European translators use a range of strategies that are at least as interesting and as useful as those used by European translators. Moreover, it is particularly instructive for translators of any linguistic background to explore difficulties of translation in non-European languages because the structure of those languages and their cultural settings raise important issues that could otherwise be easily overlooked in discussions of language and translation.
The majority of readers will not be familiar with all the languages illustrated in this book, but they should still be able to follow the discussion of individual examples by using the back-translations provided. Back-translation, as used in this book, involves taking a text (original or translated) which is written in a language with which the reader is assumed to be unfamiliar and translating it as literally as possible\(^1\) into English — how literally depends on the point being illustrated, whether it is morphological, syntactic, or lexical for instance. I use the term back-translation because, since the source language is often English, this involves translating the target text back into the source language from which it was originally translated. A back-translation can give some insight into aspects of the structure, if not the meaning of the original, but it is never the same as the original. The use of back-translation is a necessary compromise; it is theoretically unsound and far from ideal, but then we do not live in an ideal world — very few of us speak eight or nine languages — and theoretical criteria cease to be relevant when they become an obstacle to fruitful discussion.

The majority of examples are quoted in the original language in the body of the text. For instance, an English example is immediately followed by its German or Arabic translation and then a back-translation of the German or Arabic. There are two exceptions. The first exception is that texts which extend beyond an average-size paragraph are included either in footnotes or in a separate appendix at the end of the book (but the back-translation still follows the English source text). The second exception is that, because of difficulties of typesetting, original Japanese, Chinese, Russian and Greek examples are not provided in the body of the text but rather in separate appendices at the end. Readers who are familiar with these languages are encouraged to refer to the relevant appendix or footnote rather than settle for the back-translation provided.

Finally, there is no shortage of discussions on the shortcomings and failures of translation as a tool of language mediation across cultures. The literature abounds with theoretical arguments which suggest that translation is an impossible task, that it is doomed to failure because (a) languages are never sufficiently similar to express the same realities, and (b) even worse, ‘reality’ cannot be assumed to exist independently of language. But in spite of its many limitations, translation remains a necessary and valuable exercise. It has brought and continues to bring people of different cultural and linguistic backgrounds closer together, it has enabled them to share a more harmonious view of the world, it has built bridges of understanding
and appreciation among different societies. Even the most sceptical of critics cannot but admit that, if it were not for translators and interpreters, we would be living in a far less friendly and less interesting environment. Translators have good reason to be proud of what they do and to insist that translation be recognized as a fully fledged profession and given the respect that it deserves. This recognition is now long overdue and we must do whatever is necessary to ensure that it is forthcoming. We could start by fulfilling the requirements that society has set for what it will recognize as a ‘profession’.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING


NOTE

1 It is important to stress that much of the back-translation provided in this book is very literal. The quality of the English that appears in a given back-translation is not meant to reflect the quality of the translation itself. Readers, particularly those who are not native speakers of English, should also be aware that the English used in the back-translations is not necessarily correct and is not to be confused with natural English.
2 Equivalence at word level

If language were simply a nomenclature for a set of universal concepts, it would be easy to translate from one language to another. One would simply replace the French name for a concept with the English name. If language were like this the task of learning a new language would also be much easier than it is. But anyone who has attempted either of these tasks has acquired, alas, a vast amount of direct proof that languages are not nomenclatures, that the concepts . . . of one language may differ radically from those of another . . . . Each language articulates or organizes the world differently. Languages do not simply name existing categories, they articulate their own.

(Culler, 1976: 21–2)

This chapter discusses translation problems arising from lack of equivalence at word level; what does a translator do when there is no word in the target language which expresses the same meaning as the source language word? But before we look at specific types of non-equivalence and the various strategies which can be used for dealing with them, it is important to establish what a word is, whether or not it is the main unit of meaning in language, what kinds of meaning it can convey, and how languages differ in the way they choose to express certain meanings but not others.

2.1 THE WORD IN DIFFERENT LANGUAGES

2.1.1 What is a word?

As translators, we are primarily concerned with communicating the overall meaning of a stretch of language. To achieve this, we need to start by decoding the units and structures which carry that
meaning. The smallest unit which we would expect to possess individual meaning is the **word**. Defined loosely, the **word** is ‘the smallest unit of language that can be used by itself’ (Bolinger and Sears, 1968: 43).¹ For our present purposes, we can define the written **word** with more precision as any sequence of letters with an orthographic space on either side.

Many of us think of the word as the basic meaningful element in a language. This is not strictly accurate. Meaning can be carried by units smaller than the word (see 2.1.3 below). More often, however, it is carried by units much more complex than the single word and by various structures and linguistic devices. This will be discussed in more detail in the following chapters. For the moment, we will content ourselves with single words as a starting point before we move on to more complex linguistic units.

### 2.1.2 Is there a one-to-one relationship between word and meaning?

If you consider a word such as **rebuild**, you will note that there are two distinct elements of meaning in it: **re** and **build**, i.e. ‘to build again’. The same applies to **disbelieve** which may be paraphrased as ‘not to believe’. Elements of meaning which are represented by several orthographic words in one language, say English, may be represented by one orthographic word in another, and vice versa. For instance, **tennis player** is written as one word in Turkish: *tenisçi*; **if it is cheap** as one word in Japanese: *yasukattara*; but the verb **type** is rendered by three words in Spanish: *pasar a maquina*. This suggests that there is no one-to-one correspondence between orthographic words and elements of meaning within or across languages.

### 2.1.3. Introducing morphemes

In order to isolate elements of meaning in words and deal with them more effectively, some linguists have suggested the term **morpheme** to describe the minimal formal element of meaning in language, as distinct from **word**, which may or may not contain several elements of meaning. Thus, an important difference between morphemes and words is that a morpheme cannot contain more than one element of meaning and cannot be further analysed.

To take an example from English, **inconceivable** is written as one word but consists of three morphemes: **in**, meaning ‘not’, **conceive** meaning ‘think of or imagine’, and **able** meaning ‘able to be, fit to be’. A suitable paraphrase for **inconceivable** would then be ‘cannot
be conceived/imagined'. Some morphemes have grammatical functions such as marking plurality (funds), gender (manageress) and tense (considered). Others change the class of the word, for instance from verb to adjective (like: likeable), or add a specific element of meaning such as negation to it (unhappy). Some words consist of one morpheme: need, fast. Morphemes do not always have such clearly defined boundaries, however. We can identify two distinct morphemes in girls: girl + s, but we cannot do the same with men, where the two morphemes 'man' and 'plural' are, as it were, fused together. An orthographic word may therefore contain more than one formal element of meaning, but the boundaries of such elements are not always clearly marked on the surface.

The above theoretical distinction between words and morphemes attempts, by and large, to account for elements of meaning which are expressed on the surface. It does not, however, attempt to break down each morpheme or word into further components of meaning such as, for instance, 'male' + 'adult' + 'human' for the word man. Furthermore, it does not offer a model for analysing different types of meaning in words and utterances. In the following section, we will be looking at ways of analysing lexical meaning which will not specifically draw on the distinction between words and morphemes. It is, nevertheless, important to keep this distinction clearly in mind because it can be useful in translation, particularly in dealing with neologisms in the source language (see 2.3.2.1 (i)).

2.2 LEXICAL MEANING

every word (lexical unit) has ... something that is individual, that makes it different from any other word. And it is just the lexical meaning which is the most outstanding individual property of the word.

(Zgusta, 1971:67)

The lexical meaning of a word or lexical unit may be thought of as the specific value it has in a particular linguistic system and the 'personality' it acquires through usage within that system. It is rarely possible to analyse a word, pattern, or structure into distinct components of meaning; the way in which language works is much too complex to allow that. Nevertheless, it is sometimes useful to play down the complexities of language temporarily in order both to appreciate them and to be able to handle them better in the long run. With this aim in mind, we will now briefly discuss a model for
analysing the components of lexical meaning. This model is largely derived from Cruse (1986), but the description of register (2.2.3 below) also draws on Halliday (1978). For alternative models of lexical meaning see Zgusta (1971: Chapter 1) and Leech (1974: Chapter 2).

According to Cruse, we can distinguish four main types of meaning in words and utterances (utterances being stretches of written or spoken text): propositional meaning, expressive meaning, presupposed meaning, and evoked meaning.

2.2.1 Propositional vs expressive meaning

The propositional meaning of a word or an utterance arises from the relation between it and what it refers to or describes in a real or imaginary world, as conceived by the speakers of the particular language to which the word or utterance belongs. It is this type of meaning which provides the basis on which we can judge an utterance as true or false. For instance, the propositional meaning of shirt is ‘a piece of clothing worn on the upper part of the body’. It would be inaccurate to use shirt, under normal circumstances, to refer to a piece of clothing worn on the foot, such as socks. When a translation is described as ‘inaccurate’, it is often the propositional meaning that is being called into question.

Expressive meaning cannot be judged as true or false. This is because expressive meaning relates to the speaker’s feelings or attitude rather than to what words and utterances refer to. The difference between Don’t complain and Don’t whinge does not lie in their propositional meanings but in the expressiveness of whinge, which suggests that the speaker finds the action annoying. Two or more words or utterances can therefore have the same propositional meaning but differ in their expressive meanings. This is true not only of words and utterances within the same language, where such words are often referred to as synonyms or near-synonyms, but also for words and utterances from different languages. The difference between famous in English and fameux in French does not lie in their respective propositional meanings; both items basically mean ‘well-known’. It lies in their expressive meanings. Famous is neutral in English: it has no inherent evaluative meaning or connotation. Fameux, on the other hand, is potentially evaluative and can be readily used in some contexts in a derogatory way (for example, une femme fameuse means, roughly, ‘a woman of ill repute’).

It is worth noting that differences between words in the area of
expressive meaning are not simply a matter of whether an expression of a certain attitude or evaluation is inherently present or absent in the words in question. The same attitude or evaluation may be expressed in two words or utterances in widely differing degrees of forcefulness. Both *unkind* and *cruel*, for instance, are inherently expressive, showing the speaker’s disapproval of someone’s attitude. However, the element of disapproval in *cruel* is stronger than it is in *unkind*.

The meaning of a word or lexical unit can be both propositional and expressive, e.g. *whinge*, propositional only, e.g. *book*, or expressive only, e.g. *bloody* and various other swear words and emphasizers. Words which contribute solely to expressive meaning can be removed from an utterance without affecting its information content. Consider, for instance, the word *simply* in the following text:

> Whilst it stimulates your love of action, the MG also cares for your comfort. Hugging you on the bends with sports seats. Spoiling you with luxuries such as electric door mirrors, tinted glass and central locking. And entertaining you with a great music system as well as a *simply* masterful performance.

*(Today’s Cars, Austin Rover brochure; my emphasis)*

There are many highly expressive items in the above extract, but the word *simply* in the last sentence has a totally expressive function. Removing it would not alter the information content of the message but would, of course, tone its forcefulness down considerably.

### 2.2.2 Presupposed meaning

Presupposed meaning arises from co-occurrence restrictions, i.e. restrictions on what other words or expressions we expect to see before or after a particular lexical unit. These restrictions are of two types:

1. **Selectional restrictions**: these are a function of the propositional meaning of a word. We expect a human subject for the adjective *studious* and an inanimate one for *geometrical*. Selectional restrictions are deliberately violated in the case of figurative language but are otherwise strictly observed.

2. **Collocational restrictions**: these are semantically arbitrary restrictions which do not follow logically from the propositional meaning of a word. For instance, laws are *broken* in English, but in Arabic they are ‘contradicted’. In English, teeth are *brushed*, but in
German and Italian they are ‘polished’, in Polish they are ‘washed’, and in Russian they are ‘cleaned’. Because they are arbitrary, collocational restrictions tend to show more variation across languages than do selectional restrictions. They are discussed in more detail in Chapter 3, section 3.1.

The difference between selectional and collocational restrictions is not always as clear-cut as the examples given above might imply. For example, in the following English translation of a German leaflet which accompanies Baumler products (men’s suits), it is difficult to decide whether the awkwardness of the wording is a result of violating selectional or collocational restrictions:

Dear Sir

I am very pleased that you have selected one of our garments. You have made a wise choice, as suits, jackets and trousers eminating from our Company are amongst the finest products Europe has to offer.

Ideas, qualities, and feelings typically emanate (misspelt as eminate in the above text) from a source, but objects such as trousers and jackets do not, at least not in English. The awkwardness of the wording can be explained in terms of selectional or collocational restrictions, depending on whether or not one sees the restriction involved as a function of the propositional meaning of emanate.

2.2.3 Evoked meaning

Evoked meaning arises from dialect and register variation. A dialect is a variety of language which has currency within a specific community or group of speakers. It may be classified on one of the following bases:

1 Geographical (e.g. a Scottish dialect, or American as opposed to British English: cf. the difference between lift and elevator);
2 Temporal (e.g. words and structures used by members of different age groups within a community, or words used at different periods in the history of a language: cf. verily and really);
3 Social (words and structures used by members of different social classes: cf. scent and perfume, napkin and serviette).

Register is a variety of language that a language user considers appropriate to a specific situation. Register variation arises from variations in the following:
1 Field of discourse: This is an abstract term for ‘what is going on’ that is relevant to the speaker’s choice of linguistic items. Different linguistic choices are made by different speakers depending on what kind of action other than the immediate action of speaking they see themselves as participating in. For example, linguistic choices will vary according to whether the speaker is taking part in a football match or discussing football; making love or discussing love; making a political speech or discussing politics; performing an operation or discussing medicine.

2 Tenor of discourse: An abstract term for the relationships between the people taking part in the discourse. Again, the language people use varies depending on such interpersonal relationships as mother/child, doctor/patient, or superior/inferior in status. A patient is unlikely to use swear words in addressing a doctor and a mother is unlikely to start a request to her child with I wonder if you could . . . Getting the tenor of discourse right in translation can be quite difficult. It depends on whether one sees a certain level of formality as ‘right’ from the perspective of the source culture or the target culture. For example, an American teenager may adopt a highly informal tenor with his/her parents by, among other things, using their first names instead of Mum/Mother and Dad/Father. This level of informality would be highly inappropriate in most other cultures. A translator has to choose between changing the tenor to suit the expectations of the target reader and transferring the informal tenor to give a flavour of the type of relationship that teenagers have with their parents in American society. What the translator opts for on any given occasion will of course depend on what she perceives to be the overall purpose of the translation.

3 Mode of discourse: An abstract term for the role that the language is playing (speech, essay, lecture, instructions) and for its medium of transmission (spoken, written).3 Linguistic choices are influenced by these dimensions. For example, a word such as re is perfectly appropriate in a business letter but is rarely, if ever, used in spoken English.

Different groups within each culture have different expectations about what kind of language is appropriate to particular situations. The amusement and embarrassment often engendered by children’s remarks to perfect strangers testifies to this; more seriously, people unused to highly ritualized situations like committee meetings and job interviews may find it difficult to make their points, and may even
be ridiculed because their language appears inappropriate to other participants. A translator must ensure that his/her product does not meet with a similar reaction. S/he must ensure that the translation matches the register expectations of its prospective receivers, unless, of course, the purpose of the translation is to give a flavour of the source culture.

Of all the types of lexical meaning explained above, the only one which relates to the truth or falsehood of an utterance and which can consequently be challenged by a reader or hearer is propositional meaning. All other types of lexical meaning contribute to the overall meaning of an utterance or a text in subtle and complex ways and are often much more difficult to analyse. To reiterate, it is rarely possible in practice to separate the various types of meaning in a word or utterance. Likewise, it is rarely possible to define even the basic propositional meaning of a word or utterance with absolute certainty. This is because the nature of language is such that, in the majority of cases, words have ‘blurred edges’; their meanings are, to a large extent, negotiable and are only realized in specific contexts. The very notion of ‘types of meaning’ is theoretically suspect. Yet, I believe that the distinctions drawn above can be useful for the translator since one of the most difficult tasks that a translator is constantly faced with is that, notwithstanding the ‘fuzziness’ inherent in language, s/he must attempt to perceive the meanings of words and utterances very precisely in order to render them into another language. This forces us as translators to go far beyond what the average reader has to do in order to reach an adequate understanding of a text.

2.3 THE PROBLEM OF NON-EQUIVALENCE

Based on the above discussion, we can now begin to outline some of the more common types of non-equivalence which often pose difficulties for the translator and some attested strategies for dealing with them. First, a word of warning. The choice of a suitable equivalent in a given context depends on a wide variety of factors. Some of these factors may be strictly linguistic (see, for instance, the discussion of collocations and idioms in Chapter 3). Other factors may be extra-linguistic (see Chapter 7). It is virtually impossible to offer absolute guidelines for dealing with the various types of non-equivalence which exist among languages. The most that can be done in this and the following chapters is to suggest strategies which may
be used to deal with non-equivalence 'in some contexts'. The choice of a suitable equivalent will always depend not only on the linguistic system or systems being handled by the translator, but also on the way both the writer of the source text and the producer of the target text, i.e. the translator, choose to manipulate the linguistic systems in question.

2.3.1 Semantic fields and lexical sets – the segmentation of experience

The words of a language often reflect not so much the reality of the world, but the interests of the people who speak it.

(Palmer, 1976: 21)

It is sometimes useful to view the vocabulary of a language as a set of words referring to a series of conceptual fields. These fields reflect the divisions and sub-divisions 'imposed' by a given linguistic community on the continuum of experience.\(^4\) In linguistics, the divisions are called **semantic fields**. Fields are abstract concepts. An example of a semantic field would be the field of SPEECH, or PLANTS, or VEHICLES. A large number of semantic fields are common to all or most languages. Most, if not all, languages will have fields of DISTANCE, SIZE, SHAPE, TIME, EMOTION, BELIEFS, ACADEMIC SUBJECTS, and NATURAL PHENOMENA. The actual words and expressions under each field are sometimes called **lexical sets**.\(^5\) Each semantic field will normally have several sub-divisions or lexical sets under it, and each sub-division will have further sub-divisions and lexical sets. So, the field of SPEECH in English has a sub-division of VERBS OF SPEECH which includes general verbs such as speak and say and more specific ones such as mumble, murmur, mutter, and whisper. It seems reasonable to suggest that the more detailed a semantic field is in a given language, the more different it is likely to be from related semantic fields in other languages. There generally tends to be more agreement among languages on the larger headings of semantic fields and less agreement as the sub-fields become more finely differentiated. Most languages are likely to have equivalents for the more general verbs of speech such as say and speak, but many may not have equivalents for the more specific ones. Languages understandably tend to make only those distinctions in meaning which are relevant to their particular environment, be it physical, historical, political, religious, cultural, economic, legal, technological, social, or otherwise.

Before we discuss how an understanding of the nature and organization of semantic fields might be useful in translation, let me first
spell out the limitations of semantic fields as a concept. The idea of semantic fields is, in many cases, inapplicable and is an oversimplification of the way language actually works. A large number of words in any language defy being classified under any heading (Carter and McCarthy, 1988; Lehrer, 1974). Words like just, nevertheless, and only, to name but a few, cannot be easily filed under any particular semantic field. The idea of semantic fields works well enough for words and expressions which have fairly well-defined propositional meanings, but not for all, or even most of the words and expressions in a language.

Limitations aside, there are two main areas in which an understanding of semantic fields and lexical sets can be useful to a translator: (a) appreciating the ‘value’ that a word has in a given system; and (b) developing strategies for dealing with non-equivalence.

(a) Understanding the difference in the structure of semantic fields in the source and target languages allows a translator to assess the value of a given item in a lexical set. If you know what other items are available in a lexical set and how they contrast with the item chosen by a writer or speaker, you can appreciate the significance of the writer’s or speaker’s choice. You can understand not only what something is, but also what it is not. This is best illustrated by an example.

In the field of temperature, English has four main divisions: cold, cool, hot and warm. This contrasts with Modern Arabic, which has four different divisions: baarid (‘cold/cool’), haar (‘hot: of the weather’), saakhin (‘hot: of objects’), and daafi’ (‘warm’). Note that, in contrast with English, Arabic (a) does not distinguish between cold and cool, and (b) distinguishes between the hotness of the weather and the hotness of other things. The fact that English does not make the latter distinction does not mean that you can always use hot to describe the temperature of something, even metaphorically (cf. hot temper, but not *hot feelings). There are restrictions on the co-occurrence of words in any language (see discussion of collocation: Chapter 3, section 3.1). Now consider the following examples from the COBUILD corpus of English:

(1) The air was cold and the wind was like a flat blade of ice.
(2) Outside the air was still cool.

Bearing in mind the differences in the structure of the English and Arabic fields, one can appreciate, on the one hand, the difference in meaning between cold and cool in the above examples and, on the
other, the potential difficulty in making such a distinction clear when translating into Arabic.

(b) Semantic fields are arranged hierarchically, going from the more general to the more specific. The general word is usually referred to as superordinate and the specific word as hyponym. In the field of vehicles, vehicle is a superordinate and bus, car, truck, coach, etc. are all hyponyms of vehicle. It stands to reason that any propositional meaning carried by a superordinate or general word is, by necessity, part of the meaning of each of its hyponyms, but not vice versa. If something is a bus, then it must be a vehicle, but not the other way round. We can sometimes manipulate this feature of semantic fields when we are faced with semantic gaps in the target language. Translators often deal with semantic gaps by modifying a superordinate word or by means of circumlocutions based on modifying superordinates. More on this in the following section.

To sum up, while not always straightforward or applicable, the notion of semantic fields can provide the translator with useful strategies for dealing with non-equivalence in some contexts. It is also useful in heightening our awareness of similarities and differences between any two languages and of the significance of any choice made by a speaker in a given context. One important thing to bear in mind when dealing with semantic fields is that they are not fixed. Semantic fields are always changing, with new words and expressions being introduced into the language and others being dropped as they become less relevant to the needs of a linguistic community.

For a more extensive discussion of semantic fields, see Lehrer (1974).

2.3.2 Non-equivalence at word level and some common strategies for dealing with it

Non-equivalence at word level means that the target language has no direct equivalent for a word which occurs in the source text. The type and level of difficulty posed can vary tremendously depending on the nature of non-equivalence. Different kinds of non-equivalence require different strategies, some very straightforward, others more involved and difficult to handle. Since, in addition to the nature of non-equivalence, the context and purpose of translation will often rule out some strategies and favour others, I will keep the discussion of types of non-equivalence separate from the discussion of strategies.
used by professional translators. It is neither possible nor helpful to attempt to relate specific types of non-equivalence to specific strategies, but I will comment on the advantages or disadvantages of certain strategies wherever possible.

2.3.2.1 Common problems of non-equivalence

The following are some common types of non-equivalence at word level, with examples from various languages:

(a) Culture-specific concepts

The source-language word may express a concept which is totally unknown in the target culture. The concept in question may be abstract or concrete; it may relate to a religious belief, a social custom, or even a type of food. Such concepts are often referred to as ‘culture-specific’. An example of an abstract English concept which is notoriously difficult to translate into other languages is that expressed by the word *privacy*. This is a very ‘English’ concept which is rarely understood by people from other cultures. *Speaker* (of the House of Commons) has no equivalent in many languages, such as Russian, Chinese, and Arabic among others. It is often translated into Russian as ‘Chairman’, which does not reflect the role of the Speaker of the House of Commons as an independent person who maintains authority and order in Parliament. An example of a concrete concept is *airing cupboard* in English which, again, is unknown to speakers of most languages.

(b) The source-language concept is not lexicalized in the target language

The source-language word may express a concept which is known in the target culture but simply not lexicalized, that is not ‘allocated’ a target-language word to express it. The word *savoury* has no equivalent in many languages, although it expresses a concept which is easy to understand. The adjective *standard* (meaning ‘ordinary, not extra’, as in *standard range of products*) also expresses a concept which is very accessible and readily understood by most people, yet Arabic has no equivalent for it. *Landslide* has no ready equivalent in many languages, although it simply means ‘overwhelming majority’.
(c) The source-language word is semantically complex

The source-language word may be semantically complex. This is a fairly common problem in translation. Words do not have to be morphologically complex to be semantically complex (Bolinger and Sears, 1968). In other words, a single word which consists of a single morpheme can sometimes express a more complex set of meanings than a whole sentence. Languages automatically develop very concise forms for referring to complex concepts if the concepts become important enough to be talked about often. Bolinger and Sears suggest that 'If we should ever need to talk regularly and frequently about independently operated sawmills from which striking workers are locked out on Thursday when the temperature is between 50° and 60°F, we would find a concise way to do it' (ibid.: 114). We do not usually realize how semantically complex a word is until we have to translate it into a language which does not have an equivalent for it. An example of such a semantically complex word is arrucação, a Brazilian word which means 'clearing the ground under coffee trees of rubbish and piling it in the middle of the row in order to aid in the recovery of beans dropped during harvesting' (ITI News, 1988: 57).

(d) The source and target languages make different distinctions in meaning

The target language may make more or fewer distinctions in meaning than the source language. What one language regards as an important distinction in meaning another language may not perceive as relevant. For example, Indonesian makes a distinction between going out in the rain without the knowledge that it is raining (kehujanan) and going out in the rain with the knowledge that it is raining (hujan-hujanan). English does not make this distinction, with the result that if an English text referred to going out in the rain, the Indonesian translator may find it difficult to choose the right equivalent, unless the context makes it clear whether or not the person in question knew that it was raining.

(e) The target language lacks a superordinate

The target language may have specific words (hyponyms) but no general word (superordinate) to head the semantic field. Russian has no ready equivalent for facilities, meaning 'any equipment, building, services, etc. that are provided for a particular activity or purpose'. It does, however, have several specific words and expressions
which can be thought of as types of facilities, for example sredstva peredvizheniya (‘means of transport’), naem (‘loan’), neobkhodimye pomeschcheniya (‘essential accommodation’), and neobkhodimoe oborudovanie (‘essential equipment’).

(f) The target language lacks a specific term (hyponym)

More commonly, languages tend to have general words (superordinates) but lack specific ones (hyponyms), since each language makes only those distinctions in meaning which seem relevant to its particular environment. There are endless examples of this type of non-equivalence. English has many hyponyms under article for which it is difficult to find precise equivalents in other languages, for example feature, survey, report, critique, commentary, review, and many more. Under house, English again has a variety of hyponyms which have no equivalents in many languages, for example bungalow, cottage, croft, chalet, lodge, hut, mansion, manor, villa, and hall. Under jump we find more specific verbs such as leap, vault, spring, bounce, dive, clear, plunge, and plummet.

(g) Differences in physical or interpersonal perspective

Physical perspective may be of more importance in one language than it is in another. Physical perspective has to do with where things or people are in relation to one another or to a place, as expressed in pairs of words such as come/go, take/bring, arrive/depart, and so on. Perspective may also include the relationship between participants in the discourse (tenor). For example, Japanese has six equivalents for give, depending on who gives to whom: yaru, ageru, morau, kureru, itadaku, and kudasaru (McCreary, 1986).

(h) Differences in expressive meaning

There may be a target-language word which has the same propositional meaning as the source-language word, but it may have a different expressive meaning. The difference may be considerable or it may be subtle but important enough to pose a translation problem in a given context. It is usually easier to add expressive meaning than to subtract it. In other words, if the target-language equivalent is neutral compared to the source-language item, the translator can sometimes add the evaluative element by means of a modifier or adverb if necessary, or by building it in somewhere else in the text.
So, it may be possible, for instance, in some contexts to render the English verb *batter* (as in child/wife battering) by the more neutral Japanese verb *tataku*, meaning 'to beat', plus an equivalent modifier such as 'savagely' or 'ruthlessly'. Differences in expressive meaning are usually more difficult to handle when the target-language equivalent is more emotionally loaded than the source-language item. This is often the case with items which relate to sensitive issues such as religion, politics, and sex. Words like *homosexuality* and *homosexual* provide good examples. *Homosexuality* is not an inherently pejorative word in English, although it is often used in this way. On the other hand, the equivalent expression in Arabic, *shihuth jinsi* (literally: 'sexual perversion'), is inherently more pejorative and would be quite difficult to use in a neutral context without suggesting strong disapproval.

(i) Differences in form

There is often no equivalent in the target language for a particular form in the source text. Certain suffixes and prefixes which convey propositional and other types of meaning in English often have no direct equivalents in other languages. English has many couplets such as *employer/employee*, *trainer/trainee*, and *payer/paye*. It also makes frequent use of suffixes such as -ish (e.g. boyish, hellish, greenish) and -able (e.g. conceivable, retrievable, drinkable). Arabic, for instance, has no ready mechanism for producing such forms and so they are often replaced by an appropriate paraphrase, depending on the meaning they convey (e.g. retrievable as 'can be retrieved' and drinkable as 'suitable for drinking'). Affixes which contribute to evoked meaning, for instance by creating buzz words such as *washateria, carpeteria*, and *groceteria* (Bolinger and Sears, 1968), and those which convey expressive meaning, such as *journalese, translationese*, and *legalese* (the -ese suffix usually suggests disapproval of a muddled or stilted form of writing) are more difficult to translate by means of a paraphrase. It is relatively easy to paraphrase propositional meaning, but other types of meaning cannot always be spelt out in a translation. Their subtle contribution to the overall meaning of the text is either lost altogether or recovered elsewhere by means of compensatory techniques.

It is most important for translators to understand the contribution that affixes make to the meaning of words and expressions, especially since such affixes are often used creatively in English to coin new words for various reasons, such as filling temporary semantic gaps
in the language and creating humour. Their contribution is also important in the area of terminology and standardization.

(j) Differences in frequency and purpose of using specific forms

Even when a particular form does have a ready equivalent in the target language, there may be a difference in the frequency with which it is used or the purpose for which it is used. English, for instance, uses the continuous -ing form for binding clauses much more frequently than other languages which have equivalents for it, for example German and the Scandinavian languages. Consequently, rendering every -ing form in an English source text with an equivalent -ing form in a German, Danish, or Swedish target text would result in stilted, unnatural style.

(k) The use of loan words in the source text

The use of loan words in the source text poses a special problem in translation. Quite apart from their respective propositional meaning, loan words such as *au fait*, *chic*, and *alfresco* in English are often used for their prestige value, because they can add an air of sophistication to the text or its subject matter. This is often lost in translation because it is not always possible to find a loan word with the same meaning in the target language. *Dilettante* is a loan word in English, Russian, and Japanese; but Arabic has no equivalent loan word. This means that only the propositional meaning of *dilettante* can be rendered into Arabic; its stylistic effect would almost certainly have to be sacrificed.

Loan words also pose another problem for the unwary translator, namely the problem of *false friends*, or *faux amis* as they are often called. *False friends* are words or expressions which have the same form in two or more languages but convey different meanings. They are often associated with historically or culturally related languages such as English, French, and German, but in fact false friends also abound among totally unrelated languages such as English, Japanese, and Russian.

Once a word or expression is borrowed into a language, we cannot predict or control its development or the additional meanings it might or might not take on. Some false friends are easy to spot because the difference in their meanings is so great that only a very inexperienced translator is likely to be unaware of it. The average Japanese translator is not likely to confuse an English *feminist* with a Japanese
In other words

feminist (feminist in Japanese is usually used to describe a man who is excessively soft with women). An inexperienced French or German translator may, however, confuse English sensible with German sensibel (meaning 'sensitive'), or English sympathetic with French sympathique (meaning 'nice/likeable').

The above are some of the more common examples of non-equivalence among languages and the problems they pose for translators. In dealing with any kind of non-equivalence, it is important first of all to assess its significance and implications in a given context. Not every instance of non-equivalence you encounter is going to be significant. It is neither possible nor desirable to reproduce every aspect of meaning for every word in a source text. We have to try, as much as possible, to convey the meaning of key words which are focal to the understanding and development of a text, but we cannot and should not distract the reader by looking at every word in isolation and attempting to present him/her with a full linguistic account of its meaning.

2.3.2.2 Strategies used by professional translators

With the above proviso in mind, we can now look at examples of strategies used by professional translators for dealing with various types of non-equivalence. In each example, the source-language word which represents a translation problem is underlined. The strategy used by the translator is highlighted in bold in both the original translation and the back-translated version. Only the strategies used for dealing with non-equivalence at word level will be commented on. Other strategies and differences between the source and target texts are dealt with in subsequent chapters.

(a) Translation by a more general word (superordinate)

This is one of the commonest strategies for dealing with many types of non-equivalence, particularly in the area of propositional meaning. It works equally well in most, if not all, languages, since the hierarchical structure of semantic fields is not language-specific.

Example A

Source text (Kolestral Super – leaflet accompanying a hair-conditioning product):

The rich and creamy KOESTRAL-SUPER is easy to apply and has a pleasant fragrance.
Target text (Arabic):

كولسترال-سوبر غني ومكمل في تركيبته التي تحتوي مستخلصاً يشبه الكريرما مما يجعله في منتهى السهولة لوضعه على الشعر.....

Kolestral super is rich and concentrated in its make-up which gives a product that resembles cream, making it extremely easy to put on the hair.

Example B
Source text (Kolestral Super):

Shampoo the hair with a mild WELL-A-SHAMPOO and lightly towel dry.

Target text 1 (Spanish):

Lavar el cabello con un champú suave de WELL-A y frotar ligeramente con una toalla.

Wash hair with a mild WELL-A shampoo and rub lightly with a towel.

Target text 2 (Arabic):

يحل الشعر بمامبو من "ويلأ" على أن يكون من نوع الشامبو المسطح...

The hair is washed with ‘wella’ shampoo, provided that it is a mild shampoo...

Example C
Source text (A Brief History of Time – Hawking, 1988; see Appendix 1):

A well-known scientist (some say it was Bertrand Russell) once gave a public lecture on astronomy. He described how the earth orbits around the sun and how the sun, in turn, orbits around the center of a vast collection of stars called our galaxy.

Target text (Spanish):

Un conocido científico (algunos dicen que fue Bertrand Russell) daba una vez una conferencia sobre astronomía. En ella describía cómo la Tierra giraba alrededor del Sol y cómo éste, a su vez, giraba alrededor del centro de una vasta colección de estrellas concida como nuestra galaxia.
In other words

A well-known scientist (some say that it was Bertrand Russell) once gave a lecture on astronomy. In it he described how the Earth revolved around the Sun and how the latter in its turn revolved around the centre of a vast collection of stars known as our galaxy.

Example D

Source text (*China's Panda Reserves*; see Appendix 3, no. 3):

Today there may be no more than 1000 giant pandas left in the wild, restricted to a few mountain strongholds in the Chinese provinces of Sichuan, Shaanxi and Gansu.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

Today there may be only 1000 big pandas which still remain in the wild state, restricted to certain mountain areas in China's Sichuan, Shaanxi and Gansu.

The above examples illustrate the use of a general word (superordinate) to overcome a relative lack of specificity in the target language compared to the source language. 'Shampooping' can be seen as a type of 'washing' since it is more restricted in its use: you can wash lots of things but you can only shampoo hair. Similarly, 'orbiting' is a type of 'revolving' because, unlike 'revolving', it only applies to a smaller object revolving around a larger one in space. What the translators of the above extracts have done is to go up a level in a given semantic field to find a more general word that covers the core propositional meaning of the missing hyponym in the target language.

(b) Translation by a more neutral/less expressive word

Example A

Source text: (*Morgan Matroc* – ceramics company brochure; see Appendix 2):

Today people are aware that modern ceramic materials offer unrivalled properties for many of our most demanding industrial applications. So is this brochure necessary; isn't the ceramic market already over-bombarded with technical literature; why should Matroc add more?

Because someone mumbles, 'Our competitors do it.' But why should we imitate our competitors when Matroc probably supplies a greater range of ceramic materials for more applications than any other manufacturer.
Target text: (Italian):

Qualcuno suggerisce: 'i nostri concorrenti lo fanno.'

Someone suggests: 'Our competitors do it.'

There is a noticeable difference in the expressive meaning of *mumble* and its nearest Italian equivalent, *mugugnare*. The English verb *mumble* suggests confusion or embarrassment, as can be seen in the following examples:9

Simon mumbled confusedly: 'I don't believe in the beast.'
I looked at the ground, shuffled my feet and mumbled something defensive.
'I know it wasn't very successful,' he mumbled. 'But give me another chance.'

The Italian near equivalent, *mugugnare*, on the other hand, tends to suggest dissatisfaction rather than embarrassment or confusion. Possibly to avoid conveying the wrong expressive meaning, the Italian translator opted for a more general word, *suggerisce* ('suggest').

**Example B**
Source text (*A Study of Shamanistic Practices in Japan* – Blacker, 1975; see Appendix 5):

The shamanic practices we have investigated are rightly seen as an archaic mysticism.

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

The shamanic behaviour which we have been researching should rightly be considered as ancient mysticism.

The translator could have used a Japanese phrase which means, roughly, 'behind the times' and which would have been closer to both the propositional and expressive meanings of *archaic*. This, however, would have been too direct, that is too openly disapproving by Japanese standards (Haruko Uryu, personal communication). The expressive meaning of *archaic* is lost in the translation.

**Example C**
Source text (*China's Panda Reserves*; see Appendix 3, no. 47):

Many of the species growing wild here are familiar to us as plants cultivated in European gardens – species like this exotic lily.
In other words

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

We are very familiar with many varieties of the wild life here, they are the kind grown in European gardens – varieties like this strange unique lily flower.

Exotic has no equivalent in Chinese and other oriental languages. It is a word used by westerners to refer to unusual, interesting things which come from a distant country such as China. The orient does not have a concept of what is exotic in this sense and the expressive meaning of the word is therefore lost in translation.

Example D
Source text (China’s Panda Reserves; see Appendix 3, no. 5):

The panda is something of a zoological mystery.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

The panda may be called a riddle in zoology.

There is an equivalent for mystery in Chinese, but it is mostly associated with religion. The translator felt that it would be wrong to use it in a zoological context.10

Example E
Source text (China’s Panda Reserves; see Appendix 3, nos 8 & 10):

(i) The panda’s mountain home is wet and lush.
(ii) The panda’s mountain home is rich in plant life . . .

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

(i) The mountain habitat of the panda is wet and lush.
(ii) The mountain settlements of the panda have rich varieties of plants.

Home has no direct equivalent in Chinese; in fact, it is difficult to translate into most languages. In the examples above, it is replaced by Chinese near-equivalents which are both less expressive and more formal.

It is sometimes possible to retain expressive meaning by adding a modifier, as in the following example.

Example F
Source text (Soldati, ‘I passi sulla neve’):11

Ma già, oltre i tetti carichi di neve, a non più di duecento metri dalla parte di Torino, si vedevano altissimi, geometrici, tutti
quadrettati in mille finestre luminose e balconcini, i primi palazzi condominiali, case a riscatto, falansteri di operai e di impiegati.

Target text (English: ‘Footsteps in the snow’):

But already, beyond the snow-laden roofs, and no more than two hundred metres in the direction of Turin, there were to be seen towering, geometrical, chequered by a thousand lighted windows and balconies, the first joint-owned buildings, houses under mortgage, workers’ and clerks’ ugly blocks of flats.

The adjective ‘ugly’ does not actually appear in the source text. The following translator’s footnote explains why ugly was added in the target text:

Falansteri: communal dwellings which formed part of an ideal cooperative life preached by the French philosopher and socialist writer Charles Fourier (1772–1837). In Italian the word has a pejorative connotation.

(c) Translation by cultural substitution

This strategy involves replacing a culture-specific item or expression with a target-language item which does not have the same propositional meaning but is likely to have a similar impact on the target reader. The main advantage of using this strategy is that it gives the reader a concept with which s/he can identify, something familiar and appealing. On an individual level, the translator’s decision to use this strategy will largely depend on (a) how much licence is given to him/her by those who commission the translation and (b) the purpose of the translation. On a more general level, the decision will also reflect, to some extent, the norms of translation prevailing in a given community. Linguistic communities vary in the extent to which they tolerate strategies that involve significant departure from the propositional meaning of the text.

Example A

Source text (A Brief History of Time – Hawking, 1988; see Appendix 1):

A well-known scientist (some say it was Bertrand Russell) once gave a public lecture on astronomy. He described how the earth orbits around the sun and how the sun, in turn, orbits around the center of a vast collection of stars called our galaxy. At the
end of the lecture, a little old lady at the back of the room got up and said: ‘What you have told us is rubbish. The world is really a flat plate supported on the back of a giant tortoise.’ The scientist gave a superior smile before replying, ‘What is the tortoise standing on?’ ‘You’re very clever, young man, very clever,’ said the old lady. ‘But it’s turtles all the way down!’

Target text (back-translated from Greek):

Alice in Wonderland was once giving a lecture about astronomy. She said that the earth is a spherical planet in the solar system which orbits around its centre the sun, and that the sun is a star which in turn orbits around the centre of the star system which we call the Galaxy. At the end of the lecture the Queen looked at her angrily and disapprovingly. ‘What you say is nonsense. The earth is just a giant playing card, so it’s flat like all playing cards,’ she said, and turned triumphantly to the members of her retinue, who seemed clearly satisfied by her explanation. Alice smiled a superior smile, ‘And what is this playing card supported on?’ she asked with irony. The Queen did not seem put out. ‘You are clever, very clever,’ she replied, ‘so let me tell you, young lady, that this playing card is supported on another, and the other on another other, and the other other on another other other . . . ’ She stopped, out of breath, ‘The Universe is nothing but a great big pack of cards,’ she shrieked.

The above example illustrates a very interesting use of the strategy of cultural substitution. It is the opening passage in Stephen Hawking’s popular book about Time and the Big Bang Theory (1988). Like Hawking in the original text, the Greek translator sets out to capture the undivided attention of the reader immediately. S/he decides that this is best achieved by introducing the reader to characters which are familiar and interesting rather than to foreign characters and stereotypes with which the reader may not identify. Alice in Wonderland is apparently well known in Greece; the average educated Greek is clearly expected to know the story and to be familiar with the characters of Alice and the Queen, as well as the playing-card characters. For anyone who has read the story, the association with Alice recalls an image of a topsy-turvy paradoxical world, which is particularly apposite in this context. A little old lady at the back of the room is an English stereotype of someone who is endearing but tends to get the wrong end of the stick, that is, to misunderstand what is being said. This stereotype image is not likely
to be accessible to people from other cultures. It is replaced by ‘the Queen’, and this is then followed by a series of interesting substitutions, such as ‘giant playing card’ for flat plate and ‘a great big pack of cards’ for turtles all the way down.

Example B
Source text (The Patrick Collection – a leaflet produced by a privately owned museum of classic cars; see Appendix 4):

   The Patrick Collection has restaurant facilities to suit every taste – from the discerning gourmet, to the Cream Tea expert.

Target text (Italian):

   ... di soddisfare tutti i gusti: da quelli del gastronomo esigente a quelli dell’esperto di pasticceria.

   ... to satisfy all tastes: from those of the demanding gastronomist to those of the expert in pastry.

In Britain, cream tea is ‘an afternoon meal consisting of tea to drink and scones with jam and clotted cream to eat. It can also include sandwiches and cakes.’\textsuperscript{12} Cream tea has no equivalent in other cultures. The Italian translator replaced it with ‘pastry’, which does not have the same meaning (for one thing, cream tea is a meal in Britain, whereas ‘pastry’ is only a type of food). However, ‘pastry’ is familiar to the Italian reader and therefore provides a good cultural substitute.

Example C
Source text (Italian – Gadda, ‘La cenere delle battaglie’):\textsuperscript{13}

   Poi, siccome la serva di due piani sotto la sfringuelava al telefono coll’innamorato, assenti i padroni, si imbizz: prese a pestare i piedi sacripantando «porca, porca, porca, porca ...»: finché la non ismise, che non fu molto presto.

Target text (English: ‘The ash of battles past’):

   Then, because the servant-girl two floors down was chattering at the telephone with her young man, her employers being away, he lost his temper: and began to stamp his feet, bellowing ‘Bitch, bitch, bitch ...’ until she gave up, which was not very soon.

Porca is literally the female of swine. A translator’s footnote explains that the Italian word ‘when applied to a woman, ... indicates unchastity, harlotry’ (Trevelyan, 1965: 196). Bitch represents a
straightforward cultural substitute. Although the literal meanings of *porca* and *bitch* are different, both items are used chiefly for their expressive value. Their literal meanings are not relevant in this context.

(d) Translation using a loan word or loan word plus explanation

This strategy is particularly common in dealing with culture-specific items, modern concepts, and buzz words. Following the loan word with an explanation is very useful when the word in question is repeated several times in the text. Once explained, the loan word can then be used on its own; the reader can understand it and is not distracted by further lengthy explanations.

*Example A*

Source text (*The Patrick Collection*; see Appendix 4):

The Patrick Collection has restaurant facilities to suit every taste — from the discerning gourmet, to the *Cream Tea* expert.

Target text (German):

... vom anspruchsvollen Feinschmecker bis zum ‘*Cream-Tea*’-Experten.

... from demanding gourmets to ‘*Cream-Tea*’-experts.

The Patrick Collection leaflet is translated for the benefit of tourists visiting this privately owned motor museum in the United Kingdom. As mentioned above, the English *cream-tea* custom is culture-specific; Germans have coffee and cakes. The German translator could have used the strategy of cultural substitution. ‘Coffee and cakes’ could have replaced *cream tea* (cf. the Italian version of the same text in (c) above), but the translator seems to have decided that the kind of educated German who has access to this type of literature will know of the English *cream-tea* custom. This also explains the use of the loan word on its own, without an explanation. Note that the transferred English expression is, as is often the case with loan words in translation, in inverted commas. In addition, compounding is much more common in German than it is in English. ‘Tea expert’ would normally be one word in German (as would, presumably, ‘cream tea expert’ if such a person existed). The use of a loan word has restricted the German translator, however, since combining a loan word, *cream tea*, with a German word, the equivalent of ‘experts’, would confuse the reader. Likewise, combining two
English words, *cream* and *tea*, would conflict with normal English usage. The use of hyphens is a compromise between the norms of the two languages.

Compare the strategies used by the German and Italian translators with those used by the French and Japanese translators of the same text:

**Target text (French):**

... – de la table gourmande au Salon de Thé à l’anglaise.

... from the gourmet table to the English style tea salon.

**Back-translation of Japanese text (Appendix 4):**

... from the gourmet with keen recognition to a shop specializing in *cream cakes and tea*.

**Example B**

**Source text (A Study of Shamanistic Practices in Japan; see Appendix 5):**

The *shamanic* practices we have investigated are rightly seen as an archaic mysticism.

**Target text (back-translated from Japanese):**

The *shamanic* behaviour which we have been researching should rightly be considered as ancient mysticism.

*Shaman* is a technical word used in religious studies to refer to a priest or a priest doctor among the northern tribes of Asia. It has no ready equivalent in Japanese. The equivalent used in the translation is made up of *shaman* as a loan word, written in katakana script (the script commonly used to transcribe foreign words into Japanese) plus a Japanese suffix which means ‘like’ to replace the -ic ending in English. The Japanese suffix is written in the Kanji script (the Chinese system used to transcribe ordinary Japanese).

**Example C**

**Source text (Kolestral Super):**

For maximum effect, cover the hair with a plastic *cap* or towel.

**Target text (Arabic):**

للحصول على فعالية مثلى، *غطى الشعر بواسطة "كاب" أو قيمة بلاستيكية غطى الشعر، أو بواسطة منشلة*.
For obtaining maximum effectiveness, the hair is covered by means of a 'cap', that is a plastic hat which covers the hair, or by means of a towel.

Note that the explanation which follows the loan word is based on modifying a superordinate/general word, namely the equivalent of 'hat'. Note also the use of inverted commas as in the German translation quoted above (from *The Patrick Collection*).

**Example D**

Source text (*The Patrick Collection*; see Appendix 4):

Morning coffee and traditional cream teas are served in the conservatory.

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

Morning coffee and traditional afternoon tea and cream cakes can be enjoyed in the conservatory (green house).

**Example E**

Source text (*The Patrick Collection*; see Appendix 4):

A UNIQUE MOTOR MUSEUM
TERRACED GARDENS AND GOURMET
RESTAURANT COMBINE TO MAKE

THE ULTIMATE ATTRACTION

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

Unique Motor Museum
Terraced Gardens Gourmet Restaurant
are gathered

THE ULTIMATE ATTRACTION

The underlined words in the source text in both examples (D and E) are used as loan words in the Japanese text, not because they have no equivalents in Japanese but because they sound more modern, smart, high class. The emphasis here is on evoked rather than propositional meaning.

As with the strategy of cultural substitution, the freedom with which translators use loan words will often depend on the norms of translation prevailing in their societies. Arabic and French, for instance, are much less tolerant of loan words than Japanese.
(c) Translation by paraphrase using a related word

This strategy tends to be used when the concept expressed by the source item is lexicalized in the target language but in a different form, and when the frequency with which a certain form is used in the source text is significantly higher than would be natural in the target language (see 2.3.2.1, items (i) and (j)).

Example A
Source text (The Patrick Collection; see Appendix 4):

Hot and cold food and drinks can be found in the Hornet’s Nest, overlooking the Alexick Hall.

Target text (German):

Im Hornet’s Nest, das die Alexick-Halle überblickt, bekommen Sie warme und kalte Speisen und Getränke.

In the Hornet’s Nest, which overlooks the Alexick-Hall, you can have hot and cold meals and drinks.

Example B
Source text (Kolestral Super):

The rich and creamy KOLESTRAL-SUPER is easy to apply and has a pleasant fragrance.

Target text (Arabic):

كُولَيْسَتَرُ السوبر خي ومضف في تركيبته التي تمنح مستحضراً يشبه الكرماً ... 

Kolestral-super is rich and concentrated in its make-up which gives a product that resembles cream . . .

The paraphrase in the Arabic text uses comparison, a strategy which can be used to deal with other types of non-equivalence.

Example C
Source text (China’s Panda Reserves; see Appendix 3, no. 6):

There is strong evidence, however, that giant pandas are related to the bears.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

But there is rather strong evidence that shows that big pandas have a kinship relation with the bears.
Example D
Source text (The Patrick Collection; see Appendix 4):

As well as our enviable location, other facilities include an excellent Conference and Arts Centre, a gourmet restaurant, and beautiful terraced gardens.

Target text (French):

Outre une situation enviable, le Musée prévoit également un Centre de Conférence et des Arts, un restaurant gourmand et de magnifiques jardins implantés en terrasse.

Besides its enviable location, the museum equally provides a Conference and Arts Centre, a gourmet restaurant and magnificent gardens created in a terrace.

(f) Translation by paraphrase using unrelated words

If the concept expressed by the source item is not lexicalized at all in the target language, the paraphrase strategy can still be used in some contexts. Instead of a related word, the paraphrase may be based on modifying a superordinate or simply on unpacking the meaning of the source item, particularly if the item in question is semantically complex.

Example A

In the words of a Lonrho affidavit dated 2 November 1988, the allegations . . .

Target text (Arabic):

وحسب ما ورد في إفادته كتابية مصدقية بقلم بيبين فيتهما مؤسسة لونر بتاريخ 2 نوفمبر 1988، فإن الأدلة . . .

According to the text of a written communication supported by an oath presented by the Lonrho organization and dated 2 November 1988, the allegations . . .

Example B
Source text (Palace and Politics in Prewar Japan – Titus, 1974; see Appendix 6):

If the personality and policy preferences of the Japanese emperor were not very relevant to prewar politics, social forces
certainly were. There are two reasons for giving them only the most tangential treatment here.

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

... There are two reasons for us not having treated this social power in this book except in a very slight degree which is like touching slightly.

**Example C**

Source text (*Brintons* – press release issued by carpet manufacturer; see Appendix 9):

They have a totally integrated operation from the preparation of the yarn through to the weaving process.

Target text (Arabic):

هذا وتقوم الشركة بتنفيذ جميع خطوات الإنتاج بمصانعها، من إعداد القيوتش إلى نسيمها ... 

The company carries out all steps of production in its factories, from preparing the yarn to weaving it ...

**Example D**

Source text (*The Patrick Collection*; see Appendix 4):

You can even dine ‘alfresco’ in the summer on our open air terrace.

Target text (German):

Im Sommer können Sie auch auf der Terrasse im Freien sitzen und essen.

In the summer you can also sit and eat on the terrace in the open.

*Alfresco*, ‘in the open air’, is a loan word in English. Its meaning is unpacked in the German translation. The two expressions, *alfresco* and ‘in the open’, have the same ‘propositional’ meaning, but the German expression lacks the ‘evoked’ meaning of *alfresco*, which is perhaps inevitable in this case. Note that the loan word is placed in inverted commas in the source text.

**Example E**

Source text (*A Study of Shamanistic Practices in Japan*; see Appendix 5):
In other words

On the basis of the world view uncovered by the shaman's faculties, with its vision of another and miraculous plane which could interact causally with our own, the more advanced mystical intuitions of esoteric Buddhism were able to develop.

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

... with the image of another miraculous dimension which can causally influence each other mutually with the daily world ...

Example F

Source text (China's Panda Reserves; see Appendix 3, no. 9):

... the lower mixed broadleaf forests ... are the areas most accessible to and disturbed by Man.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

... the mixed broadleaf forests of the lowland area ... are the places where human beings enter most easily and interfere most.

The main advantage of the paraphrase strategy is that it achieves a high level of precision in specifying propositional meaning. One of its disadvantages is that a paraphrase does not have the status of a lexical item and therefore cannot convey expressive, evoked, or any kind of associative meaning. Expressive and evoked meanings are associated only with stable lexical items which have a history of recurrence in specific contexts. A second disadvantage of using this strategy is that it is cumbersome and awkward to use because it involves filling a one-item slot with an explanation consisting of several items.

(g) Translation by omission

This strategy may sound rather drastic, but in fact it does no harm to omit translating a word or expression in some contexts. If the meaning conveyed by a particular item or expression is not vital enough to the development of the text to justify distracting the reader with lengthy explanations, translators can and often do simply omit translating the word or expression in question.

Example A

Source text (The Patrick Collection; see Appendix 4):

This is your chance to remember the way things were, and for younger visitors to see in real-life detail the way their parents, and their parents before them lived and travelled.
Target text (French):

Voici l’occasion de retrouver votre jeunesse (qui sait?) et pour les plus jeunes de voir comment leurs parents et grands-parents vivaient et voyageaient.

Here is the chance to rediscover your youth (who knows?) and for the younger ones to see how their parents and grandparents used to live and travel.

Example B
Source text (China’s Panda Reserves; see Appendix 3, no. 10):

The panda’s mountain home is rich in plant life and gave us many of the trees, shrubs and herbs most prized in European gardens.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

The mountain settlements of the panda have rich varieties of plants. There are many kinds of trees, shrubs and herbal plants that are preciously regarded by European gardens.

The source text addresses a European audience, and the use of gave us highlights its intended orientation. The Chinese translation addresses a different audience and therefore suppresses the orientation of the source text by omitting expressions which betray its original point of view.

Example C
Source text (Brintons; see Appendix 9)):

The recently introduced New Tradition Axminster range is already creating great interest and will be on display at the Exhibition.

Target text (Arabic):

لا تأثرت مجموعة "نيو تراديشن إكستمير" درجة عالية من الاهتمام منذ أن قامت الشركة بتقديمها حديثاً، وهي من ضمن أنواع السجاد التي سيتمعرضها بالمعرض.

The ‘New Tradition Axminster’ collection has aroused a high degree of interest since the company introduced it recently, and it is among the types of carpets which will be displayed in the exhibition.

There is inevitably some loss of meaning when words and expressions are omitted in a translation. For instance, already in the last example
conveys the idea that the New Tradition Axminster range is creating great interest ‘earlier than anticipated’ and this is lost in the translation. It is therefore advisable to use this strategy only as a last resort, when the advantages of producing a smooth, readable translation clearly outweigh the value of rendering a particular meaning accurately in a given context.

(h) Translation by illustration

This is a useful option if the word which lacks an equivalent in the target language refers to a physical entity which can be illustrated, particularly if there are restrictions on space and if the text has to remain short, concise, and to the point.

Figure 2.1 appeared on a Lipton Yellow Label tea packet prepared for the Arab market. There is no easy way of translating tagged, as in tagged teabags, into Arabic without going into lengthy explanations which would clutter the text. An illustration of a tagged teabag is therefore used instead of a paraphrase.

The examples discussed in this chapter do not, by any means, represent an exhaustive account of the strategies available for dealing with non-equivalence at word level. You are encouraged continually to study and analyse texts prepared by professional translators in order to discover more strategies and learn to assess the advantages and disadvantages of using each strategy in various contexts.
EXERCISES

1 Comment on any differences in meaning between the items in each of the following sets. The differences may relate to expressive or evoked meaning. For instance, some items may be register-specific or dialect-specific, others may be derogatory or neutral. If you are not familiar with a particular word or expression, consult a good dictionary of English before you comment on its meaning.

- car, auto, automobile, motor, limousine, limo, banger, jalopy
- comfortable, comfy, homely, cosy, snug (of a place)
- dad, daddy, pa, papa, pop, father, pater, sire, old man

Now list all the words and expressions you can think of which are available in your target language for *car*, *comfortable*, and *father*. Comment on any differences in meaning between (a) the individual items in each set, and (b) the English items above and the items in the corresponding sets in your target language.

2 Make a list of all the English verbs you can think of which have to do with speech, such as *say*, *suggest*, *complain*, *mumble*, *mutter*, *murmur*, *whisper*, *speak*, *tell*, and so on. Try to group them into sets, starting with the more general ones.

Now list all the verbs of speech you can think of in your target language, starting with the more general ones. Comment on the presence or absence of any semantic gaps in your target language vis-à-vis English.

Repeat this exercise using nouns which may come under the general heading of *publications*. In English, this would include *book*, *newspaper*, *magazine*, *newsletter*, *bulletin*, *journal*, *report*, *pamphlet*, *periodical*, etc.

3 Make a list of ten English words which you feel are particularly difficult to translate into your target language. Comment on the source of difficulty in each case.

4 Make a list of three English affixes which systematically produce forms that have no direct equivalents in your target language. Suggest suitable paraphrases for each affix.

5 Find the full extract of Stephen Hawking's *A Brief History of Time* in Appendix 1. Produce two translations of it in your target language. One translation should aim at giving the target reader a straightforward account of the contents of the text. In producing the second translation, assume that Professor Hawking, or his publisher, has authorised you to use whatever strategies are necessary to ensure that the reader's attention will be captured in these opening passages.

Comment on the different strategies used in each translation.
SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING

On words and morphemes

On lexical meaning

On semantic fields

On non-equivalence and translation strategies
Barnwell, K. (1974) Introduction to Semantics and Translation (High Wycombe: Summer Institute of Linguistics), Chapter 9: 'Transferring lexical meaning from one language to another'.

NOTES
1 Bolinger and Sears do not in fact adopt this definition. They prefer to define words as 'the least elements between which other elements can be inserted with relative freedom' (1968: 43).
2 I use 'speaker' as shorthand for 'speaker and/or writer'.
3 Gregory (1980: 464) suggests that 'distinctions amongst modes of discourse, if they are to be useful and revealing, have to be more delicate than the simple spoken–written dichotomy'. He distinguishes, for instance, between speaking spontaneously and non-spontaneously, and within spontaneous speech between conversing and monologuing. In non-spontaneous speech, he distinguishes between reciting (i.e. performing in the oral tradition) and the speaking of what is written. For a detailed discussion of these and other distinctions in mode, see Gregory and Carroll (1978).

4 Some linguists would not accept this view, or at least not totally. See, for instance, Lyons (1977:260): 'The external world or reality is not just an undifferentiated continuum.' I personally tend to agree with Lowe (1985: 4):

Reality, the world of experience, consists of a continuous, uninterrupted flow of impressions of all sorts which man can perceive with his physical senses. Human language, by categorizing these impressions through the various representational systems it has developed, has introduced some sort of discontinuity into this flow of impressions – hence the expression 'to split' the world of experience – by providing man with a mental vision or representation of experience. In fact, by providing man with a certain conceptualization of reality, every language proposes an original, discontinuous vision of the universe of experience. And in a sense, every meaningful unit of a given language participates in some way in the creation of the global mental vision of the world this particular language proposes to its speakers.

5 The notion of lexical set has a more specific definition in the study of lexis. Briefly, a lexical set consists of items which have a like privilege of collocation (see Chapter 3, section 3.1 for a discussion of collocation).

6 COBUILD stands for 'Collins Birmingham University International Language Database'. At the time of writing this book, the COBUILD corpus consisted of 20 million words of spoken and written English.

7 ITI News, vol. 3, no. 3, December 1988. ITI is the Institute of Translation and Interpreting, United Kingdom.

8 As defined by the COBUILD Dictionary of English (Sinclair, 1987b).

9 From the COBUILD corpus of English; see note 6 above.

10 Ming Xie, translator (personal communication).


12 As defined by the COBUILD Dictionary of English (Sinclair, 1987b).


14 Article published in The Independent newspaper (8 November 1988) – copy attached to Tiny Rowland's A Hero from Zero, a document describing the acquisition of the House of Fraser by Mohamed Fayed.
The great pest of speech is frequency of translation. No book was ever turned from one language into another, without imparting something of its native idiom; this is the most mischievous and comprehensive innovation; single words may enter by thousands, and the fabric of the tongue continue the same, but new phraseology changes much at once; it alters not the single stones of the building, but the order of the columns. If an academy should be established for the cultivation of our style, ... let them, instead of compiting grammars and dictionaries, endeavour, with all their influence, to stop the licence of translators, whose idleness and ignorance, if it be suffered to proceed, will reduce us to babble a dialect of France.

(Samuel Johnson, Preface to the Dictionary, 1755: xii)

In the previous chapter, we discussed problems arising from non-equivalence at word level and explored a number of attested strategies for dealing with such problems. In this chapter, we will go one step further to consider what happens when words start combining with other words to form stretches of language.

It goes without saying that words rarely occur on their own; they almost always occur in the company of other words. But words are not strung together at random in any language; there are always restrictions on the way they can be combined to convey meaning. Restrictions which admit no exceptions, and particularly those which apply to classes of words rather than individual words, are usually written down in the form of rules. One of the rules of English, for example, is that a determiner cannot come after a noun. A sequence such as beautiful girl the is therefore inadmissible in English.\footnote{Some restrictions are more likely to admit exceptions and apply to individual words rather than classes of words. These cannot be}
expressed in terms of rules, but they can nevertheless be identified as recurrent patterns in the language. In the following sections, we will concentrate on this type of lexical patterning. We will discuss, for instance, the ‘likelihood’ of certain words occurring with other words and the naturalness or typicality of the resulting combinations. In particular, we will address the difficulties encountered by translators as a result of differences in the lexical patterning of the source and target languages.

Lexical patterning will be dealt with under two main headings: collocation and idioms and fixed expressions.

3.1 COLLOCATION

Why do builders not produce a building or authors not invent a novel, since they do invent stories and plots? No reason as far as dictionary definitions of words are concerned. We don’t say it because we don’t say it.

(Bolinger and Sears, 1968: 55)

When we discussed lexical meaning in Chapter 2, we made a brief reference to collocation under presupposed meaning and defined it tentatively as ‘semantically arbitrary restrictions which do not follow logically from the propositional meaning of a word’ (p. 14). Another way of looking at collocation would be to think of it in terms of the tendency of certain words to co-occur regularly in a given language.

At one level, the tendency of certain words to co-occur has to do with their propositional meanings. For example, cheque is more likely to occur with bank, pay, money and write than with moon, butter, playground or repair. However, meaning cannot always account for collocational patterning. If it did, we might expect carry out, undertake or even perform to collocate with visit. Yet, English speakers typically pay a visit, less typically make a visit, and are unlikely to perform a visit. We do not speak of grilling bread, even though we put it under the grill (Newman, 1988). When butter or eggs go bad they are described in English as rancid and addled, respectively. Both rancid and addled mean ‘stale/rotten’, but addled butter and rancid eggs are unacceptable or at least unlikely collocations in English (Palmer, 1976). Moreover, words which we might think of as synonyms or near-synonyms will often have quite different sets of collocates. English speakers typically break rules but they do not break regulations; they typically talk of wasting time but not of squandering time. Both deliver a verdict and pronounce a verdict are acceptable collocations in English. Likewise, pronounce a sentence and pronounce a response would be valid collocations in English.
In other words

Table 3.1 Unpredictability of collocational patterning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>unblemished</th>
<th>spotless</th>
<th>flawless</th>
<th>immaculate</th>
<th>impeccable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>performance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>argument</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexion</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>behaviour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kitchen</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>record</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reputation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taste</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>order</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>credentials</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ = common/acceptable collocation
- = unacceptable/unlikely collocation
? = questionable/may be acceptable in some idiolects

acceptable and means more or less the same as *deliver/pronounce a verdict*. And yet, *deliver a sentence* is an unlikely collocation. Cruse gives a similar example (1986: 281). The adjectives *unblemished, spotless, flawless, immaculate*, and *impeccable* can be thought of as synonyms or near-synonyms, and yet they do not combine freely with the same set of nouns (see Table 3.1).

When two words collocate, the relationship can hold between all or several of their various forms, combined in any grammatically acceptable order. For example, *achieving aims, aims having been achieved, achievable aims, and the achievement of an aim* are all equally acceptable and typical in English. On the other hand, it is often the case that words will collocate with other words in some of their forms but not in others. We *bend rules* in English but are unlikely to describe *rules as unbendable*. Instead, we usually talk of *rules being inflexible.*

It would seem, then, that the patterns of collocation are largely arbitrary and independent of meaning. This is so both within and across languages. The same degree of mismatch that can be observed when comparing the collocational patterns of synonyms and near-synonyms within the same language is evident in the collocational patterning of 'dictionary equivalents/near equivalents' in two languages. For example, the English verb *deliver* collocates with a number of nouns, for each of which Arabic uses a different verb. The Arabic 'dictionary equivalent' of *deliver* is *yussallim.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Arabic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>deliver a letter/telegram</td>
<td>yusallimu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deliver a speech/lecture</td>
<td>yulqi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deliver news</td>
<td>yangilu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deliver a blow</td>
<td>yuwaajihu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deliver a verdict</td>
<td>yusdiru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deliver a baby</td>
<td>yuwallidu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>khitaaban/tillighraafan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>khutbatan/muhaadaratan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>akhaabaran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>darbatan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hukman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>imra’atan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last Arabic expression, yuwallidu imra’atan, literally means something like ‘deliver a woman’ or ‘assist a woman in childbirth’. In the process of childbirth, Arabic focuses on the woman, whereas English prefers to focus on the baby; it would be unacceptable, under normal circumstances, to speak of delivering a woman in Modern English. This suggests that differences in collocational patterning among languages are not just a question of using, say, a different verb with a given noun; they can involve totally different ways of portraying an event. Patterns of collocation reflect the preferences of specific language communities for certain modes of expression and certain linguistic configurations; they rarely reflect any inherent order in the world around us. As Sinclair so aptly puts it, ‘there are many ways of saying things, many choices within language that have little or nothing to do with the world outside’ (1987a: 320). This is not to say that collocations do not often reflect the cultural setting in which they are embedded. Some collocations are in fact a direct reflection of the material, social, or moral environment in which they occur. This explains why bread collocates with butter in English but not in Arabic. Buy a house is a frequent collocation in English, but in German it is very rare because the practice of house-buying is very different in the two cultures (Alexander, 1987). Law and order is a common collocation in English; in Arabic a more typical collocation would be al-qanun wa al-taqaalid (‘law and convention/tradition’). The English collocation reflects the high value that English speakers place on order and the Arabic collocation reflects the high respect accorded by Arabs to the concept of tradition.

3.1.1 Collocational range and collocational markedness

Every word in a language can be said to have a range of items with which it is compatible, to a greater or lesser degree. **Range** here refers to the set of collocates, that is other words, which are typically associated with the word in question. Some words have a much wider range of collocate than others.
broader collocational range than others. The English verb *shrug*, for instance, has a rather limited collocational range. It typically occurs with *shoulders* and does not have a particularly strong link with any other word in the language. *Run*, by contrast, has a vast collocational range, some of its typical collocates being *company*, *business*, *show*, *car*, *stockings*, *tights*, *nose*, *wild*, *debt*, *bill*, *river*, *course*, *water*, and *colour*, among others.

Two main factors can influence the collocational range of an item (Beekman and Callow, 1974). The first is its level of specificity: the more general a word is, the broader its collocational range; the more specific it is, the more restricted its collocational range. The verb *bury* is likely to have a much broader collocational range than any of its hyponyms, such as *inter* or *entomb*, for example. Only *people* can be *interred*, but you can *bury people*, *a treasure*, *your head*, *face*, *feelings*, and *memories*. The second factor which determines the collocational range of an item is the number of senses it has. Most words have several senses and they tend to attract a different set of collocates for each sense. For example, in its sense of 'manage', the verb *run* collocates with words like *company*, *institution*, and *business*. In its sense of 'operate or provide', it collocates with words like *service* and *course*. It is, of course, perfectly reasonable to argue that the opposite is also true, that it is the collocational patterning of a word that determines its different senses (see 3.1.3 below). Either way, it is clear that there is a strong relationship between the number of senses a word has and its collocational range.

It will be obvious from our discussion of collocation so far that, unlike grammatical statements, statements about collocation are made in terms of what is typical or untypical rather than what is admissible or inadmissible. This means that there is no such thing as an impossible collocation. New and unusual combinations of words occur frequently and we do not necessarily dismiss them as unacceptable. The reason for this is that collocational ranges are not fixed. Words attract new collocates all the time; they do so naturally, through processes of analogy, or because speakers create unusual collocations on purpose. But how does this work in practice and what do unusual patterns of collocation achieve?

Patterns of collocation which have a history of recurrence in the language become part of our standard linguistic repertoire and we do not stop to think about them when we encounter them in text. By contrast, collocations which have little or no history of recurrence catch our attention and strike us as unusual. In wording his/her message, a speaker or writer has two broad options. S/he can
reinforce the patterns of collocation which already exist in the language by adhering to them:

Herman J. Mankiewicz had been a fine screenwriter ..., a compulsive gambler, a famous drunk, a slashing wit, and a man who was almost ferociously accident prone.

(Shirley MacLaine, You Can Get There From Here, 1975: 66–7; my emphasis)

Alternatively, s/he can create variations on an existing pattern by, for instance, extending the range of an item:

I first met Hugh Fraser in 1977. Charming, rather hesitant, a heavy smoker and heavy gambler, he had made such headway through his fortune that he had decided to sell his last major asset, the controlling shares in the business which his father had built up and named Scottish and Universal Investments.

(Lonrho, A Hero from Zero, p. 1; my emphasis)

The difference between compulsive gambler and heavy gambler is that the first is a common collocation in English, whereas the second represents an attempt to extend the range of heavy to include heavy gambler, by analogy with heavy smoker and heavy drinker. The collocation heavy gambler does not strike us as particularly unusual because it only involves a slight extension of an existing range. This kind of natural extension of a range is far less striking than marked collocations which involve deliberate confusion of collocational ranges to create new images – a marked collocation being an unusual combination of words, one that challenges our expectations as hearers or readers.² Marked collocations are often used in fiction, poetry, humour, and advertisements precisely for this reason: because they can create unusual images, produce laughter and catch the reader's attention. The following example of marked collocation is from John Le Carré's The Russia House (1989: 102; my emphasis):

Some tout at the book fair wanted me to take UK rights in a book on glasnost and the crisis of peace. Essays by past and present hawks, reappraisals of strategy. Could real peace break out after all?

War normally breaks out, but peace prevails. These unmarked collocations suggest that war is a temporary and undesirable situation and that peace is a normal and desirable one. The deliberate mixing of collocational ranges in the above extract conveys the unexpected
image of peace being an abnormal, temporary, and possibly even an undesirable situation.

To sum up, we create new collocations all the time, either by extending an existing range or by deliberately putting together words from different or opposing ranges. As well as being reinforced, the established patterns in a language can therefore be used as a backdrop against which new images and new meanings can be invoked. New collocations often catch on, are reinforced by usage and eventually become part of the standard repertoire of the language. In turn, they can be used as a backdrop for communicating new meanings by creating new collocations, and so the cycle continues.

3.1.2 Collocation and register

Collocational patterns are not always typical/untypical in relation to the language system as a whole. You may have noted that all the examples used so far have been of common, everyday collocations which are more or less familiar to all of us, regardless of our occupations, special interests or hobbies. Some collocations may seem untypical in everyday language but are common in specific registers. Sinclair (1966) explains that dull highlights and vigorous depressions may sound odd in everyday English but are common collocations in the fields of photography and meteorology respectively. In statistics, collocations such as biased error and tolerable error are common and acceptable. A reader who is not familiar with the register of statistics may wrongly assume that these collocations are marked. However, collocational markedness is not an absolute quality; it always depends on what the norm is in a given register.

Register-specific collocations are not simply the set of terms that go with a discipline. They extend far beyond the list of terms that one normally finds in specialized dictionaries and glossaries. It is not enough, for instance, to know that data in computer language forms part of compound terms such as data processing and data bank and to become familiar with the dictionary equivalents of such terms in the target language. In order to translate computer literature, a translator must, among other things, be aware that in English computer texts, data may be handled, extracted, processed, manipulated, and retrieved, but not typically shifted, treated, arranged, or tackled. A translator of computer literature must also be familiar with the way in which the equivalent of data is used in his/her corresponding target texts, that is, with the set of collocates which
are compatible with the equivalent of *data*. Being a native speaker of a language does not automatically mean that the translator can assess the acceptability or typicality of register-specific collocations. This is largely why courses in specialized and technical language form an important component of translation training syllabuses.

### 3.1.3 Collocational meaning

In Chapter 2, meaning was discussed almost as if it was a property that each word possesses in its own right. It is, however, disputable whether a word on its own can ‘mean’ anything. What we do when we are asked to give an account of the meaning of a word in isolation is to contextualize it in its most typical collocations rather than its rarer ones. Asked to explain what *dry* means, we are likely to think of collocations such as *dry clothes*, *dry river*, and *dry weather*, which would prompt the definition ‘free from water’. As we move away from the most common collocations of *dry*, it becomes clear that the meaning of *dry* depends largely on its pattern of collocation and is not something that the word possesses in isolation.

Try paraphrasing the meaning of *dry* in each of the following combinations:

- dry cow
- dry bread
- dry wine
- dry sound
- dry voice
- dry country
- dry book
- dry humour
- dry run

Most, if not all of the above collocations have unique meanings. This suggests that what a word means often depends on its association with certain collocates. When the translation of a word or a stretch of language is criticized as being inaccurate or inappropriate in a given context, the criticism may refer to the translator’s inability to recognize a collocational pattern with a unique meaning different from the sum of the meanings of its individual elements. A translator who renders *dry voice* for instance as ‘a voice which is not moist’ would be mistranslating *dry* in this context, having failed to recognize that when it collocates with *voice* it means ‘cold’, in the sense of not expressing emotion. Likewise, a translator who renders *run a car* as ‘drive a car fast’ would be misinterpreting *run* in this context. Taking account of collocational meaning rather than substituting individual words with their dictionary equivalents is therefore crucial at the first stage of translation, that is when the translator is interpreting the source text.

Note that even when there appears to be a close match between
collocational patterns in two languages, they may not carry the same meaning. For example, *to run a car* in English means 'to own, use, and be able to maintain a car financially'. In modern Greek, to speak of a car 'running' simply means that it is being driven fast or with excessive speed.

3.1.4 Some collocation related pitfalls and problems in translation

Differences in the collocational patterning of the source and target languages create potential pitfalls and can pose various problems in translation. Some of these problems are more difficult to handle than others. The following are some of the more common pitfalls and problems that are often encountered in translating non-literary texts. Where applicable, examples are given of strategies used by professional translators to overcome the problems under discussion. The English collocation which poses a translation problem is underlined. The collocation or expression which substitutes it in the target text is highlighted in bold.

3.1.4.1 The engrossing effect of source text patterning

It is easy to assume that as long as a collocation can be found in the target language which conveys the same or a similar meaning to that of the source collocation, the translator will not be confused by differences in the surface patterning between the two. For example, *strong tea* is literally 'dense tea' in Japanese; *break the law* is an unacceptable collocation in Arabic, the common collocation being, literally, 'contradict the law'; likewise, *keep a dog/cat* is unacceptable in Danish, where the usual expression is 'hold a dog/cat'. A Japanese, Arabic, or Danish translator, one might assume, would not hesitate to make the necessary adjustment since, to all intents and purposes, the English/Japanese, English/Arabic, and English/Danish collocations have the same meanings, respectively. There are, nevertheless, many published translations which testify to the contrary. Translators sometimes get quite engrossed in the source text and may produce the oddest collocations in the target language for no justifiable reason. Here is an example from *A Hero from Zero* (p. iv).

Back at the dull mahogany tables of the Commission, I found myself again seated opposite the familiar, tall, languid figure of Sir Godfray Le Quesne, rocking gently on his chair, with his hands clasped behind his head, and his eyes closed as he listened or slept.
through the hearings. He’d been looking into us for four years, and knew our business backwards. What am I doing here, I thought, as I gazed by the hour and by the month at the hole in the sole of his leather shoe, and wondered why Lonrho’s bid was in the hands of a man who couldn’t organise his own shoe repairs.

The French translator of *A Hero from Zero* (a document produced by Lonrho Plc. about the acquisition of the House of Fraser by Mohamed Fayed) rendered *shoe repairs* as *réparer ses chaussures* (‘to repair his shoes’), which is a literal translation from English. In French, *réparer* collocates with things like ‘fridges’, ‘cars’, and machines in general, but it does not collocate with *chaussures*. The translator should have used *ressemeler ses chaussures* (‘resole his shoes’), which is a far more natural collocation in French.

Confusing source and target patterns is a pitfall that can easily be avoided once the translator is alerted to the potential influence that the collocational patterning of the source text can have on him/her. A good method of detaching oneself from the source text is to put the draft translation aside for a few hours. One can then return to the target text with a better chance of responding to its patterning as a target reader eventually would, having not been exposed to and therefore influenced by the source-text patterning in the first place. At any rate, translators are well advised to avoid carrying over source-language collocational patterns which are untypical of the target language, unless there is a very good reason for doing so.

3.1.4.2 Misinterpreting the meaning of a source-language collocation

A translator can easily misinterpret a collocation in the source text due to interference from his/her native language. This happens when a source-language collocation appears to be familiar because it corresponds in form to a common collocation in the target language. I am assuming here that the professional translator would normally be working from a foreign language into his/her native language or language of habitual use (see Code of Professional Conduct, Institute of Translation and Interpreting, UK). The following example is also from *A Hero from Zero* (p. 59):

All this represents only a part of all that Forbes Magazine reported on Fayed in the March issue mentioned before. In 1983, he had approached the industrialist Robert O. Anderson under the cover of a commission agent. The industrialist had been struck by his
appearance as someone with modest means. Mr. Anderson was therefore astonished by his sudden acquisition of a considerable fortune.

Target text (Arabic, p. 69):

وقد رأى فيه رجل الناقة فسناً، ينتم مطموراً إلى التوامج والباسطة.

Back-translation:

The industrialist saw in him a person whose appearance suggests modesty and simplicity.

The collocation modest means suggests lack of affluence in English. The equivalent of 'modest' in Arabic (mutawaadi') can suggest a similar meaning in some collocations such as dakhli mutawaadi' ('small income'). However, both the adjective mutawaadi' ('modest' and the noun tawaadu' ('modesty') used in connection with a person usually mean that s/he is unassuming. This interpretation is further reinforced by the addition of basaata ('simplicity'). The translator of the above extract seems to have confused the collocational patterns of English and Arabic, thus misinterpreting the source collocation and communicating the wrong meaning in the target text.

3.1.4.3 The tension between accuracy and naturalness.

In rendering unmarked source-language collocations into his/her target language, a translator ideally aims at producing a collocation which is typical in the target language while, at the same time, preserving the meaning associated with the source collocation. This ideal cannot always be achieved. Translation often involves a tension – a difficult choice between what is typical and what is accurate.

The nearest acceptable collocation in the target language will often involve some change in meaning. This change in meaning may be minimal, or not particularly significant in a given context. On the other hand, it may be significant; for example, a good/bad law in English is typically a 'just/unjust law' in Arabic. The significance of this difference in meaning depends on whether the issue of 'justice' is in focus in a given text and whether the context favours avoiding explicit reference to justice. Similarly, the nearest acceptable collocation which can replace hard drink in Arabic is 'alcoholic drinks'. But hard drink refers only to spirits in English, for example whisky, gin, and brandy. It does not include other alcoholic drinks such as beer, lager, or sherry. The Arabic collocation, however, refers to any
alcoholic drink, including beer, lager, sherry, as well as spirits. The meanings of the two collocations therefore do not map completely. Whether the translator opts for the typical Arabic collocation or tries to translate the full meaning of hard drink, possibly by a circumlocution, will depend on whether the distinction between hard and soft alcoholic drinks is significant or relevant in a given context. A certain amount of loss, addition, or skewing of meaning is often unavoidable in translation; language systems tend to be too different to produce exact replicas in most cases. The degree of acceptability or non-acceptability of a change in meaning depends on the significance of this change in a given context. Accuracy is no doubt an important aim in translation, but it is also important to bear in mind that the use of common target-language patterns which are familiar to the target reader plays an important role in keeping the communication channels open. The use of established patterns of collocation also helps to distinguish between a smooth translation, one that reads like an original, and a clumsy translation which sounds ‘foreign’.

Here are some examples of translations which have opted for naturalness at the expense of accuracy. The change in meaning involved in the following examples is not significant enough to justify cluttering the text with additional explanations or using untypical target collocations:

**Example A**
Source text (*Brintons*; see Appendix 9):

New Tradition offers a fascinating series of traditional patterns in miniature using rich jewel-like colours that glow against dark backgrounds.

Target text (Arabic):

تقدم مجموعة "نيو تراديشن" عدد من التصميمات التقليدية الممتعة بحجم صغيرة، في الألوان باهرة كالوان الجواهر، تزيد الفلئيات الداكنة من لوعها.

Back-translation:

The ‘New Tradition’ collection presents a number of fascinating designs in a reduced size, in dazzling colours like the colours of gems, the glowing of which is enhanced by the dark backgrounds.

*Rich colours* are vivid and deep. The Arabic collocation suggests brightness rather than depth of colour.
In other words

Example B
Source text (China's Panda Reserves; see Appendix 3, no. 4):
These young pandas in Beijing Zoo are great crowd pullers.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):
These young pandas in the Beijing Zoo attract a lot of spectators.

Crowd pullers is not an acceptable collocation in Chinese. In addition, the expression is quite informal. Chinese does not favour informal style in written discourse. Although much of the evoked meaning of crowd pullers is lost in the Chinese translation, the collocation used to replace it is more natural and stylistically more acceptable.

Example C
Source text (China's Panda Reserves; see Appendix 3, no. 60):
The Chinese people have already made substantial efforts to protect the giant panda, which is considered to be a national treasure. Nevertheless, we are at a critical time for this species.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):
The Chinese people have already done a lot to protect the big panda which is regarded as a national treasure. However, we are at the crucial moment when the panda is in the condition of life-death-existence-extinction.

Critical time is replaced by a more typical Chinese fixed expression which has a similar, though perhaps more emphatic, meaning.
The following examples involve a more significant change in meaning. You may or may not agree with the translator's decision to opt for a typical target collocation in each case:

Example D
Source text (The Independent):
Tiny Rowland is a crisp writer than Peter Wright and has an even stranger story to tell.

Target text (Arabic):
لا ينتمي رولند أحداً من بيتر رايت، كما أن المكالمة التي يرويها أغرب بكثير.
Back-translation:

Tiny Rowland has a sharper pen than Peter Wright’s, and also the story which he is narrating is much stranger.

Crisp writing is clear, concise writing. The collocation suggests approval. In Arabic, ‘sharp pen’ is a common and typical collocation. However, both its propositional and expressive meanings are quite different from those of crisp writing in English. A writer is described as having a ‘sharp pen’ in Arabic if s/he is a fierce critic. The collocation does not suggest approval.

Example F
Source text (A Hero from Zero, p. 13):

In fact, the money came from the Sultan of Brunei, a naive individual, easily romanced and seduced by the oily charm of Mohamed Fayed.

Target text (Arabic, p. 27):

ولكن الواقع أن هذه الأموال قد جاءت من سلطان بروناي وهو الإنسان ساذج يصدق القصص الخيالية المنفلقة، والرائد السحر الزائف لمحمد فايد.

Back-translation:

But the fact is that this money had come from the Sultan of Brunei and he is a naive person who believes imaginary, fake stories, and the false charm of Mohammed Fayed tempted him.

To describe someone as having oily charm in English means not only that they are insincere, but also that there is something particularly unpleasant, even sickening, in the way they show excessive politeness or flatter people. In Arabic, ‘false charm’ merely suggests that someone who appears charming at first glance may not turn out to be as good as they think or claim to be.

3.1.4.4 Culture-specific collocations

Some collocations reflect the cultural setting in which they occur. If the cultural settings of the source and target languages are significantly different, there will be instances when the source text will contain collocations which convey what to the target reader would be unfamiliar associations of ideas. Such culture-specific
collocations express ideas previously unexpressed in the target language. Like culture-specific words, they point to concepts which are not easily accessible to the target reader.

Example A
Source text (Euralex Circular; see Appendix 8):

Papers relating to the lesser-known languages will be particularly welcome.

Target text (back-translated from Russian):

We intend to discuss separately questions concerning the so-called 'small', i.e. less widespread and 'big', i.e. more widespread languages.

In English academic writing, it is common and acceptable to talk about 'lesser-known languages', as well as 'major languages' and 'minor languages'. Russian has no equivalent collocations. Furthermore, the political and social setting of Russian makes it potentially offensive to draw a distinction between better-known and lesser-known, or major and minor languages. The translator of the above extract seems to be aware of the oddity of such associations in Russian and their potential for causing offence. Hence, inverted commas are used around 'small' and 'big', they are each followed by a paraphrase, and the whole expression is preceded by 'so-called', which serves to distance the writer/translator from the associations made.

Note that the translation of culture-specific collocations involves a partial increase in information. This is unavoidable inasmuch as unfamiliar associations of ideas cannot simply be introduced in a target text without giving the reader some hint as to how to interpret them.

Example B
Source text (Kolestral Super):

KOLESTRAL-SUPER is ideal for all kinds of hair, especially for damaged, dry and brittle hair.

Target text (Arabic):

كولسترال سوبر هو مغذي لجميع أنواع الشعر خصوصاً لشعر المجفف المك książki أو الدهم والกาย للشعر الجاف أو السيف البنية والقابل للكسر.
Back-translation:

Kolestral-super is ideal for all kinds of hair, especially for the split-ends hair, harmed or damaged hair and also for hair which is dry, of weak structure or liable to breaking.

Sometimes, translators opt for accuracy of meaning, or for what appears to them to be accuracy of meaning, at the expense of all else. It is unfortunate that some translators still feel that their job is to reproduce everything in the source text, come what may. The above extract is taken from an instruction leaflet which accompanies a hair conditioner. Common collocates of hair in English include dry, oily, damaged, permed, fine, flyaway and brittle, among others. These collocations reflect a cultural reality in the English-speaking world. A large number of English speakers have fine, flyaway hair, which also tends to be brittle. Common collocates of 'hair' in Arabic are mainly 'split-ends', 'dry', 'oily', 'coarse', and 'smooth'. These collocations also reflect the cultural reality of the Arabic-speaking world. The collocations damaged hair and brittle hair have no close equivalents in Arabic. The translator of the above extract nevertheless feels obliged to reproduce every possible aspect of meaning conveyed in the source text, regardless of whether the source collocations are likely to have any significance in the Arabic context. The collocations and the lengthy explanations given in Arabic 'mean' very little to the Arab reader. Moreover, it is doubtful whether 'damaged hair' and 'brittle hair' would, in fact, be seen as problems by the average Arab. It is reasonable to assume that people only seek solutions for problems they are aware of or which they are likely to have.

3.1.4.5 Marked collocations in the source text

Unusual combinations of words are sometimes used in the source text in order to create new images (see 3.1.2 above). Ideally, the translation of a marked collocation will be similarly marked in the target language. This is, however, always subject to the constraints of the target language and to the purpose of the translation in question.

Example A


Canada has chosen to 'entrench' its dual cultural heritage in its institutions and, as a result, official translation has taken firm root.
In other words

Target text (French, p. 8):

Canada a choisi «d’enchaîner» — le mot est hélas! à la mode — son double héritage culturel dans ses institutions et la traduction officielle y est, par conséquent, solidement enracinée.

Back-translation:

Canada has chosen to 'insert' — the word is alas in fashion! — its double cultural heritage in its institutions and official translation is, as a consequence, solidly rooted there.

The reader of the source text is alerted to the writer's wish to communicate an unusual image by the inverted commas around entrench. In the target text, the marked collocation is further highlighted by means of an interjection from the translator ('the word is alas in fashion').

Example B


The young ethnic child begins to lose the first language mainly because of impoverishment of reference. What this means is that as the child becomes more and more exposed to English outside the home, he lacks the linguistic resources to deal with many topics in the first language, which tends to become restricted to household matters. We call this phenomenon 'kitchen German', from the observation among many adults of European background in Western Canada whose only remembrance of their parents' language consists of a few words or phrases to do with household chores.

Target text (French, p. 22):

... Nous inspirant de la désignation «kitchen German», nous dirons qu'il y a là une langue «popote» comme on a pu l'observer chez nombre d'adultes d'origine européenne établis dans l'Ouest canadien. La compétence langagière est toujours lié par des associations habituelles à des contextes particuliers.

Back-translation:

... Inspiring us from the name 'kitchen German', we shall say that there is a 'cooking' language as one has been able to observe among a number of adults of European origin established in Western Canada. The language competence is always connected by habitual associations to particular contexts.
Note again the use of inverted commas around marked collocations in the source and target texts.

To conclude our brief discussion of collocation, I would reiterate that language is not made up of a large number of words which can be used together in free variation. Words have a certain tolerance of compatibility. Like individual words, collocational patterns carry meaning and can be culture-specific. This, in addition to their largely arbitrary nature, gives rise to numerous pitfalls and problems in translation.

### 3.2 Idioms and Fixed Expressions

Generally speaking, collocations are fairly flexible patterns of language which allow several variations in form. For example, *deliver a letter, delivery of a letter, a letter has been delivered, and having delivered a letter* are all acceptable collocations. In addition, although the meaning of a word often depends on what other words it occurs with, we can still say that the word in question has an individual meaning in a given collocation. Thus, *dry cow* means a cow which does not produce milk. We can still identify a particular meaning associated with the word *dry* in this collocation, and, of course, *cow* still retains its familiar meaning of ‘a farm animal kept for its milk’.

Idioms and fixed expressions are at the extreme end of the scale from collocations in one or both of these areas: flexibility of patterning and transparency of meaning. They are frozen patterns of language which allow little or no variation in form and, in the case of idioms, often carry meanings which cannot be deduced from their individual components.

An idiom such as *bury the hatchet* (‘to become friendly again after a disagreement or a quarrel’) or *the long and the short of it* (‘the basic facts of the situation’) allows no variation in form under normal circumstances. Unless s/he is consciously making a joke or attempting a play on words, a speaker or writer cannot normally do any of the following with an idiom:

1. change the order of the words in it (e.g. *‘the short and the long of it’*);
2. delete a word from it (e.g. *‘spill beans’*);
3. add a word to it (e.g. *‘the very long and short of it’*; *‘face the classical music’*);
4. replace a word with another (e.g. *‘the tall and the short of it’; ‘bury a hatchet’*);
5. change its grammatical structure (e.g. *‘the music was faced’*).
As their name suggests, fixed expressions such as *having said that, as a matter of fact, Ladies and Gentlemen, and all the best, as well as proverbs such as *practise what you preach* and *waste not want not*, allow little or no variation in form. In this respect, they behave very much like idioms. Unlike idioms, however, fixed expressions and proverbs often have fairly transparent meanings. The meaning of *as a matter of fact* can easily be deduced from the meanings of the words which constitute it, unlike the meaning of an idiom such as *pull a fast one* or *fill the bill*. But in spite of its transparency, the meaning of a fixed expression or proverb is somewhat more than the sum meanings of its words; the expression has to be taken as one unit to establish meaning. This is true of any fixed, recurring pattern of the language. Encountering any fixed expression conjures up in the mind of the reader or hearer all the aspects of experience which are associated with the typical contexts in which the expression is used. It is precisely this feature which lies behind the widespread use of fixed and semi-fixed expressions in any language. They encapsulate all the stereotyped aspects of experience and therefore perform a stabilizing function in communication. Situation- or register-specific formulae such as *Many happy returns, Merry Christmas, Further to your letter of . . .*, and *Yours sincerely* are particularly good examples of the stabilizing role and the special status that a fixed expression can assume in communication.

### 3.2.1 Idioms, fixed expressions, and the direction of translation

Although most idioms resist variation in form, some are more flexible than others. For example, a BBC radio reporter once quoted a conference speaker as saying 'There was too much buck passing' (Baker and McCarthy, 1988). The common form of the idiom is *pass the buck* (*refuse to accept responsibility for something*). And yet, we would not expect to hear *There was too much way giving for give way* (*allow someone to do something you disapprove of*).

A person's competence in actively using the idioms and fixed expressions of a foreign language hardly ever matches that of a native speaker. The majority of translators working into a foreign language cannot hope to achieve the same sensitivity that native speakers seem to have for judging when and how an idiom can be manipulated. This lends support to the argument that translators should only work into their language of habitual use or mother tongue. The Code of Professional Ethics of the Translators' Guild of Great Britain⁵ states:
A translator shall work only into the language (in exceptional cases this may include a second language) of which he has native knowledge. ‘Native knowledge’ is defined as the ability to speak and write a language so fluently that the expression of thought is structurally, grammatically and idiomatically correct.

(quoted in Meuss, 1981:278; my emphasis)

Assuming that a professional translator would, under normal circumstances, work only into his/her language of habitual use, the difficulties associated with being able to use idioms and fixed expressions correctly in a foreign language need not be addressed here. The main problems that idiomatic and fixed expressions pose in translation relate to two main areas: the ability to recognize and interpret an idiom correctly; and the difficulties involved in rendering the various aspects of meaning that an idiom or a fixed expression conveys into the target language. These difficulties are much more pronounced in the case of idioms than they are in the case of fixed expressions.

3.2.2 The interpretation of idioms

As far as idioms are concerned, the first difficulty that a translator comes across is being able to recognize that s/he is dealing with an idiomatic expression. This is not always so obvious. There are various types of idioms, some more easily recognizable than others. Those which are easily recognizable include expressions which violate truth conditions, such as It’s raining cats and dogs, throw caution to the winds, storm in a tea cup, jump down someone’s throat, and food for thought. They also include expressions which seem ill-formed because they do not follow the grammatical rules of the language, for example trip the light fantastic, blow someone to kingdom come, put paid to, the powers that be, by and large, and the world and his friend. Expressions which start with like (simile-like structures) also tend to suggest that they should not be interpreted literally. These include idioms such as like a bat out of hell and like water off a duck’s back. Generally speaking, the more difficult an expression is to understand and the less sense it makes in a given context, the more likely a translator will recognize it as an idiom. Because they do not make sense if interpreted literally, the highlighted expressions in the following text are easy to recognize as idioms (assuming one is not already familiar with them):
In other words

This can only be done, I believe, by a full and frank airing of the issues. I urge you all to speak your minds and not to pull any punches.

(Language and Society, no. 14 (1985), p. 6)

Provided a translator has access to good reference works and monolingual dictionaries of idioms, or, better still, is able to consult native speakers of the language, opaque idioms which do not make sense for one reason or another can actually be a blessing in disguise. The very fact that s/he cannot make sense of an expression in a particular context will alert the translator to the presence of an idiom of some sort.

There are two cases in which an idiom can be easily misinterpreted if one is not already familiar with it.

(a) Some idioms are ‘misleading’; they seem transparent because they offer a reasonable literal interpretation and their idiomatic meanings are not necessarily signalled in the surrounding text. A large number of idioms in English, and probably all languages, have both a literal and an idiomatic meaning, for example go out with (‘have a romantic or sexual relationship with someone’) and take someone for a ride (‘deceive or cheat someone in some way’). Such idioms lend themselves easily to manipulation by speakers and writers who will sometimes play on both their literal and idiomatic meanings. In this case, a translator who is not familiar with the idiom in question may easily accept the literal interpretation and miss the play on idiom. The following example illustrates how easy it is to accept a literal interpretation that seems plausible in a given context. The text from which the extract is taken is quoted in the Translator’s Guild Newsletter (vol. X, January 1985, 1).

I’d just done my stint as rubber duck, see, and pulled off the grandma lane into the pitstop to drain the radiator.

This is an extract from a highly idiomatic passage of Citizen Band (CB) Radio special ‘trucking talk’. Rubber duck is the first trucker in a convoy, grandma lane is the slow lane, and pitstop refers to services or a place where one stops for a rest. In the context of trucks, motorways, and stopping at a service station, a literal interpretation of drain the radiator seems highly plausible. It is, however, a special idiom used by CB drivers and means ‘to urinate; use the toilet’.

(b) An idiom in the source language may have a very close counterpart in the target language which looks similar on the surface but has a totally or partially different meaning. For example, the idiomatic
question *Has the cat had/got your tongue?* is used in English to urge someone to answer a question or contribute to a conversation, particularly when their failure to do so becomes annoying. A similar expression is used in French with a totally different meaning: *donner sa langue au chat* ('to give one’s tongue to the cat'), meaning to give up, for example when asked a riddle. To *pull someone’s leg*, meaning to tell someone something untrue as a joke in order to shock them temporarily and amuse them when they find out later that it was a joke, is identical on the surface to the idiom *yishab rijlu* ('pull his leg') which is used in several Arabic dialects to mean tricking someone into talking about something s/he would have rather kept secret. In French, a similar expression: *tirer la jambe* ('pull the leg') means to drag one’s steps. Instances of superficially identical or similar idioms which have different meanings in the source and target languages lay easy traps for the unwary translator who is not familiar with the source-language idiom and who may be tempted simply to impose a target-language interpretation on it.

Apart from being alert to the way speakers and writers manipulate certain features of idioms and to the possible confusion which could arise from similarities in form between source and target expressions, a translator must also consider the collocational environment which surrounds any expression whose meaning is not readily accessible. Idiomatic and fixed expressions have individual collocational patterns. They form collocations with other items in the text as single units and enter into lexical sets which are different from those of their individual words. Take, for instance, the idiom *to have cold feet*. *Cold* as a separate item may collocate with words like *weather, winter, feel, or country*. *Feet* on its own will perhaps collocate with *socks, chilblain, smelly*, etc. However, *having cold feet*, in its idiomatic use, has nothing necessarily to do with *winter, feet, or chilblains* and will therefore generally be used with a different set of collocates.

The ability to distinguish senses by collocation is an invaluable asset to a translator working from a foreign language. It is often subsumed under the general umbrella of ‘relying on the context to disambiguate meanings’, which, among other things, means using our knowledge of collocational patterns to decode the meaning of a word or a stretch of language. Using our knowledge of collocational patterns may not always tell us what an idiom means but it could easily help us in many cases to recognize an idiom, particularly one which has a literal as well as a non-literal meaning.
3.2.3 The translation of idioms: difficulties

Once an idiom or fixed expression has been recognized and interpreted correctly, the next step is to decide how to translate it into the target language. The difficulties involved in translating an idiom are totally different from those involved in interpreting it. Here, the question is not whether a given idiom is transparent, opaque, or misleading. An opaque expression may be easier to translate than a transparent one. The main difficulties involved in translating idioms and fixed expressions may be summarized as follows:

(a) An idiom or fixed expression may have no equivalent in the target language. The way a language chooses to express, or not express, various meanings cannot be predicted and only occasionally matches the way another language chooses to express the same meanings. One language may express a given meaning by means of a single word, another may express it by means of a transparent fixed expression, a third may express it by means of an idiom, and so on. It is therefore unrealistic to expect to find equivalent idioms and expressions in the target language as a matter of course.

Like single words, idioms and fixed expressions may be culture-specific. Formulae such as *Merry Christmas* and *say when* which relate to specific social or religious occasions provide good examples. Basnett-McGuire (1980: 21) explains that the expression *say when* ‘is ... directly linked to English social behavioural patterns' and suggests that ‘the translator putting the phrase into French or German has to contend with the problem of the non-existence of a similar convention in either TL culture'. Less problematic, but to some extent also culture-specific, are the sort of fixed formulae that are used in formal correspondence, such as *Yours faithfully* and *Yours sincerely* in English. These, for instance, have no equivalents in Arabic formal correspondence. Instead, an expression such as *wa tahdalal biqbuul fa'iq al-ihiiram* (literally: ‘and be kind enough to accept [our] highest respects') is often used, but it bears no direct relationship to *Yours faithfully* or *Yours sincerely*. The same mismatch occurs in relation to French and several other languages.

Idioms and fixed expressions which contain culture-specific items are not necessarily untranslatable. It is not the specific items an expression contains but rather the meaning it conveys and its association with culture-specific contexts which can make it untranslatable or difficult to translate. For example, the English expression *to carry coals to Newcastle*, though culture-specific in the sense that it contains a reference to Newcastle coal and uses it as a measure of
abundance, is nevertheless closely paralleled in German by *Eulen nach Athen tragen* (‘to carry owls to Athens’). Both expressions convey the same meaning, namely: to supply something to someone who already has plenty of it (Grauerg, 1989). In French, the same meaning can be rendered by the expression *porter de l’eau à la rivière* ‘to carry water to the river’. Palmer (1976) explains that in Welsh it rains ‘old women and sticks’ rather than ‘cats and dogs’, and yet both expressions mean the same thing.

(b) An idiom or fixed expression may have a similar counterpart in the target language, but its context of use may be different; the two expressions may have different connotations, for instance, or they may not be pragmatically transferable. *To sing a different tune* is an English idiom which means to say or do something that signals a change in opinion because it contradicts what one has said or done before. In Chinese, *chang-dui-tai-xi* (‘to sing different tunes/to sing a duet’) also normally refers to contradictory points of view, but has quite a different usage. It has strong political connotations and can, in certain contexts, be interpreted as expressing complementary rather than contradictory points of view.6 *To go to the dogs* (‘to lose one’s good qualities’) has a similar counterpart in German, but whereas the English idiom can be used in connection with a person or a place, its German counterpart can only be used in connection with a person and often means to die or perish. Fernando and Flavell (1981) compare *to skate on thin ice* (‘to act unwisely or court danger voluntarily’) with a similar Serbian expression: *navuci nekoga na tanak led* (‘to pull someone onto the thin ice’). The Serbian idiom differs from the English one in that it implies forcing someone into a dangerous position. Though similar in meaning, the contexts in which the two idioms can be used are obviously different.

(c) An idiom may be used in the source text in both its literal and idiomatic senses at the same time (see 3.2.2 (a) above). Unless the target-language idiom corresponds to the source-language idiom both in form and in meaning, the play on idiom cannot be successfully reproduced in the target text. The following extract is from a passage which constituted part of the British Translators’ Guild Intermediate Examinations for all languages (1986).

In creating Lord Peter Wimsey, Dorothy L Sayers demonstrated all the advantages of the amateur private eye. As a wealthy dilettante he was able to pursue the clues without the boring necessity of earning a living. His title as the younger son of a duke pandered to reader snobbery and to the obsessive fascination of
some readers with the lifestyle of the aristocracy, or with what they imagined that lifestyle to be. He had sufficient influence to be able to poke his nose into the private affairs of others where less aristocratic noses might have been speedily bloodied.

The above play on idiom can only be reproduced in languages such as French or German which happen to have an identical idiom or at least an idiom which refers to interfering in other people's affairs and which has the equivalent of nose in it.

Another example comes from Arab Political Humour by Kishtainy (1985). Although this book was originally written in English, the writer quotes jokes and anecdotes of Arab origin, so that English is in fact the target language here. The following joke emerged after the defeat of the Arab forces in 1967, which resulted in the annexation of Arab territory by Israel.

Egypt's Commander-in-Chief, Field Marshal Amin, was horrified to see President Nasser ordering a tattoo artist to print on his right arm the names of all the territories seized by Israel like Sinai, Gaza, Sharm al-Shaykh, Jerusalem, the Golan Heights.

‘Why are you doing this?’
‘Lest I should forget them.’
‘But why tattooed? What will you do if we get them back?’
‘If we get them back I'll cut off my right arm.’

(Kishtainy, 1985: 137–8; my emphasis)

Unless you are an Arab speaker, you will find it difficult to appreciate the humour of the above passage, which relies totally on the manipulation of literal and idiomatic meanings. To cut off one's arm, or cut off one's right arm for emphasis, is an idiom which is similar in meaning to pigs might fly in English. It means that something is impossible or at least highly unlikely to happen. Neither this English expression nor any other English idiom with a similar meaning can be used to replace 'I'll cut off my right arm' in the above passage, because the literal meaning of the Arabic expression is as important as its idiomatic meaning in this context. The literal translation that the author gives above is just as ineffective since the non-Arab reader has no access to the idiomatic meaning. This book was translated into Arabic by Al-Yaziji in 1988 and, not surprisingly, the jokes work much better in the Arabic version.

(d) The very convention of using idioms in written discourse, the contexts in which they can be used, and their frequency of use may be different in the source and target languages. English uses idioms
in many types of text, though not in all. Their use in quality-press news reports is limited, but it is quite common to see idioms in English advertisements, promotional material, and in the tabloid press. The following example from one of Austin Rover’s glossy brochures illustrates the heavy use of idioms in this type of English written discourse. The whole passage is highly idiomatic and very informal in style. The main idioms are highlighted in bold:

METRO
Your own sense of style is all your own. Brilliant. Colourful. Original. With loads of get up and go.


Get going in the new Metro GTa. Where else would you find 73PS performance, alloy wheels and looks like that – at such a price?

Or show what you’re made of at the wheel of the new Metro Sport. It’s got style. And a performance engine that says it’s a lot more than just a pretty face.

Fancy something really special in the sports luxury department? With a sunroof, central locking, tinted glass and a lot more, the new Metro 1.3GS is just the ticket. And so is the price.

(Today’s Cars, Austin Rover, 1989)

Using idioms in English is very much a matter of style. Languages such as Arabic and Chinese which make a sharp distinction between written and spoken discourse and where the written mode is associated with a high level of formality, tend, on the whole, to avoid using idioms in written texts. Fernando and Flavell (1981: 85) discuss the difference in rhetorical effect of using idioms in general and of using specific types of idiom in the source and target languages and quite rightly conclude that ‘Translation is an exacting art. Idiom more than any other feature of language demands that the translator be not only accurate but highly sensitive to the rhetorical nuances of the language.’

3.2.4 The translation of idioms: strategies

The way in which an idiom or a fixed expression can be translated into another language depends on many factors. It is not only a question of whether an idiom with a similar meaning is available
in the target language. Other factors include, for example, the significance of the specific lexical items which constitute the idiom, i.e. whether they are manipulated elsewhere in the source text, as well as the appropriateness or inappropriateness of using idiomatic language in a given register in the target language. The acceptability or non-acceptability of using any of the strategies described below will therefore depend on the context in which a given idiom is translated. The first strategy described, that of finding an idiom of similar meaning and similar form in the target language, may seem to offer the ideal solution, but that is not necessarily always the case. Questions of style, register, and rhetorical effect must also be taken into consideration. Fernando and Flavell are correct in warning us against the 'strong unconscious urge in most translators to search hard for an idiom in the receptor-language, however inappropriate it may be' (1981: 82).

3.2.4.1 Using an idiom of similar meaning and form

This strategy involves using an idiom in the target language which conveys roughly the same meaning as that of the source-language idiom and, in addition, consists of equivalent lexical items. This kind of match can only occasionally be achieved.

Example A
Source text (A Hero from Zero, p. 21):

The Sultan's magnificent income was distributed impulsively at his command. The rain fell on the just and on the unjust.

Target text (French, p. 21):

Le revenu fabuleux du Sultan était distribué sur un simple ordre de sa part. La pluie tombait aussi bien sur les justes que sur les injustes.

Back-translation:

The fantastic income of the Sultan was distributed on a simple order on his part. The rain was falling on the just as well as on the unjust.

Example B
Source text (Language and Society, no. 16 (1985), p. 7):

Five days into what would be the final clash, Pawley tried to force Speaker Jim Walding's hand into calling a vote with or without the Tories.
Target text (French, p. 7):

Au cinquième jour de ce qui allait se révéler l'affrontement final, M. Pawley tenta de forcer la main au président de la chambre Jim Walding pour qu'il décrète une mise aux voix, avec ou sans la participation des conservateurs.

Back-translation:

On the fifth day of what was going to prove to be the final confrontation, Mr. Pawley tried to force the hand of the president of the Chamber, Jim Walding, to declare a placement of the vote, with or without the participation of the conservatives.

Example C
Source text (A Hero from Zero, p. 85):

The Fayeds have turned the pre-bid House of Fraser strategy on its head.

Target text (Arabic, p. 94):

وبدلاً يكون الأخوة فايد قد قلبو استراتيجيتهم السابق على عرقي الاستلام، ورأوا علي علب.

Back-translation:

And with this the Fayed brothers have turned the strategy of the House of Fraser previous to the offer of ownership head over heel.

The Arabic expression, which means 'upside down', is similar in form only to another English idiom, head over heels (in love), meaning 'very much in love'.

Example D
Source text (Masters of the Universe):

Perhaps Granamyr wanted to show us that things aren't always what they seem.

Target text (French):

Peut-être Granamyr voulait-il nous montrer que les choses ne sont pas toujours ce qu'elles paraissent.

Back-translation:

Perhaps Granamyr wanted to show us that things are not always what they seem.
3.2.4.2 Using an idiom of similar meaning but dissimilar form

It is often possible to find an idiom or fixed expression in the target language which has a meaning similar to that of the source idiom or expression, but which consists of different lexical items. For example, the English expression *One good turn deserves another* and the French expression *A beau jeu, beau retour* (‘a handsome action deserves a handsome return’) use different lexical items to express more or less the same idea (Fernando and Flavell, 1981).

**Example A**

Source text (*China’s Panda Reserves*; see Appendix 3, no. 54):

The serow, a type of wild mountain goat, is very much at home among the rocky outcrops of Sichuan.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

The serow, a type of wild mountain goat, is totally at ease in Sichuan’s many rocky levels.

The Chinese idiom used to replace *very much at home* is *shi fen zi zai*. It consists of a measure word based on a ten-point scale, plus ‘self at ease’. The measure word means ‘100 per cent’, but the scale used is out of 10 rather than out of 100.

**Example B**

Source text (*Masters of the Universe)*:

Feel the force of my fist, frozen fiend!

Target text (German):

*Dir werde ich einheizen*, du Scheusal!

Back-translation:

*I will make things hot for you*, monster!

The above statement is addressed to an ice monster. The German expression *Dir werde ich einheizen* means literally, or as near literally as possible, ‘I will put the heating on to you’.

3.2.4.3 Translation by paraphrase

This is by far the most common way of translating idioms when a match cannot be found in the target language or when it seems inappropriate to use idiomatic language in the target text because of differences in stylistic preferences of the source and target languages.
You may or may not find the paraphrases accurate; the examples below are quoted as they appear in the original documents to illustrate the strategy of paraphrase rather than to explain the meanings of individual idioms.

**Example A**

Source text (*Austin Montego* – car brochure):

The suspension system has been fully upgraded to take rough terrain in its stride.

Target text (Arabic):

وقد رفعت طاقة نظام التحليق بحيث يتغلب علي وموايه الأرض.

Back-translation:

The capacity of the suspension system has been raised so as to overcome the roughness of the terrain.

**Example B**


Programmes to teach heritage languages to ethnic youngsters in upper elementary or high school are all quite laudable, but if it is merely a question of trying to reinforce or replant first language competence already lost for all practical purposes, then this is rather like shutting the stable door when the horse has bolted.

Target text (French, pp. 22–3):

Ces cours, qui seraient dispensés dans les dernières classes de l’élémentaire ou au secondaire constituent certes une initiative louable; mais c’est peut-être trop peu trop tard, car dans bien des cas ces jeunes n’ont plus qu’un vague souvenir de leur langue ancestrale.

Back-translation:

These courses, which would be given in the last classes of elementary or to the secondary certainly constitute a laudable initiative; but it is perhaps too little too late, because in a good many cases these youngsters have no more than a vague memory of their ancestral language.

**Example C**

Source text (*A Hero from Zero*, p. iii):
In other words

Lonrho’s directors then agreed not to bid without the prior permission of the Department of Trade. We were to regret signing that undertaking, and I do not think that any public company should agree to open-ended ad hoc restraints of this kind. It was subsequently used by Norman Tebbit, as Secretary of State at the Department of Trade and Industry, to unfairly restrain a Lonrho bid while he pushed another pony past the post.

Target text 1 (French, p. iii):

... Cela fut, par la suite, utilisé par Norman Tebbit, alors ministre du Commerce et de l’Industrie, afin de repousser injustement une offre de Lonrho et dans le même temps favoriser un autre candidat.

Back-translation:

... This was used afterwards by Norman Tebbit, then minister of Commerce and Industry, in order to reject unfairly an offer from Lonrho and at the same time to favour another candidate.

Target text 2 (Arabic, p. 9):

وقد استغل هذا الامتداد فيما بعد من قبل نورمان تيبيت باعتباره وزيراً للتجارة والصناعة، حين اعترض دون حق على ترويج عرض لونرو بينما اهان سجناً آخر على نهاية السباق.

Back-translation:

... This undertaking was later exploited by Norman Tebbit in his capacity as Minister of Trade and Industry when he unjustly restrained Lonrho’s offer while helping another competitor to reach the end of the race.

Example D

Source text (Language and Society, no. 16 (1985), p. 4):

One frequent criticism of the Manitoba Government throughout the language controversy was that it never seemed to get a handle on the issue.

Target text (French, p. 4):

Tout au long de la controverse linguistique, on reprocha fréquemment au gouvernement du Manitoba de ne pas réussir, selon toute apparence, à maitriser la situation.
Back-translation:
For the whole length of the linguistic controversy, the govern-
ment of Manitoba was reproached frequently for not succeed-
ing, by all appearances, in mastering the situation.

Example E
Source text (‘Saving China’s tropical paradise’ – World Wide Fund
for Nature text which accompanied a slide show):

Best news of all is the decision to develop a system of five nature
reserves totalling 2000 sq.kms. where representative examples
of the region’s unique ecosystems will be protected for the
future.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese): 7

The best news is a decision to develop a system of 2000 sq.kms.
consisting of five reserves. In such a system representative
animal and plant species within the unique ecosystem of this
area will be protected.

‘The best news is’ does not have the status of a fixed expression in
Chinese. Although it looks very similar to Best news of all, it is just
a paraphrase of the English expression.

3.2.4.4 Translation by omission

As with single words, an idiom may sometimes be omitted altogether
in the target text. This may be because it has no close match in the
target language, its meaning cannot be easily paraphrased, or for
stylistic reasons. Here is an example from A Hero from Zero (p. vi):

It was bitter, but funny, to see that Professor Smith had doubled
his own salary before recommending the offer from Fayed, and
added a pre-dated bonus for good measure.

Target text (Arabic, p. 12):

وكان من المؤسف، بل ومن المفظك، أن يتمكن البروفسور
ساميث من مقاميسة راداه مرتين قبل أن يتقدم بتوصيته
لقبول عرض قائد، وأن يضيف إلى ذلك مكافأة يتحدث
سلفًا، موعد حصوله عليها.

Back-translation:
It was regrettably, even funny, that Professor Smith had been
asked to double his own salary before recommending the
offer from Fayed, and added a pre-dated bonus as a
reward.
able to double his salary twice before offering his recommendation to accept Fayed's offer, and that he added to this a bonus, the date of which had been previously decided on.

One strategy which cannot be adequately illustrated, simply because it would take up a considerable amount of space, is the strategy of compensation. Briefly, this means that one may either omit or play down a feature such as idiomaticity at the point where it occurs in the source text and introduce it elsewhere in the target text. This strategy is not restricted to idiomaticity or fixed expressions and may be used to make up for any loss of meaning, emotional force, or stylistic effect which may not be possible to reproduce directly at a given point in the target text. Mason (1982:29) explains that, because they were unable to translate specific puns at the points at which they occurred in the text, the translators of Astérix 'have sometimes resorted to inserting English puns (of equivalent impact rather than equivalent meaning) in different frames of the cartoon'.

Using the typical phraseology of the target language - its natural collocations, its own fixed and semi-fixed expressions, the right level of idiomaticity, and so on - will greatly enhance the readability of your translations. Getting this level right means that your target text will feel less 'foreign' and, other factors being equal, may even pass for an original. But naturalness and readability are also affected by other linguistic features and these will be discussed at various points in the following chapters.

EXERCISES

1 Choose one English word and find its first dictionary equivalent in your target language. Make a list of some common collocations of the English word. Make an independent list of the most typical collocations of your target-language equivalent. Compare the two lists and comment on the differences and similarities in the collocational patterning of the two items.

2 Make a list of some common collocations of an English word of your choice.

(a) Suggest some common collocations in your target language which convey similar meanings to those of the English collocations. Comment on any difference in meaning.

(b) If there are no common collocations in your target language which express meanings similar to those conveyed by the
English collocations, suggest circumlocutions which can be used either as paraphrases or footnotes to convey the meanings of the English collocations in question (if necessary) to a target reader.

3 Make a list of some English idioms with which you are familiar and which have close counterparts in your target language. Comment on any differences in meaning, form, or context of use between each English idiom and its 'equivalent' in your target language.

4 Make a list of some common English expressions or idioms which you feel would be difficult to translate into your target language, for example because they relate to specific English habits or social occasions. Try, to the best of your ability, to paraphrase each expression twice: the first time as briefly as possible so that it can be inserted in a text, and the second time more elaborately so that it can be included as a footnote to a text.

5 Imagine that you have been asked by a client to translate the following text into your target language. The text comes from a newspaper report on current trends in the British retail market. Your target reader is: working at management level, involved in the retail business, exports fashion to high street shops in the United Kingdom, and is therefore familiar with the names of department stores, etc.

The high street is having a facelift. In an unprecedented flurry of activity, new retailing concepts are being launched, while some of the 'oldies' are being revitalised. Marks and Spencer is testing new layouts, shops within shops, satellite stores. The experimental Woolworth stores are light years away from the traditional Woolies. Burton has begun a blitz to install some of its high street names in branches of the department store chain Debenhams, which it has just acquired – with the controversial 'galleria' concept to follow.

(from The Translators' Guild Intermediate Examination, 1986)

When you have translated the text, comment on the strategies you used to deal with various collocations such as the combination of high street and facelift or concepts and launched.

6 Try your hand at this challenging extract from an Austin Rover brochure (Today's Cars, 1989). Imagine that you have been asked to translate the passage below into your target language, for
distribution in your local market. Do not be distracted by unfamiliar car terminology; this is not the object of the exercise. If necessary, leave a gap if you cannot find an equivalent for a specialized term.

You will note that the passage includes several idioms and is highly informal in style. Whatever strategies you decide to use in translating it, remember that idioms are not just used for the meanings they convey but also for the effect they produce on the reader, for their stylistic value.

**METRO SPORT**

The new Metro Sport. Terrific looks. Loads of go. For a lot less than you think.

The Sport looks just what it is – a hot little hatchback that knows how to handle itself. With an aerodynamic tail spoiler; all-white sports wheel trims; and special graphics and paint treatment.

Under the bonnet is a 73 PS1.3 engine with a real sting in its tail. (Relax – it’s also remarkably economical.)

You won’t have to put up with a spartan cockpit in return for sparkling performance. Just try those stylishly trimmed sports seats for size.

Now tune into the electronic stereo radio/stereo cassette player. Four speakers, great sound. And a built-in security code theft deterrent.

There’s a wealth of driving equipment too – including a tachometer of course.

Right up your street? Choose your Sport in one of five selected colours. And paint the town red.

When you have translated the text, comment on any difficulties involved, the strategies you used, and any change in the level of informality in your target version.

**SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING**

On collocation


**On idioms and fixed expressions**


**NOTES**

1 Rules are generally described in terms of grammatical statements; see Chapter 4.

2 Markedness is an important concept in language study. For a good treatment of different types of markedness, see Lyons (1977: 305–11). For a discussion of the relationship between markedness, choice, and meaning, see section 5.1.1.3. of this book.

3 I am grateful for this information to Paula Chicken of the French Department, University of Birmingham.

4 In all the examples from *Language and Society*, I am not actually sure whether the source language is English or French, but I am assuming it is English for convenience.

5 Superseded by the Code of Professional Conduct of the Institute of Translation and Interpreting. The new wording is: ‘... a Member shall translate only into a language in which he has mother-tongue or equivalent competence, or interpret only between languages in one of which he has mother-tongue or equivalent competence’ (article 4.1).

6 I am grateful to Ming Xie, University of Cambridge, for this information.

7 最好的消息是发展一个由五个自然保护区共两千平方公里面积的体系的决定。在这一体系中该区独特生态系统中有代表性的动植物将得到保护。其中之一的动植物包括大熊猫、野牛、老虎和大象，以及珍稀的双叶果柯（*dipterocarp*）森林。
Even the simplest, most basic requirement we make of translation cannot be met without difficulty: one cannot always match the content of a message in language A by an expression with exactly the same content in language B, because what can be expressed and what must be expressed is a property of a specific language in much the same way as how it can be expressed.

(Winter, 1961: 98)

language . . . gives structure to experience, and helps to determine our way of looking at things, so that it requires some intellectual effort to see them in any other way than that which our language suggests to us.

(Halliday, 1970: 143)

In Chapters 2 and 3 we saw that the lexical resources of a language influence to a large extent what can be said in that language as well as how it can be said. The lexical structure of a language, its stock of words and expressions and its established patterns of collocation, provides its speakers with ready-made ways of analysing and reporting experience. We do find new ways of reporting experience when necessary, but, on the whole, we tend to rely heavily on existing lexical resources in order to communicate successfully and easily with other members of our language community.

Lexical resources are not the only factor which influences the way in which we analyse and report experience. Another powerful factor which determines the kind of distinctions we regularly make in reporting experience is the grammatical system of our language. In the course of reporting events, every language makes a different selection from a large set of possible distinctions in terms of notions such as time, number, gender, shape, visibility, person, proximity, animacy, and so on. There is no uniform or objective way of
reporting events in all their detail, exactly as they happen in the real world; the structure of each language highlights, and to a large extent preselects, certain areas which are deemed to be fundamental to the reporting of any experience.

Grammar is the set of rules which determine the way in which units such as words and phrases can be combined in a language and the kind of information which has to be made regularly explicit in utterances. A language can, of course, express any kind of information its speakers need to express, but the grammatical system of a given language will determine the ease with which certain notions such as time reference or gender can be made explicit. Centuries ago, the Greeks and Romans assumed that notional categories such as time, number, and gender existed in the real world and must therefore be common to all languages. All languages, they thought, must express these 'basic' aspects of experience on a regular basis. With greater exposure to other languages, it later became apparent that these so-called 'basic' categories are not in fact universal, and that languages differ widely in the range of notions they choose to make explicit on a regular basis. In this chapter, we will take a brief look at the variety of grammatical categories which may or may not be expressed in different languages and the way this area of language structure affects decisions in the course of translation. But before we do so, it may be helpful to outline some of the main differences between lexical and grammatical categories.

4.1 GRAMMATICAL VS LEXICAL CATEGORIES

the grammatical pattern of a language (as opposed to its lexical stock) determines those aspects of each experience that must be expressed in the given language.

(Jakobson, 1959: 235–6)

Grammar is organized along two main dimensions: morphology and syntax. Morphology covers the structure of words, the way in which the form of a word changes to indicate specific contrasts in the grammatical system.¹ For instance, most nouns in English have two forms, a singular form and a plural form: man/men, child/children, car/cars. English can therefore be said to have a grammatical category of number. The morphological structure of a language determines the basic information which must be expressed in that language. Syntax covers the grammatical structure of groups, clauses, and sentences; the linear sequences of classes of words such as noun,
verb, adverb, and adjective, and functional elements such as subject, predicate, and object, which are allowed in a given language. The syntactic structure of a language imposes certain restrictions on the way messages may be organized in that language.

Choices in language can be expressed grammatically or lexically, depending on the type and range of linguistic resources available in a given language. Choices made from closed systems, such as the number system (singular/plural) or the pronoun system in English, are grammatical; those made from open-ended sets of items or expressions are lexical. Grammatical choices are normally expressed morphologically, as in the case of the singular/plural contrast in English. They may also be expressed syntactically, for instance by manipulating the order of elements in a clause to indicate certain relations between the elements or the function of the clause (cf. the difference between the order of elements in a statement and a question in English: She had forgotten about the party./Had she forgotten about the party?).

The most important difference between grammatical and lexical choices, as far as translation is concerned, is that grammatical choices are largely obligatory while lexical choices are largely optional. Languages which have morphological resources for expressing a certain category such as number, tense, or gender, have to express these categories regularly; those which do not have morphological resources for expressing the same categories do not have to express them except when they are felt to be relevant. Because a grammatical choice is drawn from a closed set of options, it is (a) obligatory, and (b) rules out other choices from the same system by default. The fact that number is a grammatical category in English means that an English speaker or writer who uses a noun such as student or child has to choose between singular and plural. Apart from a few nouns which allow a choice of singular or plural concord (e.g. The committee is/are considering the question), the choice of singular in English rules out the possibility of plural reference by default, and vice versa. The same is not true in Chinese or Japanese, where number is a lexical rather than a grammatical category (see 4.2.1 below). A Chinese or Japanese speaker or writer does not have to choose between singular and plural, unless the context demands that this information be made explicit. Where necessary, number is indicated in these languages by means of adding a word such as 'several' or a numeral such as 'one' or 'five' to the noun, rather than by changing the form of the noun itself.

Grammatical structure also differs from lexical structure in that it
is more resistant to change. It is much easier to introduce a new word, expression, or collocation into a language than to introduce a new grammatical category, system, or sequence. The grammatical structure of a language does, of course, change, but this does not happen overnight. Grammatical change occurs over a much longer time scale than lexical change. On the whole, the grammatical structure of a language remains fairly constant throughout the lifetime of an individual, whereas one encounters new words, expressions, and collocations on a daily basis. Grammatical rules are also more resistant to manipulation by speakers. A deviant grammatical structure may occasionally be accepted in very restricted contexts, for instance in order to maintain rhyme or metre in poetry. A very small number of text types, such as poems, advertisements, and jokes, will occasionally manipulate or flout the grammatical rules of the language to create special effect. The well-known poet, e. e. cummings, does precisely that; he achieves special effect by using unusual grammatical configurations. The following recent advertisement by Access, the credit card people, provides an example of a similar type of manipulation in non-literary contexts:

Does you does or does you don’t take access?
7 million outlets worldwide does.

On the whole, however, deviant grammatical configurations are simply not acceptable in most contexts. This means that, in translation, grammar often has the effect of a straitjacket, forcing the translator along a certain course which may or may not follow that of the source text as closely as the translator would like it to.

4.2 THE DIVERSITY OF GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES ACROSS LANGUAGES

languages are differently equipped to express different real-world relations, and they certainly do not express all aspects of meaning with equal ease.

(Ivir, 1981: 56)

It is difficult to find a notional category which is regularly and uniformly expressed in all languages. Even categories such as time and number, which many of us take as reflecting basic aspects of experience, are only optionally indicated in some Asian languages such as Chinese and Vietnamese. On the other hand, a number of American Indian languages such as Yana and Navaho have grammatical categories which in many other languages would hardly ever
be expressed even by lexical means. These languages, for instance, have a category of ‘shape’, which means that an object must be classified according to whether it is long, round, or sheet-like ( Sapir and Swadesh, 1964). Some languages, such as Amuesha of Peru, regularly indicate whether a person is dead or alive by adding a suffix to the name of any person referred to after his/her death (Larson, 1984). The absence of the suffix indicates that the person concerned is alive, in much the same way as the absence of a plural suffix such as -s in English indicates a choice of singular as opposed to plural reference. Languages therefore differ widely in the way they are equipped to handle various notions and express various aspects of experience, possibly because they differ in the degree of importance or relevance that they attach to such aspects of experience. Time is regarded as a crucial aspect of experience in English, so that it is virtually impossible to discuss any event in English without locating it in the past, present, or future. In Aztec, the notion of deference is regarded as crucial. Consequently, according to Nida, ‘it is impossible to say anything to anyone without indicating the relative degree of respect to which the speaker and hearer are entitled in the community’ (1964: 95).

Differences in the grammatical structures of the source and target languages often result in some change in the information content of the message during the process of translation. This change may take the form of adding to the target text information which is not expressed in the source text. This can happen when the target language has a grammatical category which the source language lacks. In translating from English or French into an American Indian language such as Yana or Navaho, one would have to add information concerning the shape of any objects mentioned in the text. Likewise, in translating into Amuesha, one would have to indicate whether any person mentioned in the text is dead or alive. Details which are ignored in the source text but which have to be specified in the target language can pose a serious dilemma for the translator if they cannot be reasonably inferred from the context.

The change in the information content of the message may be in the form of omitting information specified in the source text. If the target language lacks a grammatical category which exists in the source language, the information expressed by that category may have to be ignored. Jakobson suggests that ‘no lack of grammatical device in the language translated into makes impossible a literal translation of the entire conceptual information contained in the original’ (1959: 235). This is true in theory, but in practice the lack
of a grammatical device can make the translation of 'the entire conceptual information' very difficult indeed. First, the lack of a grammatical category in a given language suggests that the indication of information associated with that category is regarded as optional. The frequency of occurrence of such optional information tends to be low, and a translation which repeatedly indicates information that is normally left unspecified in the target language is bound to sound unnatural. Second, because such information would have to be expressed lexically, it is likely to assume more importance in the target text than it does in the source text. The fact that lexical choices are optional gives them more weight than grammatical choices.

A brief discussion of some major categories, with examples, is intended to illustrate the kinds of difficulty that translators often encounter because of differences in the grammatical structures of source and target languages.

4.2.1 Number

The idea of countability is probably universal in the sense that it is readily accessible to all human beings and is expressed in the lexical structure of all languages. However, not all languages have a grammatical category of number, and those that do do not necessarily view countability in the same terms. As explained above, English recognizes a distinction between one and more than one (singular and plural). This distinction has to be expressed morphologically, by adding a suffix to a noun or by changing its form in some other way to indicate whether it refers to one or more than one: student/students, fox/foxes, man/men, child/children. Some languages, such as Japanese, Chinese, and Vietnamese, prefer to express the same notion lexically or, more often, not at all. The form of a noun in these languages does not normally indicate whether it is singular or plural. For example, my book and my books are both wo-de-shu in Chinese (Tan, 1980).

Unlike Japanese, Chinese, and Vietnamese, most languages have a grammatical category of number, similar but not necessarily identical to that of English. Arabic, Eskimo, and some Slavonic languages formally distinguish between one, two, and more than two. These languages have a dual form in addition to singular and plural forms. In most European languages today, dual is a lexical rather than a grammatical category; it can only be indicated by the use of a numeral. And so English regularly expresses a meaning contrast between house and houses, whereas Eskimo regularly expresses a
meaning contrast between iglu, igluk, and iglut ('one/two/more than two houses'). A small number of languages, such as Fijian, even distinguish between singular, dual, trial (covering three or a small number), and plural (Robins, 1964). Such additional refinements to a system can sometimes pose problems in translation.

A translator working from a language which has number distinctions into a language with no category of number has two main options: she can (a) omit the relevant information on number, or (b) encode this information lexically. The following examples illustrate how information on number is often left out in languages such as Chinese and Japanese. The source language in both examples is English. Items in angle brackets are not specified in the target text but they are not ruled out either since Chinese and Japanese do not have a category of number. The first example is from China's Panda Reserves (Appendix 3, no. 1):

China's Panda Reserves.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

China's Panda Protection-zone<s>.

'Protection-zone' is a literal rendering of the accepted Chinese translation of reserve. It is not marked for number. The reader of the Chinese text has no way of knowing, from this title, whether China has one or more than one panda reserve.

The following example is from The Fix: the Inside Story of the World Drug Trade (Appendix 7):

Enforcement officials – particularly the front-line US Customs Service – have produced a series of recognisable profiles in order to identify and intercept drug runners. It is a system that works particularly well with the Yakuza because of the bizarre but rigid code of ethics by which the Japanese Mafia conducts itself, quite different from any other criminal society in the world. It concerns fingers, or rather the lack of them. And tattoos.

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

... This concerns finger<s>, or rather the lack of finger<s>. And tattoo<s>.

Here again the highlighted nouns are not marked for number in any way and the Japanese reader can only guess whether the writer is talking about one or several fingers and tattoos. This apparent lack of interest in the difference between one and more than one is no
more surprising than the lack of interest in duality in English and most European languages. Chinese and Japanese speakers are not too concerned with establishing in each case whether there is one or more than one of a given referent, just as English speakers are not particularly interested in establishing whether there are two or more than two persons or objects.

It may sometimes be necessary or desirable in certain contexts to specify plurality or duality in languages which do not normally specify such information because they do not have a category of number or a dual form. In this case, the translator may decide to encode the relevant information lexically, as in the following examples. The first example is from *Palace and Politics in Prewar Japan*, (Appendix 6); the source text is English:

The heads of the ministries created in 1869 were not directly responsible for ‘advising and assisting’ (*hohitsu*) the emperor, though they were to become so in 1889.

**Target text (back-translated from Japanese):**

The head<s> of various ministry<ies> created in Meiji 2nd are not directly responsible for ‘hohitsu’ the emperor.

A Japanese word meaning ‘various’ is added in the translation to indicate that reference is made to more than one ministry and, by implication, more than one head of ministry.

The second example is from an unpublished document about arbitration procedures in Cairo. The source text is Arabic.

عندما يردد تعين قضاة محكمين، يختار كل طرف محكمين واحداً، ويختار المحكمان المحبين على هذا النحو المحكم الثالث وهو الذي يتولى رئاسة هيئة التحكيم.

**Back-translation:**

When the appointment of three arbitrators is required, each party chooses one arbitrator, and the arbitrators-dual appointed-dual in this way choose the third arbitrator and it is he who takes on the presidency of the arbitration authority.

**English target text:**

When the appointment of three arbitrators is required, each party selects one arbitrator, and the two arbitrators thus appointed select the third arbitrator who then heads the Arbitration Committee.
Where it is felt to be important, information on number can therefore be encoded lexically. However, as with any grammatical category, a translator working from a language with a category of number into one without such a category must be careful not to overspecify this type of information in the target text. Unless the context specifically demands it, regular reference to information normally left unspecified in a given language will only make the translation awkward and unnatural because it will not reflect normal ways of reporting experience in the target language.

4.2.2 Gender

Gender is a grammatical distinction according to which a noun or pronoun is classified as either masculine or feminine in some languages. The distinction applies to nouns which refer to animate beings as well as those which refer to inanimate objects. For example, French distinguishes between masculine and feminine gender in nouns such as fils/fille (‘son/daughter’) and chat/chatte (‘male cat/’female cat’). In addition, nouns such as magazine (‘magazine’) and construction (‘construction’) are also classified as masculine and feminine respectively. Determiners, adjectives, and sometimes verbs (as in the case of Arabic and Swahili) usually agree with the noun in gender as well as in number.

English does not have a grammatical category of gender as such. English nouns are not regularly inflected to distinguish between feminine and masculine. The gender distinction nevertheless exists in some semantic areas and in the person system. Different nouns are sometimes used to refer to female and male members of the same species: cow/bull, sow/bouc, doe/stag, mare/stallion, ewe/ram. A small number of nouns which refer to professions have masculine and feminine forms, with the suffix -ess indicating feminine gender. Examples include actress/actor, manager/managers, host/hostess, and steward/stewardess. These, however, do not always reflect straightforward gender distinctions as in the case of other European languages; some of them carry specific connotations. For instance, the distinction between author and authoress may carry more expressive than propositional meaning; authoress tends to have derogatory overtones, with author being the unmarked form for both sexes. In addition to gender distinctions in specific semantic areas, English also has a category of person (see 4.2.3 below) which distinguishes in the third-person singular between masculine, feminine, and inanimate (he/she/it). This distinction does not apply to the third-person plural.
(they). Russian and German make similar gender distinctions in the third-person singular pronouns and, like English, do not apply these distinctions to the third-person plural. On the other hand, languages like French and Italian maintain the gender distinction in the third-person plural: for example, *ils* vs. *elles* in French. In some languages, such as Arabic, gender distinctions apply to the second- as well as third-person pronouns. In addition to gender distinctions in the third-person singular and plural, Arabic has different forms for 'you', depending on whether the person or persons addressed is/are male or female. Other languages such as Chinese and Indonesian do not have gender distinctions in their person systems at all.

In most languages that have a gender category, the masculine term is usually the ‘dominant’ or ‘unmarked’ term. In French *elles* is used only when all the persons or things referred to are feminine; if one or more persons or things in a group are masculine the form used is *ils*, even if the feminine referents outnumber the masculine ones. Similarly, if the sex of a referent is not known, the masculine rather than the feminine form is used. In effect, this means that the use of feminine forms provides more specific information than the use of masculine forms can be said to provide; it rules out the possibility of masculine reference, whereas the use of masculine forms does not rule out the possibility of feminine reference.

There is now a conscious attempt to replace the unmarked masculine form *he* in English with forms such as *s/he, he or she*, and *him or her*. This is particularly true of academic writing. But even among the general public, overtly masculine nouns such as *chairman, spokesman*, and *businessman* are consciously and systematically being replaced by more neutral ones such as *chairperson* and *spokesperson*, or by specifically feminine nouns such as *businesswoman* when the referent is clearly feminine. A few attempts have even been made to use the feminine form as the unmarked form in English. Diane Blakemore for instance uses *she* and *her* to mean any person, male or female:

> It is clear that because of the role of the context in all aspects of utterance interpretation, a speaker who intends *her* utterance to be taken in a particular way must expect it to be interpreted in a context that yields that interpretation.

(1987: 27; my emphasis)

This ideological stance is somewhat difficult to transfer into languages in which gender distinctions pervade the grammatical system. It is fairly easy to make the switch from *he* to something like *s/he* or *him/
her in English because the change affects these items only. But in a language such as Arabic, where gender distinctions are reflected not only in nouns and pronouns but also in the concord between these and their accompanying verbs and adjectives, the resulting structures would clearly be much more cumbersome than in English. With all the good will in the world, an Arab writer or translator cannot side with this admittedly more enlightened approach to gender without sacrificing the readability of the target text.

Gender distinctions are generally more relevant in translation when the referent of the noun or pronoun is human. Gender distinctions in inanimate objects such as 'car' or 'ship' and in animals such as 'dog' and 'cat' are sometimes manipulated in English to convey expressive meaning, particularly in literature, but they do not often cause difficulties in non-literary translation. Making the necessary adjustments, for instance by adding the gender dimension in the target text (English table : French la/tu/nne table) is usually straightforward and automatic because the distinctions themselves are largely arbitrary. But gender distinctions in the case of human referents are not arbitrary, and that is why Lyons, for instance, suggests that what is important in communication is the pronominal function of gender rather than the category of gender in general (1968). The pronominal function of gender reflects a genuine, non-arbitrary distinction between male and female. Although languages differ in the extent to which they regularly specify the gender of human referents (cf. English they and French ils/elles), we all readily recognize the distinction and expect it to reflect a genuine aspect of experience.

The following text illustrates the kind of problem that the pronominal function of gender can pose in translation. As in previous examples, the problematic items in the source text are underlined and the items which replace them in the target text are highlighted in bold. Only those strategies used to overcome difficulties arising from gender distinctions will be commented on.

Source text (English: Kolestral Super):

Instructions for use:
- Shampoo the hair with a mild WELLASHAMPOO and lightly towel dry.
- Apply KOLESTRAL-SUPER directly onto the hair and massage gently.
- For maximum effect, cover the hair with a plastic cap or towel.
- KOLESTRAL-SUPER can be left on the hair for 10-20 minutes.
- After the developing time rinse off thoroughly before styling – no shampooing required.
- Style the hair as usual.

Target text (Arabic):

تعليمات وارشادات الاستعمال:

- يغسل الشعر بصابو من "ويللا" على أن يكون من نوع الشامبو المنطفل. ثم يغف الشعر بواسطة المنطةة وذلك يغفيه بسيطة، ليترك الشعر رطبًا.
- يوضع كولسترال سوبر مباشرة على الشعر ويدلك بالعومة وبرقة.
- للحصول على فعالية مطلقة، يغفي الشعر بواسطة "كاب" أي قبعة بلاستيكية تغطي الشعر، أو بواسطة منطةة.
- يترك كولسترال سوبر مدة 10 إلى 20 دقيقة.
- بعد الانتهاء مدة التنزل، يجب أن يغفي (يفقث) الشعر جيدًا، ويعمل قبل البدء بالتسريحه المرغوبة.
- لا حاجة للصابو في هذه المرحلة النهائية.
- يغفي الشعر ويفشط كالأداة وبالأسهل المرغوب وتكوين النتيجة مفهولة وراحلة.

Back-translation:

Instructions and guide to use:

- The hair is washed with ‘Wella’ shampoo, provided that it is a mild shampoo. Then the hair is dried by means of a towel, a simple drying so that the hair is left damp.
- Kolestral-Super is put directly on the hair and massaged with softness and gentleness.
- For obtaining maximum effectiveness, the hair is covered by means of a cap, that is a plastic hat which covers the hair, or by means of a towel.
- Kolestral-Super is left for a period of 10–20 minutes.
- After the end of the reaction period, the hair should be rinsed well and in depth before starting on the desired hair-do. No need for shampoo in this final stage.
- The hair is styled and combed as usual and in the desired fashion and the result is ideal and marvellous.

I mentioned earlier that the gender distinction in Arabic applies to the second as well as third person. An Arabic speaker or writer has to select between ‘you, masculine’ (anta) and ‘you, feminine’ (anii) in the case of the second-person singular. Moreover, this type of
information must be signalled in the form of the verb itself: an Arabic verb has different forms depending on whether its subject is, for instance, second-person singular feminine or third-person plural masculine. In fact, pronouns such as 'she' and 'I' are usually redundant in Arabic and are used mainly for emphasis, since all the information they carry is incorporated in the form of the verb.

In translating the imperative verbs in the above text into Arabic, the translator would normally have to choose, as far as gender is concerned, between a masculine and a feminine form for each verb. As is the case in most languages which have a gender category, the masculine form is the unmarked form in Arabic and is therefore normally selected in most advertisements, leaflets, and in wording general instructions. However, the Kolestral Super text is a leaflet which accompanies a hair conditioner, the sort of product which is predominantly used by women rather than men. In the Arab context, it is likely to be used exclusively by women. This situation would make the use of the masculine form in this instance highly marked. The translator could have used the feminine form of the verb, but s/he possibly felt that it would also have been marked or that it might have unnecessarily excluded potential male users. The gender distinction is avoided by using a totally different structure throughout the whole set of instructions. The use of the passive voice (see 4.2.5 below) instead of the imperative form of the verb allows the translator to avoid specifying the subject of the verb altogether.

Although gender is also a grammatical category in French, gender distinctions are only expressed in nouns, articles, and adjectives, and in third-person pronouns; they do not affect the form of the verb. The French translation of the Kolestral Super leaflet can therefore follow the source text more closely than the Arabic translation. The infinitive form of the verb is used, as is the norm in wording instructions in French. The first few lines of the instruction section are quoted below for illustration:

- *Laver* le cheveux avec un shampooing doux Wella et bien les essorer.
- *Appliquer* KOLESTRAL-SUPER directement sur les cheveux et bien faire pénétrer.

### 4.2.3 Person

The category of person relates to the notion of participant roles. In most languages, participant roles are systematically defined through
a closed system of pronouns which may be organized along a variety of dimensions.

The most common distinction is that between first person (identifying the speaker or a group which includes the speaker: English I/we), second person (identifying the person or persons addressed: English you), and third person (identifying persons and things other than the speaker and addressee: English he/she/it/they). A number of languages spoken in North America have four rather than three distinctions in the category of person. In these languages, the fourth person refers to a person or thing distinct from one already referred to by a third person form (Robins, 1964: 264). Russian similarly uses a form of the pronominal adjectives svoj (masculine), svoja (feminine), svojo (neuter), and svoi (plural) to refer to a participant already referred to in the same clause, but in Russian this is not restricted to third-person forms; the participant referred to by the pronominal adjective may be first, second, or third person. For instance, in I'm meeting my teacher, my would be translated by svoj or svoja (depending on the gender of the following noun). Likewise, in He's meeting his teacher, his would be translated by the appropriate case form of svoj/svoja provided the referent of his is the same as the referent of he; otherwise the pronoun used is jego (Halliday, 1964).

In addition to the main distinction based on participant roles, the person system may be organized along a variety of other dimensions. As mentioned earlier, the person system in some languages may have a gender or number dimension which applies to the whole system or to parts of it. Although number is not a grammatical category in Chinese (see 4.2.1 above), the pronoun system in Chinese features a number distinction (e.g. Wo 'I' vs. Wo-men 'we'; Ni 'you' singular vs. Ni-men 'you' plural). On the other hand, it does not feature any gender distinctions at all (e.g. Ta 'he/she/it' vs. Ta-men 'they'). In Japanese, the person system features distinctions in gender as well as social status and level of intimacy (Levinson, 1983). Some languages have rather elaborate person systems. Catford (1965) explains that Bahasa Indonesia has a nine-term pronoun system where English has only seven. The gender dimension is absent from Bahasa Indonesia, but two other dimensions are of relevance:

1 the inclusive/exclusive dimension: English we has two translations in Bahasa Indonesia, involving a choice between kami and kita, depending on whether the addressee is included or excluded;
2 the familiar/non-familiar dimension which necessitates a choice between for instance aku and saja for English I, depending on the relationship pertaining between speaker and hearer.
In other words

A large number of modern European languages, not including English, have a formality/politeness dimension in their person system. In such languages, a pronoun other than the second-person singular, usually the second- or third-person plural, is used in interaction with a singular addressee in order to express deference and/or non-familiarity: French vous as opposed to tu; Italian lei (third-person singular) and in certain regions, classes, and age groups voi (second-person plural) as opposed to tu; Spanish usted as opposed to tu; German Sie as opposed to du; Greek esi as opposed to eis; and Russian vy as opposed to ty. Some languages also have different forms of plural pronouns which are used to express different levels of familiarity or deference in interaction with several addressees.

All languages have modes of address which can be used to express familiarity or deference in a similar way, cf. the difference between you, mate, dear, darling, and Mr Smith, Sir, Professor Brown, Mrs Jones, Madam. The difference between modes of address and pronouns is that the use of pronouns is unavoidable, particularly since pronominal reference is coded in the inflection of verbs in many languages, whereas one can often avoid addressing a person directly (Brown and Gilman, 1972).

What all this amounts to, among other things, is that in translating pronouns from English to, say, French, Italian, Greek, Spanish, Russian, German, or Bahasa Indonesia, decisions may have to be made along such dimensions as gender, degree of intimacy between participants, or whether reference includes or excludes the addressee. This information may or may not be readily recoverable from the context. Translating in the other direction, from one of the above languages into English, will frequently involve loss of information along the dimensions in question. It is possible in theory to encode all the relevant information in an English translation, for example by using a circumlocution such as 'he and I but not you' for an exclusive 'we', but this kind of detail would be too cumbersome in most contexts.

The following examples illustrate the more problematic situation of having to make decisions in the target language along dimensions which are not explicitly stated in the source text. The examples are taken from a French translation of one of Agatha Christie's thrillers, Crooked House (1949). The events of the novel involve a number of key characters who are related to one another in a variety of ways. In the French translation, the nature of each relation has to be reflected in the choice of pronouns that various characters use in addressing each other. The characters in the following dialogue are
a young man, Charles, and a young lady, Sophia. They have worked together and have been friends for some time. Charles has just asked Sophia to marry him.

English source text (p. 9):

'Darling – don't you understand? I've tried not to say I love you.'
She stopped me.
'I do understand Charles. And I like your funny way of doing things....'

French translation (p. 9):

– Mais vous ne comprenez donc pas? Vous ne voyez donc pas que je fais tout ce que je peux pour ne pas vous dire que je vous aime et...
Elle m'interrompit.
– J'ai parfaitement compris, Charles, et votre façon comique de presenter les choses m'est très sympathique...

Note the use of the vous form in the French translation, indicating a level of formality and politeness which are not overtly conveyed in the English original. Compare the level of formality in the above dialogue with that in the following extract from another dialogue where Charles is talking to his father, who happens to be the Assistant Commissioner of Scotland Yard:

English source text (p. 16):

'But your police force is fully efficient,' I said. 'A nice Army type tracked her to Mario's. I shall figure in the reports you get.'

French translation: (p. 16)

– Mais ta police a l'œil et un de tes hommes l'a suivie jusqu'au restaurant. Je serai mentionné dans le rapport qui te sera remis.

The selective use of vous and tu forms in dialogues involving different characters suggests that the French translator had to make conscious decisions about the nature of the relationships among different characters in the story and about the social standing of these characters as reflected in their adoption of certain conventions to do with approved/non-approved expression of familiarity and/or deference. You may agree or disagree with these decisions; the important thing is that we learn to appreciate the influence that the grammatical system of a language has on the way events are described.
presented in that language. The difficulties that arise from the
different demands made by the grammatical systems of different
languages in translation should not be underestimated.

The familiarity/dereference dimension in the pronoun system is
among the most fascinating aspects of grammar and the most
problematic in translation. It reflects the tenor of discourse (see
Chapter 2, p. 16) and can convey a whole range of rather subtle
meanings. The subtle choices involved in pronoun usage in languages
which distinguish between familiar and non-familiar pronouns is
further complicated by the fact that this use differs significantly from
one social group to another and that it changes all the time in a way
that reflects changes in social values and attitudes. Brown and
Gilman suggest that the Gujarati and Hindi languages of India have
very strict norms of pronoun usage, reflecting asymmetrical relations
of power between, for example, husband and wife. And yet, they
explain, ‘the progressive young Indian exchanges the mutual T with
his wife’ (1972: 269).

4.2.4 Tense and aspect

Tense and aspect are grammatical categories in a large number of
languages. The form of the verb in languages which have these
categories usually indicates two main types of information: time
relations and aspectual differences. Time relations have to do with
locating an event in time. The usual distinction is between past,
present, and future. Aspectual differences have to do with the
temporal distribution of an event, for instance its completion or
non-completion, continuation, or momentariness.

In some languages, the tense and aspect system, or parts of it, may
be highly developed, with several fine distinctions in temporal
location or distribution. Bali, for instance, has a rather precise system
of time reference. Apart from indicating past, present, and future
reference, each past or future reference is marked to show whether
the event in question is immediately connected to the present, is
separated from it by a period of time but taking place on the same
day, or is separated from the present by at least one night. Wishram,
an American Indian language, makes no fewer than four distinctions
in reference to past events alone, each distinction expressing a certain
degree of remoteness from the moment of speaking ( Sapir and
Swadesh, 1964). In some languages, it is obligatory to specify more
unusual types of temporal and aspectual relations. For instance, in
the Villa Alta dialect of Zapotec (Mexico), it is necessary to
distinguish between events which take place for the first time with respect to particular participants and those which are repetitions (Nida, 1959).

Some languages, such as Chinese, Malay, and Yurok, have no formal category of tense or aspect. The form of the verb in these languages does not change to express temporal or aspectual distinctions. If necessary, time reference can be indicated by means of various particles and adverbials. The following examples show how time relations are typically signalled in Chinese when the context demands that such information be made explicit:

- ta xian-zai zai bei-jing gong-zuo (lit.: ‘he now in Peking work’, i.e. ‘he is working in Peking’)
- ta dang-shi zai bei-jing gong-zuo (lit.: ‘he at that time in Peking work’, i.e. ‘he was working in Peking’)

(from Tan, 1980:111)

Because tense and aspect are not grammatical categories in Chinese, their specification is largely optional. Context is relied on much more often than in English or Bali to establish time reference. If the adverbials in the above examples were not included in the clause, one would have to rely entirely on the context to establish the time of the event. The following examples from *China's Panda Reserves* (Appendix 3, nos. 2 and 10) illustrate (a) the use of adverbials to indicate time reference where necessary and feasible in a Chinese translation, and (b) the omission of time reference altogether where it can be inferred from the context or where the information is not felt to be important.

**Example A**

This attractive black and white mammal has widespread human appeal and has become a symbol for conservation efforts both within China and internationally as the symbol of The World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF).

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

This attractive black-white mammal widely liked by people and already become a symbol of conservation efforts . . .

The adverbial yi-jing, meaning ‘already’, is added to the equivalent of become to give the effect of the present perfect in English has become.
Example B

Species like this mountain rhododendron were collected by 19th century botanists and then transported back to Europe for horticultural collections.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

Species like this mountain rhododendron collect<ed> by 19th century botanists and then transport<ed> back to Europe for horticultural collections.

The connotations of pastness in the above extract can be inferred from the context, because of the reference to nineteenth-century botanists. There is therefore no need to signal the past overtly in the Chinese text.

Although the main use of the grammatical categories of tense and aspect is to indicate time and aspectual relations, they do not necessarily perform the same function in all languages. For instance, the main function of the tense system in Hopi is to signal modal meanings such as certainty, uncertainty, possibility, and obligation. Hockett (1958) describes Hopi as having three main 'tenses': the first is used to express timeless truths, as in 'The sun is round'; the second is used in connection with events which are either known or presumed to be known, as in 'Paris is the capital of France'; and the third is used for events which are in the realm of uncertainty, as in 'They will arrive tomorrow'.

Tense and aspect distinctions may also take on additional, more subtle meanings in discourse. In a brief discussion of the use of tense in English and Brazilian academic abstracts, Johns (1991) points out that some verbs refer to what is stated in the academic paper itself (these he calls indicative verbs), while other verbs refer to what was actually done in the research on which the paper reports (these he calls informative verbs). Johns suggests that in both English and Brazilian academic papers, the indicative/informative distinction correlates with the choice of tense: the present tense is used for indicative and the past tense for informative statements. Verbs such as present, mention, propose, and refer to, which relate to what the writer is doing in the paper itself, are usually in the present tense while verbs such as determine, record, select, and detect, which have to do with actual research, are usually in the past tense. This regular correlation influences the way we interpret statements in academic papers. As Johns points out, 'the fact that the results of an experiment are analyzed reports the contents of the paper, but that they
were analyzed reports one of the procedures undertaken in the research’ (1991: 5).

Johns (personal communication) also suggests that in English science and engineering academic abstracts, the present perfect is specifically used to refer to the work of other scientists. For example, *It is proposed that* ... suggests that the writer of the abstract is doing the proposing, but *It has been proposed that* ... suggests that the proposing is done by someone other than the writer. This signalling system is apparently more or less the same in Brazilian Portuguese. However, Johns found that translated Brazilian abstracts tend to follow textbook rules of grammar which favour ‘consistent’ use of tense and aspect. Many translators, for instance, use the present perfect or the simple past throughout the abstract in order to achieve ‘consistency’, thereby destroying the natural signalling system of the target language.

Signalling systems such as those outlined above can be significantly different in the source and target languages, even when the basic tense and aspect systems are very similar. Japanese has a grammatical category of tense which is not too dissimilar to that of English. The suffixes -ru and -ta are regularly added to verbs to indicate non-past and past reference respectively. However, this does not mean that every past tense in an English text can be translated into Japanese with a -ta form or that every present or future tense can be translated using a -ru form. A translator has to bear in mind the additional meanings that these forms can assume in a Japanese text. In the following example, the past tense in the English text is rendered by a non-past form in the Japanese translation because the non-past is often used in Japanese to express personal judgement.

Source text (*Palace and Politics in Prewar Japan*; see Appendix 6):

The heads of the ministries created in 1869 were not directly responsible for ‘advising and assisting’ (hohitsu) the emperor, though they were to become so in 1889.

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

The head<s> of the various ministr<ies> which were created in Meiji 2nd are not directly responsible for ‘hohitsu’ the emperor. It was in Meiji 22nd that it became so.

In this instance, the use of the non-past suggests that the statement made about the role of the heads of the ministries prior to 1889 is based on the author’s personal assessment of the situation, as opposed to the statement concerning their role from 1889 onwards, which is based on the author’s interpretation of historical fact.
which, presumably, is supported by hard facts. (Meiji 2nd and Meiji 22nd refer to the equivalents of 1869 and 1889 respectively in the Japanese calendar.)

4.2.5 Voice

A passive is translated with a passive, an active with an active ... even when this is unnatural in the RL (receptor language) or results in wrong sense. When faced with a choice of categories in the RL, say active and passive, the literal approach to translation leads the translator to choose the form which corresponds to that used in the original, whereas the use of that category in the RL may be quite different from its use in the original.

(Beekman and Callow, 1974: 27)

A Chinese translator ... uses a preposition bei 'by' whenever he sees a passive voice in the original verb, forgetting that Chinese verbs have no voice. ... Once this sort of thing is done often enough, it gets to be written in originals, even where no translation is involved. ... Such 'translates' is still unpalatable to most people and no one talks in that way yet, but it is already common in scientific writing, in newspapers, and in schools

(Chao, 1970; in Li and Thompson, 1981: 496)

The use of the passive voice is extremely common in many varieties of written English and can pose various problems in translation, depending on the availability of similar structures, or structures with similar functions, in the target language. Because of its widespread use in technical and scientific English in particular, it has had a strong influence on similar registers in other languages through translation. The tendency to translate English passive structures literally into a variety of target languages which either have no passive voice as such or which would normally use it with less frequency is often criticized by linguists and by those involved in training translators.

Voice is a grammatical category which defines the relationship between a verb and its subject. In active clauses, the subject is the agent responsible for performing the action. In passive clauses, the subject is the affected entity, and the agent may or may not be specified, depending on the structures available in each language.

Active: (a) Nigel Mansell opened the Mansell Hall in 1986.
Passive: (b) The Mansell Hall was opened in 1986.
(c) The Mansell Hall was opened by Nigel Mansell in 1986.
Note that the form of the verb changes in a passive structure to indicate that its subject is the affected entity rather than the agent. Chao's comment above about Chinese verbs having no voice refers to the fact that the form of the verb in Chinese does not change to indicate its relationship with the subject of the clause.

The structure illustrated in (c), where the agent is specified in a passive clause, is much less frequent than the structure illustrated in (b), where the agent is left unspecified. This is because the main function of the passive in most languages is to allow the construction of 'agentless' clauses. In some languages, such as Turkish, this seems to be its only function (Lyons, 1968). In other languages, the use of the passive is obligatory in certain contexts; for instance, the passive has to be used in Yana, an American Indian language, when the agent is a third person acting upon a first or second person (Sapir and Swadesh, 1964).

Most languages have a variety of mechanisms for constructing 'agentless' clauses; for instance, the French statement On parle anglais and the German Man spricht Englisch leave the agent unspecified by using a 'dummy' subject, on and man respectively. They can be translated into English either by using a similar 'dummy' subject, They speak English, where they does not refer to a specific agent, or by using the passive voice, English is spoken (Lyons, 1968).

Languages which have a category of voice do not always use the passive with the same frequency. German uses the passive much less frequently than English. The same is true of Russian and French, where reflexive structures are relied on much more heavily to fulfil similar functions. The frequency of use of the passive in languages which have a category of voice usually expresses a stylistic choice and, in some registers, may be a question of pure convention. Scientific and technical writing in English, for instance, relies heavily on passive structures. This is done to give the impression of objectivity and to distance the writer from the statements made in the text. It has, however, come to represent the 'norm' in technical writing to such a degree that, even if a writer was not particularly interested in giving an impression of objectivity, s/he would find it difficult to break away from the convention of using predominantly passive structures in technical writing. The more pervasive a structure becomes in a given context, the more difficult it becomes for speakers and writers to select other structures or to depict events differently.

Some languages use the passive more frequently than English in everyday contexts. In Tjolobal of Mexico, passive structures are the norm, with active structures being used very rarely (Beekman and
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Callow, 1974). Nida similarly explains that 'in some Nilotic languages the passive forms of verbs are so preferred that instead of saying "he went to town", it is much more normal to employ an expression such as "the town was gone to by him"' (1975: 136).

Rendering a passive structure by an active structure, or conversely an active structure by a passive structure in translation can affect the amount of information given in the clause, the linear arrangement of semantic elements such as agent and affected entity, and the focus of the message. However, one must weigh this potential change in content and focus against the benefits of rendering a smooth, natural translation in contexts where the use of the passive for instance would be stylistically less acceptable than the use of the active or an alternative structure in the target language. The conference circular and back-translated Russian text on pages 104–5 are quoted at length to illustrate that, in some contexts, professional translators may decide to replace passive structures in the source text with stylistically more acceptable alternative structures, such as the active and reflexive in the case of Russian. The relevant structures are highlighted in the English and back-translated Russian texts for ease of comparison. Readers familiar with Russian can refer to Appendix 8 for the Russian translation.

English has many formulae or semi-fixed expressions in formal correspondence which rely heavily on using the passive for distancing, to project the writer as an agent of an objective process. Russian, on the whole, does not favour this strategy. Common fixed and semi-fixed phrases in Russian make use of the active voice. An expression such as 'we invite you to . . . ' is more natural in Russian than 'you are invited to . . . ', although both are possible. The last highlighted expression in the Russian back-translation, 'the opportunity will make itself available', illustrates the use of the reflexive, which is particularly common in Russian and is generally considered stylistically equivalent to the passive in English (James Mullten, personal communication).

The main function of the passive in English and in a number of other languages is, as already mentioned, to avoid specifying the agent and to give an impression of objectivity. This is not necessarily the function of the passive in all languages which have a category of voice. Larson explains that in Aguaruna, a language of Peru, the passive is used almost exclusively in introductions and conclusions, but not in the body of the text. A shift to passive would indicate that the author is now giving a summary statement' (1984: 226). In some languages, notably Japanese, Chinese, Vietnamese, and Thai, the
main function of the passive, or passive-like structures as in the case of Chinese, is to express adversity. In these languages, the passive is traditionally used to report unfortunate events; for instance, one would say something like ‘I was rained on’ in Japanese, rather than ‘It rained on me’ or ‘I got wet in the rain’. Even events which we would never passivize in English because they involve only one participant and therefore cannot ‘logically’ be passivized are expressed in passive structures in these languages if they are unpleasant, for example ‘I was died on by my father’ in Japanese.

The regular association of passive structures with adversity in certain languages means that the passive can often carry connotations of unpleasantness even when the event depicted is not normally seen as unpleasant. For example, using the passive in Japanese can imply that a certain event is viewed by the speaker or writer in unfavourable terms, as the following example illustrates. The source text, Palace and Politics in Prewar Japan, is English. However, the example used here is originally a quote from Japanese, translated into English and used in the source text. The Japanese translator of the English book seems to have recovered the original Japanese text (written in Classical Japanese) and quoted it in the Japanese translation. The English text will still be quoted first as this will hopefully make it easier for the reader to follow the back-translation from Japanese. Items in angle brackets in the back-translation do not actually occur in the Japanese text; grammatical subjects are often suppressed in Japanese clauses (see Chapter 6, section 6.1). Possible subjects are nevertheless inserted in the back-translation to make the text readable.

**English/Target text (Appendix 6):**

Komeda Torao, Jiho of the third rank, was the most blunt: ‘If in the past [Your Majesty] had shown as much care for politics as he had passion for horsemanship, no such criticism from the public as “politics by two or three Ministers” would have occurred.’

**Back-translation of Japanese/source text:**

The most blunt one was Komeda Torao who was the third Jiho. ‘If <Your Majesty> pours his wise consideration into politics as much as <he> likes riding in his daily life, <I> would not think that <he/the government> would have been said as two or three ministers’ politics by the public. So <I am> sincerely concerned.’
Because it is used in the passive, ‘said’ in the Japanese text is understood to mean something like ‘criticized’, and this meaning is correctly rendered in the English translation. Note that it is the use of the passive structure rather than the lexical item ‘said’ which signals this ‘adverse’ meaning of criticism.

The fact that the passive can and often does communicate adverse meanings in languages such as Japanese and Chinese must be borne in mind by translators working from or into these languages. Here are some examples which confirm that professional Japanese and Chinese translators are sensitive to the difference in function of the passive in English and in their target languages and generally tend to replace a large number of English passive structures with active structures in their target texts in order to avoid negative connotations.

Example A
Source text (A Study of Shamanistic Practices in Japan; see Appendix 5):

... there is no barrier to be crossed, no mysteriously other kind of being to be met and placated.

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

There is no barrier that <one> should go over, and <we> do not meet or placate a mysterious different being.

Example B
Source text (Palace and Politics in Prewar Japan; see Appendix 6):

If the personality and policy preferences of the Japanese emperor were not very relevant to prewar politics, social forces certainly were. There are two reasons for giving them only the most tangential treatment here. First, this study simply had to be controlled in scope. Obviously not everything relevant to Japanese political development could be encompassed.

Target text (back-translated from Japanese):

... The first reason was a simple reason which is <we> had to limit the area of research. It is obviously impossible to take up all the matters which are concerned with Japan’s political development.

Example C
Source text (China’s Panda Reserves; see Appendix 3, no. 17):
... many have been nursed back to health from the brink of starvation.

Target text (back-translated from Chinese):

... many pandas have already through nursing recovered health from the brink of starvation and death.

The most important things to bear in mind as far as voice is concerned are the frequency of use of active, passive, and similar structures in the source and target languages, their respective stylistic value in different text types, and - most important of all - the function(s) of the passive and similar structures in each language. The idea is not to replace an active form with an active one and a passive form with a passive one; it is always the function of a category rather than the form it takes that is of paramount importance in translation.

The categories discussed and exemplified above are among the most problematic in translation but are by no means the only ones that cause difficulty. The expression of modal meanings, for instance, can vary widely from language to language and has to be handled sensitively and carefully in translation. Modality or modal meanings have to do with the attitude of the speaker to the hearer or to what is being said, with such things as certainty, possibility, and obligation. The expression of modal meanings can take quite a different form in each language. In an article on political interviews on Israeli television, Blum-Kulka (1983) explains that English tends to use expressions such as Let's ... and Shall we ... in directing the actions of others, in controlling talks, and in making polite requests that have the force of commands. Hebrew, on the other hand, expresses similar modal meanings by ‘addressing a question about the possibility of getting something done. For example, “Perhaps you’ll go to sleep” (ulay telex lišon) from a mother to a child simply means “go to sleep”’ (ibid: 147). Throughout her article, Blum-Kulka renders Hebrew expressions used by the interviewer to direct each talk with natural-sounding English expressions which are not literal renderings of the Hebrew but which express similar modal meanings. For example, she uses Let's go on to another topic where a literal translation of the Hebrew would be 'Perhaps we shall/should go on to another topic' and Let's begin with the question of defence policy where the Hebrew is literally 'Perhaps we shall start with the question of defence policy'.

Other grammatical categories which can pose difficulties in translation include mood, direct and indirect speech, causativity, and many
others. Translators should find it useful to investigate and compare the expression of such categories and the meanings associated with various structures in their source and target languages.

For a good overview of a number of grammatical categories and their expression in various languages see Robins (1964) and Lyons (1968). For a detailed discussion of the main categories and their realization and function in English, see the Collins COBUILD English Grammar (Sinclair, 1990).

4.3. A BRIEF NOTE ON WORD ORDER

The syntactic structure of a language imposes restrictions on the way messages may be organized in that language. The order in which functional elements such as subject, predicator, and object may occur is more fixed in some languages than in others. Languages vary in the extent to which they rely on word order to signal the relationship between elements in the clause. Compared to languages such as German, Russian, Finnish, Arabic, and Eskimo, word order in English is relatively fixed. The meaning of a sentence in English, and in languages with similarly fixed word order such as Chinese, often depends entirely on the order in which the elements are placed (cf. The man ate the fish and The fish ate the man).

Some languages have case inflections which indicate the relationship between the elements in a clause, for instance who does what to whom. In such languages, the form of a noun changes depending on its function in the clause. In Russian, both Ivan videl Borisa and Borisa videl Ivan mean ‘John saw Boris’ (Lyons, 1968), because -a marks Boris as the object, regardless of its position with respect to the subject and verb.

Languages which have elaborate systems of case inflections tend to have fewer restrictions on word order than languages like English which have very few case inflections. In languages with elaborate case inflections, word order is largely a matter of stylistic variation and is available as a resource to signal emphasis and contrast and to organize messages in a variety of ways. Word order is extremely important in translation because it plays a major role in maintaining a coherent point of view and in orienting messages at text level. Because of its particular importance to the overall organization of discourse, the next chapter will be devoted to discussing word order at length from a purely textual point of view. But before we move from discussing the lower levels of language – words, phrases, grammatical categories – to talking about the text as a unit of
meaning, it would perhaps be useful to explain briefly what a text is and why we identify a given stretch of language as a text rather than assume that it is a set of unrelated words and sentences.

4.4 INTRODUCING TEXT

So far in this book we have attempted to deal with some of the basic ‘building blocks’ of language: its lexical stock and grammatical structures. In order to make some headway in describing and analysing language, we have had to treat linguistic units and structures as if they had an independent status and possessed ‘meaning’ in their own right. We now need to take a broader look at language and to consider the possibility that, as part of a language system, lexical items and grammatical structures have a ‘meaning potential’. This ‘meaning potential’ is only realized in communicative events, that is, in text. Following Brown and Yule (1983: 6), text is defined here as ‘the verbal record of a communicative event’; it is an instance of language in use rather than language as an abstract system of meanings and relations.

4.4.1 Text vs non-text

The nearest we get to non-text in actual life, leaving aside the works of those poets and prose writers who deliberately set out to create non-text, is probably in the speech of young children and in bad translations.

(Halliday and Hasan, 1976:24; my emphasis)

As translators, we have to operate with lexical items and grammatical structures at various stages of the translation process. It is nevertheless imperative that we view the text as a whole both at the beginning and at the end of the process. A good translator does not begin to translate until s/he has read the text at least once and got a ‘gist’ of the overall message. But this is only the first step. Once the source text is understood, the translator then has to tackle the task of producing a target version which can be accepted as a text in its own right. The phraseology and the collocational and grammatical patterning of the target version must conform to target-language norms, but even then the translation may still sound foreign or clumsy. Worse still, it may not even make sense to the target reader. Acceptable collocational patterns and grammatical structures can only enhance the readability of individual sentences, but they do not in themselves ensure that sentences and paragraphs add up to
a readable or coherent text. In an unpublished manuscript, ‘Ingredients of good, clear style’, Wilson comments as follows on the difference between an old and a revised version of the Bible in Dagbani:

For a native speaker it was difficult to express what was wrong with the earlier version, except that it was ‘foreign’. Since superficially there seemed to be no obvious grammatical blunders, and the vocabulary was not obviously faulty, the ingredients of this foreignness were not at first apparent. Now, however, a comparison ... has made clear that what the older version mainly suffers from are considerable deficiencies in ‘discourse structure’, i.e., in the way the sentences are combined into well-integrated paragraphs, and these in turn into a well-constructed whole. The new version, on the contrary, shows native-speaker mastery over the means of signposting the text into a coherent, clear prose, which is ... a real pleasure to read.

(quoted in Callow, 1974:10-11)

A text, then, has features of organization which distinguish it from non-text, that is, from a random collection of sentences and paragraphs. Just like collocational and grammatical patterning and a host of other linguistic phenomena, these features of text organization are language- and culture-specific. Each linguistic community has preferred ways of organizing its various types of discourse. This is why target readers can often identify what appears to be a lexically and grammatically ‘normal’ text as a translation, or as ‘foreign’.

A translation may be undertaken for a variety of purposes. However, in the chapters that follow we will assume that the ultimate aim of a translator, in most cases, is to achieve a measure of equivalence at text level, rather than at word or phrase level. More often than not, a translator will want the reader to accept a given translation as a text in its own right, if possible without being unduly alerted to the fact that it is a translation. To achieve this, the translator will need to adjust certain features of source-text organization in line with preferred ways of organizing discourse in the target language. The following chapters will attempt to outline some of the main features of discourse organization by looking at a number of factors which constrain or aid the way we produce and understand text.

This area of language study is somewhat ‘messier’ than the study of lexis and grammar. Texts may be organized in a variety of ways, the naturalness or otherwise of their organization being determined
out that it is ‘much more straightforward to decide what constitutes a grammatical or acceptable sentence than what constitutes a grammatical or acceptable sentence sequence, paragraph, text, or discourse’ (1981: 17). More importantly, text studies are a relatively recent development in linguistics. There is, admittedly, a long tradition both in linguistics and in literary studies of analysing the works of individual writers, particularly literary writers, but relatively little work has been done on such areas of text studies as the conventions of non-literary writing within a community or the preferred patterns of organization in different types of discourse. Moreover, of the studies now available, most are concerned with describing the patterning and conventions of spoken and written English. Very little is available in the way of describing the types of texts available in, say, Chinese or Spanish, or of how such texts are organized. Readers will note that the rest of this book will sometimes raise more questions than it answers, but they will, I hope, agree that raising questions is at least a step towards providing answers and solving problems.

4.4.2 Features of text organization

Any text, in any language, exhibits certain linguistic features which allow us to identify it as a text. We identify a stretch of language as a text partly because it is presented to us as a text, and we therefore do our utmost to make sense of it as a unit, and partly because we perceive connections within and among its sentences. These connections are of several kinds. First, there are connections which are established through the arrangement of information within each clause and the way this relates to the arrangement of information in preceding and following clauses and sentences; these contribute mainly to topic development and maintenance through thematic and information structures (Chapter 5). Second, there are surface connections which establish interrelationships between persons and events; these allow us to trace participants in a text and to interpret the way in which different parts of the text relate to each other (cohesion; Chapter 6). Finally, there are underlying semantic connections which allow us to ‘make sense’ of a text as a unit of meaning; these are dealt with under the heading of coherence and implicature in Chapter 7 (‘Pragmatic equivalence’).

Another important feature of text organization derives from the overlapping notions of genre and text type. Both relate to the way in
familiar to the reader. Texts have been classified in two main ways to capture this type of ‘packaging’. The first and more straightforward classification is based on the contexts in which texts occur and results in institutionalized labels such as ‘journal article’, ‘science textbook’, ‘newspaper editorial’, or ‘travel brochure’. The second is a more subjective, less institutionalized and therefore much vaguer classification which does not normally apply to a whole text but rather to parts of it. Typical labels used in this type of classification include ‘narration’, ‘exposition’, ‘argumentation’, and ‘instruction’. The first classification abstracts across contexts, the second abstracts across such factors as the nature of the messages involved or the addressee/addressee relationship. Both types of classification are useful in defining translation problems and in justifying specific strategies to overcome them. Reference to institutionalized genres, such as ‘religious texts’ and ‘newspaper editorial’, is made wherever applicable throughout this book. For an interesting discussion of translation problems which makes frequent references to the second type of classification, see Hatim and Mason (1990).

EXERCISES

1 Choose a notional category such as time reference, gender, countability, visibility, or animacy and compare the way it is expressed in your target language with the way it is expressed in English. Comment in particular on the sort of problems that could arise in translation from differences in the way the notion in question is expressed in the two languages. You may find it helpful to refer to grammars of your source and target languages and to base your discussion on an analysis of authentic translated texts.

2 Imagine that you have been provisionally asked to translate John Le Carré’s The Russia House (1989) into your target language. Before you can sign the translation contract, the publishers insist that you provide a sample translation of a couple of pages to allow them to assess your competence as a translator of this type of literature. They choose the following extract and ask you to submit a target version of it, stating that they appreciate that you may not have had time to read the whole novel but that they just want to see how you might handle Le Carré’s language. They provide you with a short summary of the context to help you assess the tone of the extract.
Note to the translator:
John Le Carré’s *The Russia House* is a spy thriller which revolves around the new era of glasnost in the Soviet Union. The general feeling that one gets from reading this novel is that nothing much has changed and that the cold-war machinations are still at play and are as irrelevant and as brutal as ever. The narrator in the following extract is Palfrey, legal adviser to the British secret service or, in his own words, ‘Legal adviser to the illegals’ (p. 47). In this passage, which is very near the end of the book, he ironically sums up the manner in which the hypocritical bureaucrats of Whitehall and Washington dealt with their own inadequacies when their major spying operation went wrong. The various people mentioned, such as Ned and Barley, had all been involved in the operation in one way or another.

Extract for translation:

Oh, and note was taken. Passively, since active verbs have an unpleasant way of betraying the actor. Very serious note. Taken all over the place.

Note was taken that Ned had failed to advise the twelfth floor of Barley’s drunken breakout after his return from Leningrad.

Note was taken that Ned had requisitioned all manner of resources on that same night, for which he had never accounted, among them Ben Lugg and the services of the head listener Mary, who sufficiently overcame her loyalty to a brother officer to give the committee a lurid account of Ned’s high-handedness. Demanding illegal taps! Imagine! Faulting telephones! The liberty!

Mary was pensioned off soon after this and now lives in a rage in Malta, where it is feared she is writing her memoirs.

Note was also taken, if regretfully, of the questionable conduct of our Legal Adviser de Palfrey – I even got my de back* – who had failed to justify his use of the Home Secretary’s delegated authority in the full knowledge that this was required of him by the secretly agreed Procedures Governing the Service’s Activities as Amended by etcetera, and in accordance with paragraph something of a deniable Home Office protocol.

The heat of battle was however taken into account. The Legal Adviser was not pensioned off, neither did he take himself to Malta. But he was not exonerated either. A partial pardon at best. A Legal Adviser should not have been so close to an operation. An inappropriate use of the Legal Adviser’s skills. The word injudicious was passed around.
In other words

It was also noted with regret that the same Legal Adviser had drafted a glowing testimonial of Barley for Clive’s signature not forty-eight hours before Barley’s disappearance, thus enabling Barley to take possession of the shopping list**, though presumably not for long.

In my spare hours, I drew up Ned’s terms of severance and thought nervously about my own. Life inside the Service might have its limitations but the thought of life outside it terrified me. (pp. 412–13)

* Palfrey’s full name is Horatio Benedict dePalfrey, but, as he explains earlier (p. 47), ‘you may forget the first two (names) immediately, and somehow nobody has ever remembered the “de” at all.’

** A document detailing information required by Whitehall and Washington from the informant/potential defector on the Russian side.

When you have translated the above text into your target language, discuss any differences between the source and target versions in terms of grammatical meaning, paying particular attention to the use of passive structures20 and the reflexive take himself to Malta (paragraph 6). You may also wish to use this opportunity to consolidate your knowledge of other areas covered so far: namely semantics and lexis. Consider, for instance, the evoked meaning of an expression such as all over the place (paragraph 1), or the impact of an unusual collocation such as lives in a rage (paragraph 4); how well do these expressions translate into your target language?

Comment at length on the strategies you used to overcome difficulties at the grammatical level in particular.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING


Nida, E. A. (1959) ‘Principles of translation as exemplified by bible translat-
NOTES

1 A system is a set of options or contrasting choices.
2 See Chapter 5 for a discussion of word-order patterns and note 1 of the same chapter for an explanation of the functional elements subject, predicator, and object.
3 E. E. Cummings' poem 'One X' is a typical example of the way he manipulates grammatical patterning:
   death is more than
certain a hundred these
sounds crowds odours it
is in a hurry
beyond that any this
taxi smile or angle we do
not sell and buy
things so necessary as
is death and unlike shirts
neckties trousers
we cannot wear it out...
4 The lexical item late, as in the late Graham Greene, can be used to convey a similar meaning in English. The difference is that this information is optional in English and the absence of late therefore does not necessarily indicate that a certain referent is alive.
5 And sometimes as masculine, feminine, or neuter, as in the case of German.
   In some languages, the gender category is expressed in terms of animate vs inanimate rather than masculine vs feminine. Southern Paiute, an American Indian language, combines the animate/inanimate distinction with a further dimension, namely that of visibility (Sapir and Swadesh, 1964). A given entity must be classified (a) as either animate or inanimate, and (b) according to whether or not it can be seen from the standpoint of the action's main setting.
6 A determiner is a word such as the, this, or some, which is used with a noun to limit its meaning or specify its reference in some way.
7 Unmarked here means that it is the form normally used unless the speaker specifically wishes to highlight the distinction.
8 The reason that gender distinctions can be manipulated in this way in English is that they are not a regular feature of the grammar of English. An English speaker can convey certain expressive meanings by using she (rather than it) to refer to an inanimate object such as a car or ship, for instance. It would be difficult to transfer this type of manipulation into a language such as French, where gender is a regular grammatical feature.
In other words

A French speaker has to use the feminine form for ‘car’, voiture, and the masculine form for ‘ship’, bateau; s/he has no other choice.

9 Elizabethan English made a distinction between thou (second-person singular) and you (second-person plural). The singular form was used to express familiarity and the plural form to express respect or distance. This distinction no longer exists in Modern English.


11 Brown and Gilman use T to refer to the familiar form and V to refer to the polite form in any language.

12 This classification is taken from St John (1983).

13 Distinguishing between the present and the future in non-past reference is achieved by adding a time adverbial such as ‘now’ or ‘tomorrow’ (Netsu, 1981).

14 For a good, accessible summary of the functions of the passive in English, see The Collins COBUILD English Grammar (Sinclair, 1990), sections 10.10 to 10.14 (pp. 404–5).

15 Reflexive structures involve a reflexive pronoun such as myself or himself as object. They suggest that the person or thing affected by the action is the same as the person or thing doing it, e.g. I blame myself for not paying attention, He introduced himself to me (examples from Sinclair (1990)).

Like passive structures, reflexive structures are sometimes used to suppress the agent. For example, ‘the opportunity made itself available’, which is a perfectly natural expression in Russian, allows the speaker to avoid specifying who made the opportunity available in much the same way as The opportunity was made available.

16 See Chapter 5 for a discussion of the role of voice in organizing messages.

17 This is still true to a large extent, even though passive structures are becoming more common in various contexts because of the influence of translation.

18 See Chapter 5, note 2, for an explanation of the functional elements subject, predicate, object, complement and adjunct.

19 This is not to say that equivalence at word level is not or should not be given priority in some contexts. The biblical or koranic translator, for instance, will often be at least equally concerned, if not more concerned, with equivalence at the level of the word or phrase.

20 I imagine that the extensive use of the passive in this particular text will not prove problematic in languages such as Japanese and Chinese, where the passive is associated with adversity. It may well be that the adversity function will be compatible with the tone of the text and the implications of cowardice and denial of responsibility.
5 Textual equivalence: thematic and information structures

A sentence is not autonomous, it does not exist for its own sake but as part of a situation and part of a text. And one of the most important functions of information dynamics is precisely to link a sentence to its environment in a manner which allows the information to flow through the text in the desired manner.

(Enkvist, 1978a: 178)

A translator should be aware not only of cognitive meanings and basic syntactic structures in his text, but also of its information dynamics. Such an awareness does not necessarily imply theoretical sophistication in linguistics, or an ability to analyze sentences into themes, rhemes, and focally marked or unmarked elements. Here too a translator must rely on intuition and Sprachgefühl. But in situations where theory may be of help, even in defining problems rather than in solving them, it should not be avoided.

(ibid.: 180)

We ended the last chapter with a brief discussion of word order and of text. It was suggested then that the linear arrangement of linguistic elements plays a role in organizing messages at text level. In this chapter, we resume our discussion of word order as a textual strategy (rather than a grammatical feature) and explore a number of ways in which its role in controlling information flow can be explained.

To illustrate what is meant by ‘information flow’, consider some possible formulations of sentence (2) in the following extract from Stephen Hawking’s A Brief History of Time (1988: 3).

(1) Ptolemy’s model provided a reasonably accurate system for predicting the positions of heavenly bodies in the sky.
(2a) But Ptolemy had to make an assumption that the moon followed a path that sometimes brought it twice as close to the earth as at other times, in order to predict these positions correctly.

(2b) But an assumption that Ptolemy had to make in order to predict these positions correctly was that the moon followed a path that sometimes brought it twice as close to the earth as at other times.

(2c) But in order to predict these positions correctly, Ptolemy had to make an assumption that the moon followed a path that sometimes brought it twice as close to the earth as at other times.

(3) And that meant that the moon ought sometimes to appear twice as big as at other times!

Sentences (2a–c) consist of the same elements, but the sequencing of elements is different in each one. The progression of links between each of the middle formulations and the preceding and following sentences can be visually represented as follows:

a. the positions ... an assumption ... the moon ... these positions ... that ... the moon

b. the positions ... an assumption ... these positions ... the moon ... that ... the moon

c. the positions ... these positions ... an assumption ... the moon ... that ... the moon

It should be clear from the above diagram that the sentence which forms the most readily accessible link between (1) and (3) is (2c) (it is also the one that appears in the actual text). The flow of information is smoother in (2c) because the progression of links is less messy and therefore easier to follow than in (2a) and (2b). Another factor which makes the extract easier to process if (2c) is selected is that sentences (2c) and (3) both start with an element that has already been established before presenting new information. The starting point of (2c), these positions, is already known to the reader because it occurs at the end of sentence (1). Similarly, the starting point of sentence (3), that is an assumption already explained in the previous sentence.

Linear arrangement, then, has a role to play in processing information and organizing messages at text level. Of the numerous formulations available for expressing a given message, a speaker or writer will normally opt for one that makes the flow of information clearer in a given context. In order to appreciate the factors which motivate a writer or speaker to make this kind of selection, one needs
to think of the clause as a message rather than as a string of grammatical and lexical elements. Over and above its propositional organization in terms of elements such as subject/object and agent/patient, a clause also has an interactional organization which reflects the addressee/addresser relationship. It is this interactional organization which motivates us to make choices that ensure that a clear progression of links is achieved and that a coherent point of view is maintained throughout a text.

Clause as a message can be analysed in terms of two types of structure: (a) thematic structure (5.1.1) and (b) information structure (5.1.2). There are two main approaches to the analysis of clause as a message. The Hallidayan approach treats thematic and information structures as separate, though often overlapping features of discourse organization. The two structures are seen to be essentially distinct from each other. Linguists belonging to the Prague School by and large conflate the two structures and combine them in the same description (see section 5.2 below). The two approaches are often at odds with each other and can produce completely different analyses of the same clause. However, translators with different linguistic backgrounds should benefit from a brief exposure to both points of view. Here, as elsewhere, a translator would be well advised to use those explanations which are compatible with the languages that are of interest to him/her and ignore the rest.

Both approaches are outlined below, starting with a general overview which follows the Hallidayan or ‘separating’ approach. For a good overview of both positions, see Fries (1983), who refers to them as the ‘separating’ approach and the ‘combining’ approach.

5.1 A GENERAL OVERVIEW BASED ON THE HALLIDAYAN APPROACH TO INFORMATION FLOW

5.1.1. Thematic structure: theme and rheme

One way of explaining the interactional organization of sentences is to suggest that a clause consists of two segments. The first segment is called the theme. The theme is what the clause is about. It has two functions: (a) it acts as a point of orientation by connecting back to previous stretches of discourse and thereby maintaining a coherent point of view and, (b) it acts as a point of departure by connecting forward and contributing to the development of later stretches. In Ptolemy’s model provided a reasonably accurate system for predicting the positions of heavenly bodies in the sky, the theme is Ptolemy’s
model. This is what the clause is about. At clause level, a speaker announces the topic of his/her message by thematizing it, that is, by putting it in initial position.

The second segment of a clause is called the rhyme. The rhyme is what the speaker says about the theme. It is the goal of discourse. As such, it is the most important element in the structure of the clause as a message because it represents the very information that the speaker wants to convey to the hearer. It is the rhyme that fulfils the communicative purpose of the utterance. In the above example, the rhyme is: provided a reasonably accurate system for predicting the positions of heavenly bodies in the sky, which is what the writer has to say about Ptolemy's model. This basically means that every clause has the structure of a message: it says something (the rhyme) about something (the theme).

Let us now look at a slightly more extended example of how a Hallidayan-style thematic analysis of a text might proceed in English. The following short extract selected for analysis is from Stephen Hawking's A Brief History of Time (1988: 2):

Aristotle thought that the earth was stationary and that the sun, the moon, the planets, and the stars moved in circular orbits about the earth. He believed this because he felt, for mystical reasons, that the earth was the center of the universe, and that circular motion was the most perfect.

Suggested analysis:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_1 & \quad \text{Aristotle} \\
& \quad \text{thought that} \\
& \quad t_2 \quad \text{the earth} \\
R_1 & \quad \text{r}_2 \quad \text{was stationary} \quad \text{(and that)} \\
& \quad t_3 \quad \text{the sun, the moon, the planets, and the stars} \\
& \quad r_3 \quad \text{moved in circular orbits about the earth.} \\
T_1 & \quad \text{He} \\
& \quad \text{believed this} \quad \text{(because)} \\
& \quad t_2 \quad \text{he} \\
R_1 & \quad \text{felt, for mystical reasons,} \quad \text{(that)} \\
& \quad t_3 \quad \text{the earth} \\
& \quad r_3 \quad \text{was the center of the universe,} \quad \text{(and that)} \\
& \quad r_2 \quad t_4 \quad \text{circular motion} \\
& \quad r_4 \quad \text{was the most perfect.}
\end{align*}
\]

A number of interesting points arise from the above analysis:

(a) Thematic analysis can be represented hierarchically. Since sentences often consist of more than one clause, they will have several
layers of thematic structure. Each clause will have its own theme–rHEME structure which may be subordinate to a larger theme–rHEME structure. The above visual representation of the hierarchical nature of theme–rHEME structures is meant to illustrate the point without having to go through complex technical discussions.

(b) You will note that I have put some elements in parentheses, for example because, to suggest that they do not quite fit into the analysis. The reason is that, strictly speaking, some elements are not part of the basic thematic structure of the text because they are not part of the propositional meaning of the message. These include special linking devices such as however, nevertheless, because, and moreover which are called conjunctions (see Chapter 6, section 6.3). They also include items which express the attitude of the speaker, such as unfortunately, in my opinion, frankly, and clearly (these are called disjuncts). Both conjunctions and disjuncts usually come at the beginning of English clauses; it is natural for the speaker to place in initial position an element which relates what s/he is about to say to what has been said before (conjunction) or an element which expresses his/her own judgement on what is being said (disjunct). In this sense, conjunctions and disjuncts are inherently thematic (Halliday, 1985). However, because conjunctions and disjuncts are not part of the propositional content of the message, they are not considered thematic in the same way as the main clause elements subject, predicator, object, complement and adjunct.¹ There are ways of incorporating conjunctions and disjuncts into thematic analysis, but this type of detailed analysis is not necessary for our current purposes. For a fuller discussion of this subject, see Halliday (1985: Chapter 3, ‘Clause as message’).

(c) Conjunctions and disjuncts aside, there tends to be a very high correlation between theme/rHEME and subject/predicate in the Hallidayan model (cf. the Prague position, section 5.2). The correlation does not hold in the case of marked themes as we shall see shortly (section 5.1.1.3.), but, generally speaking, the distinction between theme and rHEME is more or less identical to the traditional grammatical distinction between subject and predicate. In fact, Plato, who as far back as the fourth century BC had divided the sentence into what we now know as subject and predicate, used the terms ónoma and rhema (which originally meant ‘name’ and ‘saying’, respectively). However, the modern distinction between theme and rHEME differs from Plato’s original dichotomy in one important
respect. The theme–rHEME distinction is text-based. Its real value
does not lie in explaining the structure of individual sentences
but rather in shedding light on a number of important areas which
control information flow. These are discussed briefly in sections
5.1.1.1–5.1.1.3. below.

5.1.1.1 Thematic structure: grammaticality vs acceptability

Unlike the subject–predicate distinction, the notions of theme and
rHEME can be used to account for the acceptability (rather than
grammaticality) of a given sequence in a given context. Theme and
rHEME are not grammatical notions. They have little to do with
whether a given sequence is or is not grammatical. Grammatical
sequences are part of the abstract system of language. In context,
grammaticality does not necessarily ensure acceptability or coher-
ence. For example, the following text is well-formed grammatically,
but is ill-formed in terms of its thematics:

Now comes the President here. It’s the window he’s stepping
through to wave to the crowd. On his victory his opponent
congratulates him. ‘Gentlemen and ladies. That you are confident
in me honours me . . .’

(from Halliday, 1978: 134)

A grammatical sequence such as On his victory his opponent con-
gratulates him can be reordered in a number of different ways without
affecting its propositional content. The writer could have selected a
sequence such as His opponent congratulates him on his victory or He
is congratulated on his victory by his opponent. The acceptability,
rather than grammaticality, of any of these sequences in a given
context depends on how it fits into its surrounding textual environ-
ment. Reasons for the thematic ill-formedness of the above extract
will become clear as we explore various aspects of thematic orga-
nization. At this point, it is sufficient to point out that it is difficult to see
a link between the themes of the above clauses, or even between a
rHEME and a following theme, for instance. The result is that the text
feels disjointed and lacks orientation. The individual clauses are
perfectly grammatical but, taken together, they are not acceptable as
a stretch of discourse.

The above text is fabricated for the purposes of illustration.
Halliday argues that one does not normally meet non-text of this sort
in real life (1978). Those of us who read a lot of translated texts know
otherwise. Unlike the above constructed text, the following is an
authentic advertisement for Mazda cars (most likely a translation of an Italian text) which appeared in the Alitalia in-flight magazine (February 1991, 20-1).

What inspired that rebellious young poet called Rimbaud? What drove him to reach into the innermost part of his soul in search of the undiscovered? It allowed him to take words that already existed and yet express himself in a completely new way. Some creators are brave enough to realise their dreams without compromise. It is men like this who created the MX-5 in 1989. By ignoring the rules they are constantly reshaping the future. Even now they are realising a new dream. They work for Mazda.

The Mazda text has the same feel of awkwardness and lack of orientation about it as Halliday's constructed example. To start with, none of the themes seem to link in with a previous theme or rheme; each sentence seems to stand on its own in a sort of vacuum. For instance, the theme of the third sentence, It, does not relate to either of the previous themes or rhemes. The theme of the fourth sentence, Some creators, relates to Rimbaud only indirectly on the basis that poets are some sort of creators, but it does not link in with the theme or rheme of the previous sentence. The reader initially gets the impression that the purpose of the text is to identify Rimbaud's source of inspiration. In the fourth sentence, the focus suddenly shifts to talking about creators in general and how they realize their dreams.

Another source of distraction is that the initial what-questions (first and second sentences) are followed not, as one might expect, by an identification of the thing that inspired Rimbaud and drove him to reach into his soul, but by the pronoun It. It suggests that the reader should know what inspired·Rimbaud, etc. But the reader has no way of knowing because the relevant information has not been given by the writer. The arrangement of given and new elements is discussed in detail under information structure (section 5.1.2).

The Mazda text illustrates that, quite apart from any considerations of grammaticality, it is necessary to take account of thematic structure and to maintain a coherent point of view in any act of communication.

5.1.1.2 Thematic structure: text organization and development

In addition to complementing the notion of grammaticality with notions of acceptability and naturalness, the theme–rHEME distinction
can also be useful in explaining methods of organization and development in different types of text. In this area, a great deal of emphasis has traditionally been placed on theme rather than on rheme. By definition, theme represents the speaker’s/writer’s point of departure in each clause, which suggests that its organizational role is more important than that of rheme.

The selection of an individual theme of a given clause in a given text is not in itself particularly significant. But the overall choice and ordering of themes, particularly those of independent clauses, plays an important part in organizing a text and in providing a point of orientation for a given stretch of language. It is no surprise, for instance, that travel brochures, at least in English, are characterized by a proliferation of place adjuncts in theme position. In the context of travel, location provides a natural point of orientation around which the text as a whole can be organized.

The following extract from *A Hero from Zero* shows how a series of homogeneous themes can provide a point of orientation and a method of development for a given stretch of language. The extract comes right at the end of the Foreword. Here, Tiny Rowland concludes his version of events leading up to the Fayed brothers’ acquisition of the House of Fraser with a first-hand account of the situation in question against the background of his personal experience in business. The high frequency of *I* as theme helps to maintain a sense of continuity and a coherent point of view.

*I had nothing against his [Fayed’s] being a wealthy commission agent – I had everything against his cheating his way into House of Fraser, helped by Kleinwort Benson and Norman Tebbit.*

*It was bitter, but funny, to see that Professor Smith had doubled his own salary before recommending the offer from Fayed, and added a pre-dated bonus for good measure.*

*I saw how Brian Basham of Broad Street Associates and the barrister, Royston Webb, helped venal journalists to turn a sow’s ear into a golden purse, and how that golden purse was well received everywhere that it opened.*

*I saw how the well-documented material containing the truth about Fayed that we began to put before the DTI was received in embarrassed silence.*

*I saw how Leon Brittan, the incoming Secretary of State for Trade, was prepared to say he could find nothing wrong with the matter.*

*I took my first job in the City in 1936, working for Kittel and*
find apologists when they are exposed. I am glad that after two years inspectors were appointed, and that they have expended 18 months upon investigating the take-over of House of Fraser.

The above pattern can be reproduced in many, but not in all, languages. The thematic structure of the Arabic translation of this extract deviates from the original for a number of reasons. First, Arabic rarely uses independent pronouns because Arabic verbs are inflected for person, number, and gender. This means that any combination of pronoun plus verb, such as *I took* or *I saw*, is rendered by an inflected verb as theme in Arabic. It is important to bear in mind that while inflected verbs in languages such as Arabic, Spanish, and Portuguese (to name but a few) do carry the same information as an English pronoun-plus-verb combination, the effect of placing them in theme position is not the same. The impact of a series of *I*s in theme position is not the same as the impact of a series of verbs inflected for first person, such as ‘saw-I’, ‘took-I’, etc., where it is difficult to discern a theme line as clearly as in the pronoun-plus-verb combination. Second, Arabic negative particles come in front of the verb, so that an expression such as *I had nothing against* becomes literally ‘not was for me any objection’, thus pushing the ‘me’ further away from thematic position. Third, Arabic does not have an equivalent of the present perfect: *I’ve been a director* is rendered into Arabic as ‘since then become-I’, thus putting a temporal adjunct in theme position and pushing the inflected verb further towards the rheme. Here is a summary of the elements occurring in initial position in the English and Arabic versions of the extract. A literal translation is given of the Arabic themes to highlight the partial loss of orientation through discontinuity of theme.

Arabic: not-was, but-I, was-it, saw-I, saw-I, saw-I, occupied-I, since then, among the worst saw-I, pleases-me.

Having said that there is a partial loss of orientation in the Arabic version, it must be emphasized that the Arabic version does display a reasonable level of thematic continuity in its own right. What gives the Arabic version its sense of continuity is not, as in the case of English, the use of a series of identical themes (*I, I, I, I, I*) but rather the frequent thematization of processes as expressed in verbs, mainly ‘saw’ but also ‘occupied’ and ‘pleases’.
But whatever its status in other languages, exploring the thematic analysis of English is useful in illuminating certain areas of discourse organization. In so far as it applies to Arabic, for instance, it suggests that an ego-centred pattern which is perfectly feasible and natural in English has to be replaced in most contexts by a process-centred pattern which is far more typical of Arabic.

It seems to me that at this level of textual analysis, translators generally face three main possibilities:

(a) You may find that you can preserve the thematic patterning of the original without distorting the target text. If the elements placed in theme position in the source text can easily and naturally be placed in theme position in the target text, the method of development of the two texts will be the same or very similar. The French translation of the above extract from *A Hero from Zero* manages to maintain ‘I’ as theme in all instances as the original and even adds one of its own: instead of *it was bitter, but funny*, the French literally goes ‘I felt bitterness, but also amusement’. Here is a summary of the elements placed in theme position in the French version:

    Je, Je, Je, Je, je, je, J’ai, Je, La pire chose, je.

The method of development in both extracts is therefore the same and the French translation manages to retain the egotism of the original.

(b) You may find that you cannot preserve the thematic patterning of the original without distorting the target text. There are many factors which can restrict the choice and ordering of themes in translation. These factors may be grammatical; for instance it is ungrammatical to put verbs in theme position in English, though not in Arabic or Spanish. On the other hand, the restriction on placing independent pronouns in theme position in Arabic is not, strictly speaking, grammatical. An independent pronoun may occasionally be placed in theme position for emphasis, but a series of independent pronouns in theme position would be highly unnatural.

If the thematic patterning of the original cannot be reproduced naturally in the target language, then you will have to abandon it. If you do, you must ensure that your target version has its own method of development and maintains a sense of continuity in its own right.
(c) You may find that Halliday's model of thematic analysis does not apply to your language at all or does not apply to some of its sentence patterns. If this is the case, you may find the Prague model discussed in section 5.2 more helpful.

Whatever the difficulties involved in applying Halliday's model of thematic analysis to a given language, and irrespective of whether it is possible to reproduce the thematic patterning of the source text on a given occasion, one thing is certain: translators must not underestimate the cumulative effect of thematic choices on the way we interpret text. As Fries (1983: 135) points out,

if the themes of most of the sentences of a paragraph refer to one semantic field (say location, parts of some object, wisdom vs chance, etc.) then that semantic field will be perceived as the method of development of the paragraph. If no common semantic element runs through the themes of the sentences of a paragraph, then no simple method of development will be perceived.

And that is why you have to ensure that whatever elements you put in initial-clause position in your target text or in a given part of your target text add up to something that can be understood as a method of development and that can provide a point of orientation for that part of the text.

5.1.1.3 Thematic structure: marked vs unmarked sequences

A further area in which the notions of theme and rheme have proved very useful relates to marked and unmarked structures. This particular aspect of thematic organization is of special relevance in translation because understanding it can help to heighten our awareness of meaningful choices made by speakers and writers in the course of communication.

Thematic choice involves selecting a clause element as theme. The main clause elements are subject, predicator, object, complement, and adjunct. In the Hallidayan model, thematic choice is expressed by placing one of these elements in initial position in the clause. Thematic choice is always meaningful because it indicates the speaker's/writer's point of departure. But some choices are more meaningful than others, because they are more marked than others.

Meaning, choice, and markedness are interrelated concepts. A linguistic element carries meaning to the extent that it is selected. Meaning is closely associated with choice, so that the more obligatory
an element is, the less marked it will be and the weaker will be its meaning. The fact that adjectives have to be placed in front of nouns in English, for instance, means that their occurrence in this position has little or no significance because it is not the result of choice. On the other hand, putting a time or place adverbial, such as today or on the shelf, say, at the beginning of the clause, carries more meaning because it is the result of choice: there are other positions in which it can occur. This is one aspect of the relationship between meaning, markedness, and choice. A second aspect has to do with the degree of expectedness or unexpectedness of a choice. The less expected a choice, the more marked it is and the more meaning it carries; the more expected, the less marked it is and the less significance it will have. For example, it is possible but uncommon to place a complement in initial position in an English clause (as in Beautiful were her eyes, rather than Her eyes were beautiful). A complement is therefore highly marked in this position and indicates a more conscious effort on the part of the speaker/writer to highlight this particular element as his/her point of departure. It carries more textual meaning than an adverbial occurring in the same position. The more marked a choice the greater the need for it to be motivated. Conversely, unmarked options are those which are normally selected unless the context motivates the selection of another option from the same system.

It follows from the above discussion that placing a certain element in theme position does not necessarily constitute a marked thematic choice. The degree of markedness involved will depend on the frequency with which the element in question generally occurs in theme position and the extent to which it is normally mobile within the clause. A given type of clause will therefore have one unmarked thematic structure, variations of which will produce different types of marked theme. In English, it has been shown that an unmarked theme is one that signals the mood of the clause: in declarative clauses the unmarked theme is the subject (Jane said nothing for a moment); in interrogative clauses it is the wh-word (What did Jane say?), or the auxiliary in the case of polar questions (Did Jane say anything?); in imperative clauses it is the verb (Say something). These unmarked choices are a natural extension of the function of theme, which is to provide a point of departure and orientation. A speaker normally signals his/her point of departure by indicating whether s/he is making a statement, asking a question, or giving an order. Thus, in the case of an imperative clause, for instance, the verb naturally occupies thematic position because that is what the message
is about: getting the addressee to do something. Going back to the question of markedness, we can say that subject as theme in a declarative clause is not marked at all because this is the position it normally occupies in English declarative clauses. In other words, the subject is never selected as theme in an English declarative clause, it occupies that position by default. By contrast, a predicator hardly ever occurs in theme position in English declarative clauses, and so, when it does, it is highly marked. The same cannot be said about languages in which the predicator frequently comes at the beginning of the clause and therefore represents an unmarked – or at least less marked – thematic choice.

So far so good, but what is the function of marked theme? Is it, as one might expect, a question of rejecting the default option (such as thematizing the subject in English declarative clauses) in favour of an element which provides a smoother link with the preceding discourse? This may partly explain why a speaker or writer opts for a marked theme in a given context. However, there are always unmarked options in every language which provide ways of changing the position of elements in a clause to fit in with the surrounding context. The passive is one such option in English (cf. John gave me this book and This book was given to me by John, which are both unmarked). This suggests that a marked theme has an additional or different function. A marked theme is selected specifically to foreground a particular element as the topic of the clause or its point of departure. This is often explained in terms of making the element in question more prominent or emphasizing it, an explanation which some may find confusing. I have already suggested (p. 122) that rheme, which comes in final position in the clause, is more important than theme, which comes in initial position. We might then expect that final rather than initial position in the clause is where prominence can be achieved. How can this statement be reconciled with the suggestion that in order to make an element prominent a speaker places it in theme position? The answer may lie in making a distinction between local prominence and overall discourse prominence (Sinclair, personal communication). In marked thematic structures, theme position is associated with local prominence at the level of the clause. Rheme position, on the other hand, is prominent on an overall discourse level. In other words, placing an element in theme position gives that element local, temporary prominence within the clause. Putting an element in rheme position means that it is part of what the speaker has to say, and that is the very core of any message. Kirkwood (who adopts the Prague School rather than
the Hallidayan definition of theme and rheme), suggests that placing an element in initial position will give it a certain prominence but that it will still 'carry less weight than the actual rheme' (1979: 73).

It may be helpful at this point to illustrate the function of marked theme by discussing some examples of the way it works in one language, English. Hallidayan linguists identify three main types of marked theme in English: fronted theme, predicated theme, and identifying theme. These are explained below with examples from translated texts where applicable.

(a) Fronted theme

According to Greenbaum and Quirk, fronting involves 'the achievement of marked theme by moving into initial position an item which is otherwise unusual there' (1990: 407). Taking an unmarked structure such as The book received a great deal of publicity in China as a starting point, we can suggest a number of possible thematic structures in English, starting with the least marked and ending with the most marked. Fronted thematic elements are underlined.

**Fronting of time or place adjunct**

In **China** the book received a great deal of publicity.

This is a marked structure, but it is not highly marked because adverbials are fairly mobile elements in English. As we noted earlier, thematizing place adjuncts is very common in certain types of text in English, such as travel brochures, where locative themes offer a natural method of text development. Enkvist (1987) found that the same is true of guidebooks. Thematizing temporal adjuncts is similarly common in any type of narrative text, that is, any text which recounts a series of events. In the following example from the Foreword to A Hero from Zero, Tiny Rowland presents a summary of the events leading up to the Fayed brothers' acquisition of the House of Fraser. Thematized temporal adjuncts are highlighted in bold.

On **4th March 1985**, the Fayed brothers made an offer of four pounds a share for House of Fraser. We applied twice more to the DTI for release. We immediately notified the Department of Trade that Mohamed Fayad’s representations were incorrect, and gave what information we had at the time, which was sufficient to alarm, or at least give pause for basic investigations.
On 11th March the merchant bank Kleinwort Benson announced on behalf of its brand new client, Fayed, that they had acceptances from House of Fraser shareholders for more than fifty per cent of the issued share capital.

Three hours later a junior official of the DTI sent a note, uselessly releasing Lonrho from the undertakings not to bid.

In ten days, the unknown Fayeds gained permission to own House of Fraser, and throughout the ten days they put continuous lies before the public to justify the Government permissions they had got with such ease.

Thematizing place and time adjuncts is less marked in some languages, such as Spanish and Portuguese, than in English. In German, a structure such as Hier steigen wir aus (literally: 'Here we get out') is totally unmarked (Kirkwood, 1979). Similar choices in other languages may, on the other hand, be even more marked than in English. Wilkinson (1990) suggests that temporal adjuncts are rarely placed in theme position in Dutch. A temporal adjunct therefore represents a more marked thematic choice in Dutch than in English.

The series of marked themes in the above extract from A Hero from Zero basically foreground temporal sequence as the writer's point of departure. They can be reproduced with similar effect in French and Arabic. The French and Arabic translators of the above extract are therefore able to follow the same method of thematic development by placing the temporal adjuncts in theme position. This document has not been translated into Dutch, but I imagine that, had it been, extracts such as the one quoted above would have presented the Dutch translator with a problem (bearing in mind that the above is only a short extract and that a similar pattern of thematic development runs throughout the Foreword). On the one hand, placing all the above temporal adjuncts in theme position would be highly marked in Dutch; on the other hand, changing the thematic structure of the original may disrupt the natural development of the text – unless the translator finds a thematic element other than time which can provide a consistent point of orientation.

**Fronting of object or complement**

Object: A great deal of publicity the book received in China.
Complement: Well publicized the book was.

The fronting of objects and complements is much more marked than the fronting of adjuncts in English because objects and complements
are fairly restricted in position. Again, the same is not true of other languages. Fronting an object is less marked in Chinese than in English. In German, it is totally unmarked if accompanied by a definite determiner (Kirkwood, 1979).

Note that, unlike fronting, using the passive voice allows the speaker to select as theme what would have been the object of an active clause without making it marked. Fronting the object, on the other hand, foregrounds it and gives it local prominence (cf. A great deal of publicity was received in China and A great deal of publicity the book received in China).

You are unlikely to find a series of fronted objects or complements similar to the series of fronted temporal adjuncts quoted above. This is because, unlike adjuncts, objects and complements are not usually fronted to provide a point of orientation or method of development for a stretch of language. The effect of thematizing an object or complement in English is to provide contrast and to emphasize the speaker’s attitude to the message. It foregrounds the expressive meaning of the utterance. This can be seen in the following examples. The first, from Le Carré’s The Russia House (1989:19) illustrates a fronted object:

But Landau’s clients were young and rich and did not believe in death. ‘Niki boy,’ said Bernard, walking round behind him and putting a hand on his shoulder, which Landau didn’t like, ‘in the world today, we’ve got to show the flag. We’re patriots, see, Niki? Like you. That’s why we’re an offshore company. With the glasnost today, the Soviet Union, it’s the Mount Everest of the recording business. And you’re going to put us on the top, Niki. Because if you’re not, we’ll find somebody who will. Somebody younger, Niki, right? Somebody with the drive and the class.’

The drive Landau had still. But the class, as he himself was the first to tell you, the class, forget it.

The second example, from Le Carré’s The Little Drummer Girl (1983: 19), illustrates a fronted complement.

In my next life I shall be a Jew or a Spaniard or an Eskimo or just a fully committed anarchist like everybody else, Alexis decided. But a German I shall never be — you do it once as a penance and that’s it.

**Fronting of predicate**

They promised to publicize the book in China, and publicize it they did.
This is the most marked of all thematic choices in English. In addition to fronting the predicador, this choice also involves re-arranging other clause elements and adjusting the form of the verbal group. Authentic examples are hard to find because fronted predicadors are very rare in English. In languages such as Arabic, verbs frequently occur in thematic position and the fronting of a predicador is therefore not a marked thematic choice. In translating from a language such as Arabic to a language such as English, the unmarked predicador + subject structure would normally be translated by an equally unmarked structure such as subject + predicador rather than by an identical but highly marked structure which places the predicador in initial position. Going in the other direction, say from English into Arabic, a translator should try to find some way of conveying the emphasis attached to a fronted predicador. I cannot comment on the devices available in other languages, but in Arabic, for instance, the particle *qad* may be used with the past tense of the verb to convey emphasis. A lexical item such as ‘in fact’ may also be used to convey even stronger emphasis. The effect would be similar to something like *and they did in fact publicize it* in English, except that the verb in Arabic would be in theme position (literally: *qad* publicize-they-it in fact).

**b) Predicated theme**

Predicating a theme involves using an *it*-structure (also called a cleft structure) to place an element near the beginning of the clause, as in *It was the book that received a great deal of publicity in China, it was a great deal of publicity that the book received in China, or It was in China that the book received a great deal of publicity.* Apart from conjunctions and disjuncts which we have decided not to take into account for our current purposes, this is the only instance in which the theme of the clause is not the element that occurs in initial position. The theme of an *it*-structure is not *It* but rather the element which occurs after the verb to be. It simply acts as an empty subject which allows a certain element such as *the book* or *in China* to be placed near the beginning of the clause and to be interpreted as its theme, that is, what the message is about.

Predicating an element foregrounds it by placing it in theme position. One of the options it allows a speaker is to select the element acting as subject as a marked thematic choice, an option not normally available since the subject is the unmarked theme of a declarative clause in English.
In other words

Like all marked themes, predicated themes often imply contrast. It was in China that the book received a great deal of publicity would generally suggest that in China contrasts with other places where the book did not receive a great deal of publicity. Another important function of predicated theme is to signal information structure by presenting the element following it + be in the main clause as the new or important item to which the hearer's/reader's attention is drawn. For a detailed discussion of information structure, see section 5.1.2. below.

(c) Identifying theme

Identifying themes are very similar to predicated themes. Instead of using it (a cleft structure), an identifying theme places an element in theme position by turning it into a nominalization using a wh-structure (called a pseudo-cleft structure), as in What the book received in China was a great deal of publicity, or What was received by the book in China was a great deal of publicity.

Both predicated and identifying themes are often associated with implicit contrast. They tend to imply that the item in theme position (in the case of predicated themes) or the item in rHEME position (in the case of identifying themes) is chosen from a set of possible items as the one worthy of the hearer's/reader's attention: It was the book (rather than something else) which received a great deal of publicity in China; What the book received in China was a great deal of publicity (rather than bad reviews, for instance). Items in theme position are prominent in both structures. The difference is that in predicated themes, the thematic element is presented as new information; in identifying themes, the thematic element is presented as known information (see section 5.1.2 below for a discussion of known vs new information).

Predicated and identifying themes are marked but fairly common in English because they offer a thematization strategy that overcomes restrictions on word order. They also offer a way of signalling known vs new information independently of the use of intonation. Stress offers a reliable signal of information status in spoken English (see 5.1.2 below) but it is not available as a signalling device in written English. For this reason, predicated and identifying themes tend to be more common in written than in spoken English. By contrast, the two structures are of equal frequency in spoken and written Chinese because stress is not available as a device for signalling information structure in spoken Chinese (Tsao, 1983).
Predicated and identifying themes must be handled carefully in translation because they are far more marked in languages with relatively free word order, such as German, than they are in English. Transferring all instances of predicated and identifying themes into a German translation would make it sound very un-German. An experienced German translator will normally replace all or most predicated and identifying themes with less marked German structures. An example of this can be found in the Morgan Matroc text (appendix 2). The English extract in question and its German translation are quoted below for convenience:

**English Extract from Morgan Matroc Text (see Appendix 2):**

And yet there are some customers who in their search for a suitable material prefer to study complex tables of technical data. It is for such customers that we have listed the properties of Matroc's more widely used materials.

**German translation:**

... Für solche Kunden haben wir die Eigenschaften der gängigsten Matroc Werkstoffe aufgelistet.

**Back-translation:**

... For such customers have we the properties of the most popular Matroc materials listed.

Another example comes from an interview with the chairman of the Japanese Sony organization, Akio Morita, published in an edition of *Playboy* magazine and translated into Brazilian Portuguese. Predicated themes are more marked in Brazilian Portuguese than they are in English:

**English Extract from the Playboy text:**

It was about thirty years ago that a young Japanese businessman visited the West and was deeply humiliated to learn that 'Made in Japan' was an international synonym for shoddiness — a phrase that produced jokes and laughter. Today, the laughter is heard mainly on the way to the bank as Akio Morita, 61, the co-founder and the chairman of the Sony Corporation, continues to make his five-billion dollar corporation a font of ever newer and more dazzling inventions.

It was Sony that gave the world mass-produced transistor radios, Trinitron television sets, Betamax video recorders and Walkman portable cassette players.
Há trinta anos, um jovem homem de negócios japonês, ex-fabricante - malsucedido - de panelas de cozinhar arroz, visitou o Ocidente, e ficou profundamente humilhado ao perceber que a expressão 'Made in Japan' era um sinônimo internacional para mal-acabado. Era uma expressão que produzia piadas e risos. Hoje, os risos são ouvidos apenas quando se contabilizam os lucros de Akio Morita, 61 anos, co-fundador e presidente da Sony Corporation, que continua a usar a sua empresa de 5 bilhões de dólares (algó como um quarto das exportações brasileiras em 81) como fonte de novas e fantásticas invenções.

Foi a Sony que deu ao mundo rádios transistorizados produzidos em massa, os inovadores aparelhos de televisão Triniton, os vídeo-gravadores Betamax e os toca-fitas portáteis Walkman.

English extract from *The Independent* article:

The report had meanwhile been referred to the Serious Fraud Office. A reference to that office is made only where an inquiry concludes that an offence may have been committed. Lonrho has been informed that the report made no criticism of its conduct.

What Mr Rowland wants is the early publication of this report.

Arabic translation:

وقد أهمل هذا التقرير، الذي مكتب الإحصاءات الخطيرة، ولا يتم الاستجابة لهذا المكتب إلا في حالة توصل التحقيق إلى نتيجة ضعيفة بسبب حدوث مخالفة. وقد أبلغت مؤسسة لونغو بأن التقرير لم يوجه أي انتقاد إلى مسئولي.

ويستفي المستشار رولاند الآن إلى نشر هذا التقرير في القريب想到了.
Back-translation:

And seeks Mr Rowland now to publish this report as soon as possible.

The Arabic predicative + subject structure is not marked at all and it therefore fails to convey the emphasis signalled by the English identifying structure. It can be argued that 'normalizing' the marked English structure is not a good strategy in this instance and that a slightly different but similarly marked Arabic structure (using a particle such as inna) would have preserved the prominence given by the writer to the first part of the statement (Mr Rowland wants) and still sounded natural in Arabic:

إن السيد رولاند يسعى الآن إلي...

_inna_ Mr Rowland seeks now ...

or

إن ما يسعى السيد رولاند إليه الآن هو نشر ...

_Inna_ what seeks Mr Rowland now is ...

Generally speaking, languages with relatively free word order like German can thematize clause elements simply by fronting them. They therefore rarely use intricate structures that languages with relatively fixed word order resort to in order to thematize elements. Apart from being aware of the level of markedness of a given structure in the source and target languages, a translator should also learn to make use of the thematization devices available in each language. For instance, Wilkinson (1990: 81) suggests that 'information which has been highlighted by being fronted in the Dutch clause lends itself to a translation in English using an IT cleft', but notes that trainee translators working from Dutch into English fail to make use of predicated and identifying themes in their English translations.

In addition to fronted, predicated, and identifying themes, other types of marked theme exist in English, but they tend to be much more restricted and more likely to be used in informal language. These are _proposed theme_ and _postposed theme_ (Young, 1980). Both involve using a gloss tag. In proposed theme, the gloss tag occurs at the beginning of the clause, in postposed theme, it occurs at the end of the clause. The following examples of both types are from Young (1980: 145):
Preposed theme: The fitter, he sent these documents to the office. These documents, the fitter sent them to the office.

Postposed theme: He sent these documents to the office, the fitter. He sent these documents to the office, the fitter did. The fitter sent them to the office, these documents.

An authentic example of a preposed theme can be found in the extract from Le Carré's *The Russia House* quoted on page 134 above:

> With the glasnost today, the Soviet Union, it's the Mount Everest of the recording business.

5.1.1.4 A brief assessment of the Hallidayan position on theme

Any approach to describing information flow in natural language will generally recognize that clauses are organized in terms of theme and rheme. But, as already noted, different linguists give different accounts of the way in which theme and rheme are realized in discourse. Each account is naturally biased towards the native language of the linguist in question as well as other languages with which s/he may be familiar. One of the main differences between the Hallidayan and other approaches is that Halliday has always insisted that, at least in English, the theme-rheme distinction is realized by the sequential ordering of clause elements. Theme is the element placed by the speaker in first position in the clause; rheme is whatever comes after the theme. A rheme-theme sequence therefore has no place in Halliday’s system. This position contrasts sharply with that taken by Prague linguists, such as Fīrbaš, who reject sentence position as the only criterion for identifying theme and rheme (see section 5.2 below).

The attraction of the Hallidayan view is that, unlike the rather complex explanations of the Prague School, it is very simple to follow and apply. To some extent, it is also intuitively satisfying to suggest that what one is talking about always comes before what one has to say about it. Its disadvantages, on the other hand, include (a) its partial circularity; theme is whatever comes in initial position and whatever comes in initial position is theme; and (b) its failure to relate descriptions of SVO languages, particularly those with relatively fixed word order such as English, to descriptions of languages
with relatively free word order in which, for instance, the verb often occurs in initial position. If theme is whatever occurs in initial position we would have to acknowledge that some languages prefer to thematize participants (expressed as subjects in SVO and SOV languages) on a regular basis while other languages prefer to thematize processes (expressed as verbs in VSO languages). But Halliday does not attempt to address these preferences; nor does he discuss language features which restrict a speaker’s choice of thematic elements. For instance, in Harway (a Papuan language) where the verb is always final, a speaker/writer does not have the option of thematizing processes.  

It is possible to see Halliday’s view of theme – as whatever comes in initial position in the clause – as a reflection of (a) the nature of English as a language with relatively fixed word order, and (b) his study of Chinese, this being a language with a special category of topic which always occurs at the beginning of the clause.

It may be useful at this point to explore the possible link between Halliday’s notion of theme and the category of topic in Chinese and other topic-prominent languages. Apart from providing some insight into Halliday’s view of the way in which theme is realized in discourse, the discussion of topic is also of particular interest here because it highlights an area of considerable potential confusion for translators interested in the thematic analysis of topic-prominent languages.

Theme and Chinese-style topic

Chinese has been identified by Li (1976) as a topic-prominent language. Unlike subject-prominent languages such as English, French, and German, topic-prominent languages appear to have double subjects. Li gives the following examples from a variety of topic-prominent languages. The topic of each sentence is underlined:

Animals, I advocate a conservation policy. (Mandarin)
This field, the rice is very good. (Lahu)
The present time, there are many schools. (Korean)
Fish, red snapper is delicious. (Japanese)

Several questions need to be raised here to explore the relationship between theme and topic, if any exists. For instance, how do topics relate to themes? Do they behave in the same way syntactically, or, to put it more accurately, do topics, like themes, have no syntax?
Does topic mean the same thing as theme, that is, what the message is about? Can topics be translated into languages which are not topic-prominent?

The topic of a clause in topic-prominent languages always occurs in initial position (Li, 1976). In this respect, it coincides with theme in Halliday’s model. If initial position is reserved for theme and if topic always occurs in initial position, then theme and topic are presumably the same thing.

In some languages such as Lisu, Japanese, and Korean, topics are further marked by the addition of a morpheme: for example, the suffix -nya is always added to the topic of a sentence in Lisu. Japanese has two suffixes, -wa and -ga, the functions of which are explained in different ways by different linguists but which are said to mark, among other things, topic and non-topic respectively. Another syntactic feature of topic is that it controls anaphoric reference so that (a) once an element is announced as topic, this element may be omitted altogether in subsequent clauses, hence the proliferation of subjectless clauses in languages such as Chinese and Japanese (see Chapter 6, p. 185, for an example of Japanese subjectless clauses), and (b) an element announced as topic overrides possible coreferential links with other elements in the sentence. Li gives the following example from Mandarin Chinese (1976: 469):

Nēike shù yèzi dày, suǒyī wǒ bu xǐhuān——
that tree leaves big so I not like
‘That tree (topic), the leaves are big, so I don’t like <it>.’

Topic-comment structures such as those given above are sometimes translated into English as, for instance, Concerning animals . . . , About this field, . . . , As for fish, . . . , etc. There is, of course, a limit to how often this can be done in English. It is a marked structure in the sense of being relatively infrequent. Its overuse by Japanese and Chinese learners of English, for instance, is immediately noticeable. King (1990) similarly suggests that topicalization, as evident in the use of expressions such as os pros, oson afora, and oso ya (all of which mean something like ‘as for’ or ‘with regards to’), is more common in Greek than in English and that Greek learners of English tend to overuse this structure. Translators are in a position similar to that of advanced learners of a language, and pitfalls of this sort become more common when the direction of translation is into the non-native language rather than the translator’s mother tongue.

Li (1976: 484) suggests more natural structures for achieving something similar to topicalization in English:
Remember Tom?
Well, he fell off his bike yesterday.
You know Tom?

These structures may be natural in some situations, particularly in informal spoken exchanges, but they are undoubtedly inappropriate for most contexts. Like all the English structures suggested above for expressing Chinese-style topics, they remain far more restricted than the normal topic-comment structure in languages such as Chinese and Korean. In topic-prominent languages, these structures are the norm rather than the exception.

Chafe (1976) suggests that it is incorrect to translate a Chinese-style topic with an English expression such as As for. This is because the English expression suggests contrastiveness. A statement such as As for animals, I advocate a conservation policy, implies that animals are being contrasted with something else for which the speaker perhaps does not advocate a conservation policy. The Chinese structure, on the other hand, need not imply any contrast, and Chafe therefore concludes that

Chinese seems to express the information in these cases in a way that does not coincide with anything available in English. In other words, there is no packaging device in English that corresponds to the Chinese topic device, and hence no fully adequate translation.

(1976: 50)

Syntactic behaviour and contrastiveness aside, it is tempting to interpret a Chinese-style topic in the same way as theme, that is, as meaning something like ‘This is my starting point; this is what I am talking about.’ But the scope of a topic is not restricted to the clause as the example on page 142 might suggest. Topic does not just control reference within clause boundaries, it controls reference outside clause boundaries as well. That is why once a topic is announced, the subject(s) of subsequent clauses can be omitted. Also, unlike themes (and subjects) which may be definite or indefinite, topics are always definite (Li, 1976). This indicates a possible difference in meaning or function between the two. Chafe (1976: 51) suggests that the function of topic is to specify some kind of framework, for instance in terms of time, location, or individual reference, within which the main statement applies and that “real” topics (in topic-prominent languages) are not so much “what the sentence is about” as “the frame within which the sentence holds”. Li agrees with Chafe’s
interpretation of topic and links this to the observation that topics are always definite. A topic has to be definite because of its function of setting the framework for interpreting the sentence as a whole.

To my knowledge, no one has yet addressed the relationship between topic and theme in topic-prominent languages. These languages, presumably, have clause themes as well as sentence topics. Their organization into topic-comment structures must add to the complexity of their thematic analysis and to the difficulty of identifying signals of thematic status. There are, for instance, several conflicting claims concerning the function of the Japanese suffix -wa: some suggest that it is an obligatory marker of topic (this claim is implicit in Li, 1976: 465); others suggest that it marks given information (see 5.1.2. below for an explanation of given vs new information). Maynard (1981: 124) seems to suggest that -wa marks topic, although she uses the term 'theme':

-\textit{wa} serves to create a theme by identifying NP's [i.e. noun phrases] that are to be placed on what we may call the 'thematic stage'. The thematic stage may be defined as the conceptual framework within which the story is told, presented and performed. The choice of using -\textit{wa} ... reflects the writer's thematic choice.

This is clearly an area of some difficulty for translators working from or into a topic-prominent language such as Korean, Japanese, or Chinese.

The above discussion does not cover all aspects of thematic structure, but it is probably sufficient for our current purposes. We now turn our attention to the second aspect of the interactional organization of messages: information structure.

5.1.2 Information structure: given and new

The distinction between theme and rheme is speaker-oriented. It is based on what the speaker wants to announce as his/her starting point and what s/he goes on to say about it. A further distinction can be drawn between what is given and what is new in a message. This is a hearer-oriented distinction, based on what part of the message is known to the hearer and what part is new. Here again, a message is divided into two segments: one segment conveys information which the speaker regards as already known to the hearer. The other segment conveys the new information that the speaker wishes to
convey to the hearer. Given information represents the common ground between speaker and hearer and gives the latter a reference point to which s/he can relate new information.

Like thematic structure, information structure is a feature of the context rather than of the language system as such. One can only decide what part of a message is new and what part is given within a linguistic or situational context. For example, the same message may be segmented differently in response to different questions:

What’s happening tomorrow?  
We’re climbing Ben Nevis.  
NEW

What are we doing tomorrow?  
We’re climbing Ben Nevis.  
Given New

What are we climbing tomorrow?  
We’re climbing Ben Nevis.  
Given New

(examples from Morley, 1985: 75)

The organization of the message into information units of given and new reflects the speaker’s sensitivity to the hearer’s state of knowledge in the process of communication. At any point of the communication process, there will have already been established a certain linguistic and non-linguistic environment. This the speaker can draw on in order to relate new information that s/he wants to convey to elements that are already established in the context. The normal, unmarked order is for the speaker to place the given element before the new one. This order has been found to contribute to ease of comprehension and recall and some composition specialists therefore explicitly recommend it to writers (Vande Kopple, 1986).

The given-before-new principle influences other sequencing decisions in language. Greenbaum and Quirk posit a principle of end-focus to account for the tendency to process information ‘so as to achieve a linear presentation from low to high information value’ (1990: 395). Moreover, they suggest that

Since the new information often needs to be stated more fully than the given (that is, with a longer, ‘heavier’ structure), it is not unexpected that an organization principle which may be called end-weight comes into operation along with the principle of end-focus.

(ibid.: 398)

In other words, the same principle which motivates speakers to place given before new information also motivates them to place longer
and heavier structures towards the end of the clause, as in the following examples (ibid.: 398):

She visited him that day.
She visited her best friend that day.
She visited that day an elderly and much beloved friend.

A similar principle seems to operate in German where, Herbst et al. suggest, "there is a stylistic tendency to place the more complex element (i.e. the one containing relatively more words) after the less complex" (1979: 165). At least one genre of Brazilian Portuguese, that of academic abstracts, also seems to follow the principle of end-weight. The results of a study carried out by Johns (1991) suggest that in this genre simple verbs without modals or closely linked prepositional phrases are regularly fronted while long and syntactically complex subjects are not. Problems arise in translation when a principle such as end-weight or end-focus seems to clash with more basic grammatical principles in the target language. For instance, one of the basic grammatical principles in English involves placing the subject before the predicate. In translating from Brazilian Portuguese, which allows the fronting of simple verbs, into English, a translator may be tempted to ignore the principle of end-weight in order to preserve the subject-before-predicate arrangement. The following example from a Brazilian Portuguese academic abstract illustrates the problem:

Foram estudados os efeitos de luz, de temperatura e dos were studied the effects of light, of temperature and of tegumentos na germinação de sementes de limão-cravo presence/absence in germination of seeds of limão-cravo (Citrus limonia, Osb.). Foi também verificada a influência da (Citrus limonia, Osb.). Was also verified the influence of velocidade de reidratação de sementes secas artificialmente. the speed of rehydration of seeds dried artificially

The above extract was rendered as follows by the writer/translator:

The effects of light, temperature and the presence or absence of the seed coat on limão-cravo (Citrus limonia) seed germination have been studied. The influence of rehydration rate on germination of artificially dried seed has been also verified.

Note that by adhering to the subject-before-predicate principle, the translator of the above extract has had to ignore the principle of end-weight. The result is awkward, unnatural, and recognizably
un-English. Each sentence consists of a very heavy subject followed by a very light verb. The clash between the end-weight and subject-before-predicate principles could have been avoided by switching from passive to active and inserting a subject such as We or This paper:

This paper examines the effects of light, temperature and the presence or absence of the seed coat on limão-cravo (Citrus limonia). In addition, it verifies the influence of rehydration rate on germination of artificially dried seed.

5.1.2.1 How are given and new signalled in discourse?

As far as Halliday and Hasan are concerned, information structure is a feature of spoken rather than written English:

The information systems are those concerned with the organization of the text into units of information. This is expressed in English by the intonation patterns, and it is therefore a feature only of spoken English.

(Halliday and Hasan, 1976: 325)

Strictly speaking (for them), the domain of information structure is not the clause as a grammatical unit but the tone group as a phonological unit. Each information unit consists of either a combination of given-plus-new elements or of just a new element. This is realized phonologically as a tone group, with the peak of prominence or tonic accent falling on the new element. The new element on which the tonic accent falls carries the information focus. This is the device used by English speakers to highlight the core of a message. The tonic accent is what we normally perceive as stress. In the following examples (from Halliday, 1985), the element which receives the tonic accent is underlined. The symbol // marks the boundary of a tone unit.

// now silver needs to have love //
// I haven't seen you for ages //

While clearly relevant to interpreting activities, this approach may seem of limited applicability in translation since it appears to rely heavily on phonological evidence. This, however, is not the case, for there is undoubtedly more to the distinction between given and new than the assignment of phonological stress.

Halliday (1985) explains that the boundaries of given and new
In other words

elements are undecidable on phonological evidence alone. The tonic accent normally falls on the last item, but this does not tell us where the given element ends and the new one begins. To establish this, we have to look at other evidence in the surrounding context. For instance, by expanding the context of the first example above, we can establish which element is given: In this job, Anne, we’re working with silver. Now silver needs to have love. The context, rather than phonological evidence, tells us that silver is given in the above example and that the new element therefore starts at needs. The analysis of the tone group is now as follows:

// now silver needs to have love //

Given New

This is an example of a normal, unmarked structure (see sections 5.1.2.3 and 5.1.2.4 below for a discussion of marked information structure). Here, the tone unit coincides with the clause as a grammatical unit, theme coincides with given, and rheme coincides with new.

The importance of context in establishing the boundaries of given and new elements is worth noting because it suggests that analysing written language in terms of given and new is feasible. In written language, as in spoken language, one can refer to the context to establish whether a piece of information has or has not been introduced earlier. Moreover, many of the devices used to signal information status are common to both spoken and written language. For instance, in both spoken and written English definiteness is generally associated with given information and indefiniteness with new information. The girl walked into the room suggests, in most contexts, that the identity of the girl has already been established. This contrasts with A girl walked into the room, which suggests that a new entity is being introduced into the discourse. Similarly, in both spoken and written English given information tends to be grammatically subordinate to other information. In Heseltine’s appointment as Minister of the Environment came as no surprise, the event of Heseltine’s appointment is presented as given; the reader is assumed to know about it. Had it been new information, it would have been presented independently in the predicate, because this is where new information normally occurs in English: Heseltine has been appointed as Minister of the Environment. This comes as no surprise. The use of subordination as a syntactic device for marking given information may be a common feature of information structure
in many languages: for instance, Maynard (1981) suggests that given information in Japanese also tends to be subordinate.

Some items are inherently given because of their meaning and this generally applies not only to spoken and written English but also to most languages. Pronouns present the most obvious case, with first- and second-person pronouns being the prime example of items whose givenness is determined contextually.

Because stress is not available in written language, intricate syntactic devices have to be used to perform a similar function. For example, one of the most important functions of cleft and pseudo-cleft structures in English (discussed under predicated and identifying theme, section 5.1.1.3) is to signal information status. In cleft structures, the item in theme position is presented as new and the item in rHEME position is presented as given. In the following extract from Morgan Matroc's brochure (Appendix 2) the new item is underlined:

And yet there are some customers who in their search for a suitable material prefer to study complex tables of technical data. It is for such customers that we have listed the properties of Matroc's more widely used materials.

A shorthand representation of the above structure would be 'It is for X that we have listed the properties . . .' where the element presented as given is 'we have listed the properties . . . for X' and the element presented as new is 'X = such customers'. Compare this with the following pseudo-cleft structure from The Independent:

Lonrho has been informed that the report made no criticism of its conduct.

What Mr Rowland wants is the early publication of this report.

Here, the information presented as given is that Mr Rowland wants something and the information presented as new is that this something is the early publication of the report.

Failure to appreciate the functions of specific syntactic structures in signalling given and new information can result in unnecessary shifts in translation. The following example is from Arab Political Humour (Kishtainy, 1985: x). The source text is English.

The kind of joke related by any man is a good indicator of his character, mood and circumstance - a fact which is as valid when applied to the nation as a whole. It is a general picture this book tries to depict rather than the detailed idiosyncrasies of any political leader.
... And this general picture is, primarily, that which we attempt to draw in this book, not the detailed personal characteristics which distinguish any of the political leaders.

An exact translation of the above English cleft structure would be very unnatural in Arabic but the structure opted for is also clumsy. Apart from being awkward, the Arabic version also distorts the information structure of the original by presenting the first element ("general picture") as given when the point of the cleft structure is to present it as the new information worth attending to. The cleft structure quite reasonably presents as given the assumption that the book is trying to depict something (all books do). The Arabic version, on the other hand, presents 'general picture' as given and offers as new information in the predicate a definition or identification of what this general picture is. The shift in information structure could have been avoided by using a pseudo-cleft structure (a marked but natural structure in Arabic). Rewording the message as

انما نحاول رسمه في هذا الكتاب هو صورة عامة، لا الخصائص

"What we are attempting to draw in this book is a general picture, not . . ." could have preserved the given/new status of the relevant elements, although their order would have changed. This pseudo-cleft structure would signal that the first part 'we are attempting to draw something in this book' is given and the second part 'this something is a general picture' is new.

Although unavailable in written language, stress is often implicit in certain structures which involve emphasis. We generally assume that stress falls on DO when used for emphasis, as in I did see him. The same applies to the repetition of an auxiliary, particularly if it can be replaced by a contracted form.

A: It's about time you went home.
B: I am going. (cf. I'm going).
Apart from syntactic structure, punctuation can also be used as a device for signalling information structure in written language.\textsuperscript{18} It is used, for example, to distinguish between a defining relative clause such as *He was waving to the girl who was running along the platform* and a non-defining relative clause such as *He was waving to the girl, who was running along the platform*. In the first example, *who was running along the platform* identifies the girl and therefore does not add new information (if it did, it would be useless as a way of identifying the girl). In the second example, the comma is used to signal that the same clause represents new information.

The above discussion suggests that, when needed, clear signals of information status can be employed in written language. Different languages use different devices for signalling information structure and translators must develop a sensitivity to the various signalling systems available in the languages they work with. This is, of course, easier said than done because, unfortunately, not much has been achieved so far in the way of identifying signals of information status in various languages. As is the case with most areas of textlinguistics, linguists tend to concentrate on the analysis of signalling devices in English and extrapolate from that to other languages. For example, Chafe sums up the expression of givenness as follows:

The principal linguistic effects of the given-new distinction, in English and perhaps all languages, reduce to the fact that given information is conveyed in a weaker and more attenuated manner than new information. This attenuation is likely to be reflected in two principal ways: given information is pronounced with lower pitch and weaker stress than new and it is subject to pronominalization.

(1976: 31; my emphasis).

Brown and Yule reiterate Chafe’s views in suggesting that ‘speakers usually refer to current given entities with attenuated syntactic and phonological forms’ (1983: 189). These comments may well apply to English, and perhaps even a large number of languages, but they certainly do not apply to all. It is well known, for instance, that pronominalization is rare in Japanese and it is therefore unlikely to play a significant role in signalling givenness. On the other hand, some languages (Japanese included) use special affixes to mark given and new information or thematic and non-thematic elements. This kind of feature is difficult to describe in terms of attenuation. In some languages, stress and intonation are not available as devices for signalling new information. They are not available in Chinese (Tsao,
1983) or in French (Paula Chicken, personal communication). These languages rely on lexical and syntactic devices to signal information status. For instance, in Chinese definiteness and indefiniteness (which reflect given and new information respectively) are typically signalled by means of word order:

The most usual way of showing definiteness [in Chinese] is by means of word-order arrangement. And the most general principle is: nominals occurring before the main verb of a sentence tend to be definite while those occurring after the main verb can be either definite or indefinite.

(Tsao, 1983: 104)

Finnish is another language that does not have an article system. It uses case endings and word order to signal definiteness and indefiniteness (Sunnari, 1990). This type of signalling does not seem to support the attenuation theory. Similarly, one could argue that the attenuation theory does not apply to languages such as Arabic which have a definite article but no explicit marker of indefiniteness. Like English, these languages tend to use definiteness as a signal of givenness and indefiniteness as a signal of newness. However, if anything, it is the new rather than the given entity which is regularly referred to by an attenuated syntactic structure.

5.1.2.2 How is givenness determined?

Identifying signals of information status is one area of information structure that is clearly relevant in translation. Another area which can pose problems in translation relates to the ability to determine when and when not a certain item of information can be treated as given.

Most commonly, a given element is an element which is recoverable because it has been mentioned before. This is the basis on which the various elements in the answers to the questions given on page 145 have been labelled as given or new. But information may be treated by the speaker as given for a variety of other reasons. It may be predictable, or it may be contextually salient, as in the case of first-person pronouns. Rather than use a variety of notions such as recoverability, predictability, and saliency to explain givenness, Chafe suggests that the key to givenness lies in the notion of consciousness:

Given (or old) information is that knowledge which the speaker assumes to be in the consciousness of the addressee at the time of
the utterance. So-called new information is what the speaker assumes he is introducing into the addressee’s consciousness by what he says.

(1976: 30)

The fact that the speaker and addressee themselves are regularly treated as given (and pronominalized as I and you respectively) stems from the same consideration. The speaker is conscious of the addressee, and the addressee is conscious of the speaker.

(1976: 31–2)

An important question with implications for some translation-related activities such as abridging, expanding, or any form of rewriting which introduces or deletes gaps between a certain item and its subsequent mention in a text is this: how long can an element be assumed to remain in the addressee’s consciousness? In other words, under what circumstances would a previously mentioned item have to be re-introduced as new? Chafe suggests two variables which can be used to determine whether an item may or may not have left the addressee’s consciousness:

The number of intervening sentences in which the item was not mentioned is one obvious variable, but more interesting would be the effect of such discourse boundaries as a change of scene, where a whole set of items can be assumed to enter the consciousness of the addressee, presumably pushing out old ones.

(1976: 32–3)

The following example from Autumn of Fury: the Assassination of Sadat (Heikal, 1983) illustrates the effect of both variables: number of intervening sentences and change of scene. This book was originally written in English and then later translated into Arabic (with considerable additions) by the author himself. Possible explanations for these additions are discussed in Chapter 7. The extract forms part of the description of events following the shooting of President Sadat (English version: p. 271; Arabic version: pp. 527–31). Items relevant to the present discussion of givenness are underlined.

According to Heikal, Sadat was put into a helicopter, accompanied by his wife Jihan. But instead of flying straight to the hospital, the helicopter first stopped by Sadat’s residence:

Jihan is known to have rushed into the house and put through two telephone calls to the United States. One was to her elder son Gamal, who was then in Florida. She learnt that Gamal had gone
with some friends to an island off the coast of Florida, so she told the person who answered the telephone to get hold of him immediately at any cost and tell him to call his mother on a matter of the greatest urgency. Who the other call was to Jihan has never revealed, but it is certain that it must have been someone of the highest importance, and that her purpose was to obtain from the most authoritative source possible some outside indication of what was happening in Egypt. After these two telephone calls had been made Jihan rejoined the helicopter which continued its course up the Nile to the Maadi hospital.

The official report from the hospital stated that when the President arrived he was in a state of complete coma, with no recordable blood pressure or pulse, 'the eyes wide open, with no response to light', and no reflexes anywhere. The report went on to list his injuries, which included two bullet entrances under the left nipple, one entering below the knee and exiting at the top of the left thigh, as well as several wounds in the right arm, chest, neck and round the left eye. There was 'a foreign substance which can be felt by touch under the skin of the neck', which was presumably the first and fatal bullet fired by Abbas Mohamed. The doctors detailed the attempts made at resuscitation, but by 2.40 it was concluded that there was no activity in either heart or brain and that the President must be declared dead. The cause of death was given as shock, internal haemorrhage in the chest cage, lesions in the left lung and all main arteries. The report was signed by twenty-one doctors.

From the outset Jihan had realized that there was no hope of her husband's survival. As she waited outside the room where the doctors were operating the call came through from Gamal in Florida.

The middle paragraph, which summarizes Sadat's condition on arriving at the hospital and the doctors' report, is expanded into three pages in the Arabic version. Furthermore, the whole list of injuries is set aside as a sort of sub-section, marked by three asterisks at the top and three at the bottom, so that the change of topic or scene is explicitly signalled to the reader. The following condensed version illustrates the main differences.

Back-translation of selected extracts from pages 527-31 in Arabic version:

... Some reliable sources report that the President's wife, Mrs Jihan, rushed to the telephone to make some telephone calls to
the United States. Among these was definitely a call to her only son Gamal, who was then in Florida. During the call she discovered that Gamal had gone with some of his friends to an island on the coast of Florida. She asked the person who answered her to try and find him as soon as possible and to ask him to contact her in Cairo immediately as there is an extremely urgent matter she needs to talk to him about. There were other telephone calls that Mrs Jihan made to the United States...

* * *

The official report of the Maadi Hospital states that when Sadat arrived at the hospital and was examined he was in a state of complete coma. The official report issued by the Maadi Hospital was as follows:

[complete report quoted – three pages, ending with signatures of members of the medical team]

* * *

Mrs Jihan was waiting outside the examination room, knowing in her heart of hearts that her husband has departed. A telephone call came from the United States. It was her son Gamal on the line calling from Florida...

Unlike the English version, the last paragraph in the Arabic version re-introduces Gamal’s telephone call to his mother as new information. This is signalled in Arabic through the use of an indefinite noun group: ‘a telephone call’ rather than ‘the call’. It would have been unreasonable of the author/translator to expect this item of information to remain in the reader’s consciousness after three intervening pages and an explicitly marked change of scene or topic.

A final point to bear in mind is that givenness is assigned by the speaker and, as such, does not necessarily correlate with the reality of the linguistic or extra-linguistic situation. A speaker may decide to present an element as given even when there is no sufficient reason to assume that it is in the addressee’s consciousness. This may be done for rhetorical reasons and is a common ploy in politics. Presenting a piece of information as given suggests that it is already established and agreed and is therefore non-negotiable. Similarly, an element which has been mentioned before may be presented as new because it is unexpected or because the speaker wishes to present it in a contrastive light.
5.1.2.3 Marked vs unmarked information structure

Unlike thematic structure (at least in English), information structure is not realized by the sequencing of elements. It is realized chiefly by tonicity. In unmarked information structure, the information focus falls on something other than the theme. It falls on the whole rhyme or part of it; for example, in *John was appointed Chairman*, the tonic accent will normally fall on *Chairman*:

// John was appointed Chairman //

This information structure would give the message the meaning of a statement of what happened or what John was appointed as.

Other options are available to the speaker, depending on where s/he feels the burden of his/her message lies. For instance, the information focus may be placed on *John*, and in this case the message will be understood as a statement of who was appointed Chairman and may imply surprise or contrast:

// John was appointed Chairman //

Similarly, the focus may be placed on *was* to stress the truth of the utterance:

// John was appointed Chairman //

In written language, marked information structure is often signalled by means of typography or punctuation devices. In the following example from Agatha Christie’s *Crooked House* (1949), italics are used to highlight the elements on which the information focus falls:

‘The family! Beasts! I hate them all.’
She looked at me, her mouth working. She looked sullen and frightened and angry.
‘They’ve been beastly to me always – always. From the very first. Why shouldn’t I marry their precious father? What did it matter to *them*? They’d all got loads of money. *He* gave it to them. They wouldn’t have had the brains to make any for themselves!’
She went on:
‘Why shouldn’t a man marry again – even if he is a bit old? And he wasn’t really old at all – not in himself. I was very fond of him. I *was* fond of him.’ She looked at me defiantly.

(1959: 61)

Here, the elements which are selected as focal (*them*, *he*, and *was*) are not new in the sense of not having been mentioned before, but
they are new in the sense of being in some way contrastive. For instance, the last item, was, contrasts with what the speaker thinks the hearer believes about her feelings. In the French translation of this extract, the italics are left out. As mentioned earlier, French does not generally use phonological stress to highlight a clause element; instead, lexical means are employed to signal what would normally be conveyed by means of intonation in English. Thus

The English have changed

can be rendered as

Les Anglais, eux aussi, ont évolué

where the lexical item eux aussi (‘as well’) replaces stress in highlighting the previous item Les Anglais. Instead of italics, the French translator of the above extract opts for repeating the last statement to achieve similar emphasis:

... D’ailleurs, il n’était pas vieux du tout! Il y a vieux et vieux. Je l’aimais bien.

Comme me défiant des yeux, elle répéta:
– Oui, je l’aimais bien. ...

The emphasis in the French version does not just come from the repetition of je l’aimais bien, which also occurs in the original, but rather from the fact that the repetition is labelled as repetition: elle répéta.

5.1.2.4 Marked information structure and marked rheme

To my knowledge, Hallidayan linguists have always concentrated on marked theme and do not seem to have considered that a rheme can also be marked. But the notion of marked rheme may prove helpful in accounting for the communicative force of some utterances. For instance, there are times when a speaker/writer seems to be deliberately highlighting a rheme by stripping the message of its initial element, that is, the theme. The following example illustrates the point.

English extract from A Hero from Zero (p. v)

House of Fraser shares were highly sensitive to any rumours of a bid, and we waited with caution and anxiety for the green light from the ministry. And waited.
It seems reasonable to suggest that the natural theme *we* is omitted in the second sentence in order to foreground the rheme. The rheme is all there is in the clause and so it receives the reader's undivided attention. To distinguish between the function of marked theme and that of marked rheme, we could say that marked theme gives prominence to an element as linking information, whereas marked rheme gives prominence to an element as the core of the message.

Another reason for the prominence of *And waited* in the above example is that it repeats verbatim information that has already been established in the previous sentence. It therefore has a surprise effect on the reader who expects each new clause to move the discourse forward. In cases of this sort, the pure repetition of information and the reader's expectation that the discourse should be moving forward are reconciled by finding an indirect interpretation of the message. In this particular instance, we interpret *And waited* as meaning something like 'we went on waiting and nothing happened' or 'we waited in vain'. In French, it is impossible to reproduce the above themeless clause. A French verb has to be accompanied by an immediate subject. This is, of course, also true of English grammar (taken as an abstract system), but unlike French, English employs themeless sentences as a fairly common stylistic device which is both acceptable and effective in many contexts. The French translation overcomes the problem by spelling out the interpretation: *Nous attendîmes en vain* (literally: 'we waited in vain'); but loses the surprise element because it conforms to the given-plus-new pattern. The Arabic translation preserves the surprise element by employing a mixture of repetition and temporal signalling:

وانتظرونا ثم انتظرونا.

literally 'and waited-we then waited-we', i.e. 'we waited and then we waited <some more>', meaning 'we waited in vain'.

Apart from deleting the theme in order to highlight the rhematic element, it is also possible to use punctuation in written language to signal an unusual arrangement of clause elements into tone groups. Consider the following example from Le Carré's *The Little Drummer Girl* (1983: 8):

As a further precaution, the addresses of Israeli staff were not printed in official diplomatic lists for fear of encouraging the impulsive gesture at a time when Israel was being a little hard to take. Politically.
The full stop before Politically does a number of things simultaneously. First, it forces an end of a tone group on the previous stretch of language, thus presenting what follows as a separate unit of information. A unit of information must include a new element (the given element being optional). The new element, a complete unit of information in this case, is a simple adverb: Politically. This in itself is unusual and therefore marked in English. Second, in order to interpret Politically as a unit of information, we have to assume that it is meant as a foregrounded rheme – foregrounded by omitting the thematic element Israel was being a little hard to take. Third, in forcing an end of a tone group at this point, the full stop also foregrounds the previous rheme. It gives greater rhematic emphasis to the previous chunk of information: was being a little hard to take.

Here is another example of marked information structure, this time from an advertising leaflet which accompanies a range of cosmetic products by Estée Lauder.

Extraordinary new colors.
Extraordinary new compacts.

ESTÉE LAUDER SIGNATURE.

Singular. Intense.
Privileged. Provocative.

Colors to astonish.
Make resistance impossible.

Consider them. Yours.
Like a fingerprint.

The strategy used to foreground rhematic elements and to create highly marked information structures in the above extract is the same as that used by Le Carré in The Little Drummer Girl example. Thematic elements are omitted to foreground a rheme, for example Make resistance impossible (They/These colors?). Full stops are inserted in unexpected places to force the reader to treat certain elements as complete units of information. This is particularly effective in the case of Consider them. Yours, where one automatically gets the two interpretations: 'consider them' (i.e. think about them), 'they are yours', and 'Consider them yours'. Only the first interpretation is successfully conveyed in the French version of the leaflet (Regardez-les. Elles sont à vous).

Since information focus normally falls on the rheme or part of it, and since unmarked information structure involves placing the given
element before the new one and unmarked thematic structure involves placing theme before rheme, it is not surprising that theme often coincides with given, and rheme often coincides with new. This is probably why, for most Prague linguists, part of the definition of theme is that it is given and part of the definition of rheme is that it is new. We will now look at this alternative view to explore its relevance to translation activities.

5.2 THE PRAGUE SCHOOL POSITION ON INFORMATION FLOW: FUNCTIONAL SENTENCE PERSPECTIVE

The Prague School position on theme/rheme and given/new is quite distinct from Halliday’s and results in a significantly different explanation of how these categories are realized in discourse. This approach is generally referred to as functional sentence perspective (FSP). 20

The theory of functional sentence perspective was developed by a group of Czech linguists who pioneered most studies investigating the interaction between syntax and communicative function. The details of FSP theory are rather complex and there are several distinct approaches within the Prague tradition itself. Nevertheless, it is important for translators to become familiar with at least one of the major models proposed within this alternative tradition. For one thing, a functional sentence perspective approach may prove more helpful in explaining the interactional organization of languages other than English, particularly languages with free or relatively free word order. For another, FSP theory often forms the basis for highly relevant discussions of translation problems and strategies (see, for example, Hatim, 1984, 1987, 1988, 1989; Hatim and Mason, 1990), and basic familiarity with this approach tends to be taken for granted by those exploring its relevance to translation studies. A simplified general outline of one FSP model is therefore given below in the hope that it will prove useful to translators interested in resolving, or at least identifying, translation problems relating to information flow. 21

The main premise in FSP theory is that the communicative goals of an interaction cause the structure of a clause or sentence to function in different kinds of perspective. Jan Firbas, 22 one of the main proponents of this approach, gives the following example (1986). A sentence such as John has been taken ill has a certain syntactic structure which remains unchanged in different communicative settings. In context, it will function in a certain kind of perspective, depending on the purpose of communication; for
instance, it may function as a statement of a person’s state of health (John has been taken ill), as an identification of the person affected (John has been taken ill), or as an affirmation that the information conveyed is really valid (John has been taken ill). Note that what Firbas describes as functional sentence perspective in this example would be analysed purely in terms of information structure in Halliday’s model.

The concepts of theme/rheme and given/new are supplemented in Firbas’ model with a non-binary notion that determines which elements are thematic and which are not thematic in a clause. This is the notion of communicative dynamism (CD). Firbas (1972: 78) explains it as follows:

communicative dynamism . . . is based on the fact that linguistic communication is not a static, but a dynamic phenomenon. By CD I understand a property of communication, displayed in the course of the development of the information to be conveyed and consisting in advancing this development. By the degree of CD carried by a linguistic element, I understand the extent to which the element contributes to the development of the communication, to which, as it were, it ‘pushes the communication forward’.

In order to relate the notion of CD to the question of identifying theme/rheme and given/new in discourse, Firbas suggests the following. A clause consists of different types of elements. Some elements lay the foundation on which other elements may convey a message. These foundation-laying elements are context-dependent and constitute the theme. They carry a low degree of CD because, being context-dependent, they do not play a major role in pushing the communication forward. If we take the example of John has been taken ill (as a statement of John’s state of health), the foundation-laying element would be John. The remaining elements complete the information and fulfil the communicative purpose of the utterance. These core-constituting elements form the non-theme, are context-independent, and carry a higher degree of CD.

What Firbas seems to be suggesting so far is that theme consists of context-dependent and rheme of context-independent items. He does, however, modify this position slightly in his later writings as we shall see shortly. It is also important to point out at this stage that Firbas’ notions of context-dependence/independence are much more restricted than Halliday’s notions of given/new:

category dependence/independence is judged by a very narrow criterion. Any kind or aspect of information that is not present in
the immediately relevant verbal or situational context is to be regarded as irretrievable and hence context-independent.

(Firbas, 1987: 30–1)

Firbas’ notions of context-dependence/independence are therefore purely linguistic and do not extend to the psychological aspect of communication as Halliday’s notions do.

Firbas goes on to explain that the non-theme consists of two elements: the transition and the rHEME. The transition consists of elements which perform the function of linking the foundation-laying and the core-constituting parts of the clause. It generally consists of the temporal and modal exponents of the verb, which are ‘the transitional element par excellence: They carry the lowest degree of CD within the non-theme and are the transition proper’ (Firbas, 1986: 54). In the example given above, John has been take ill, the transition would be has been + -en. The transition may also consist of a link verb such as be or seem, or any verb whose main function is simply to link the foundation-laying and core-constituting elements of a clause. In The weather is fine, for example, is would normally constitute the transition (assuming the communicative purpose of the utterance is to state what the weather is like). The rHEME represents the core of the message and carries the highest degree of CD. It consists of the notional component of the finite verb and the rest of the message. In John has been taken ill, the rHEME is take + ill. In The weather is fine, the rHEME is fine.

Apart from the notion of transition outlined above, and unlike the Hallidayan approach, where the verb is generally considered part of the rHEME, FSP theory assigns thematic or rhematic status to the verb depending on the context and the semantics of the verb itself. Semantically, the less of a notional component the verb has, the more naturally it goes with the theme as a foundation-laying element. Link verbs are a clear case of verbs with a very limited notional component whose function seems to be simply linking the theme to the rest of the message. In fact, in many languages (for instance, Arabic and Russian) equative sentences such as The weather is fine are verbless. It is also possible to omit a link verb in English in some contexts, as in the following example from Le Carré’s The Russia House (1989: 18):

And in the corner of his eye – an anxious blue blur was all that she amounted to – this Soviet woman he was deliberately ignoring.
This seems to support the view that link verbs play little or no role in pushing the communication forward and therefore have no rhematic status.

Contextually, the notional component of the verb is assigned thematic status if it has already been mentioned. Scinto (1983: 80) gives the following example:

Consider the following utterance:

Leander bought a new book.

To this sentence we can pose the wh-question: What did Leander buy? or, What did Leander do? In the case of the first question the response is ‘a new book’. In the case of the second, ‘bought a new book’. What these answers demonstrate is that the verb may be part of either Theme or Rheme proper. In the case of the first question the verb is thematicized; in the second it is rhematic and is substituted for by a categorical verb, i.e. to do.

Which question to ask will, of course, depend on whether the context already tells us that Leander bought something. Note that this approach tends, by and large, to equate theme with given (context-dependent) and rheme with new (context-independent) elements. However, Firbas does modify this position when he insists that, as far as he is concerned, this is not necessarily the case:

I consider rhematic information to be always new, but thematic information old and/or new. On the other hand, old information is always thematic, but new information thematic or rhematic.

(1987: 46)

In other words, Firbas, like Halliday, acknowledges that a unit of information may consist of a given plus new element, or of just a new element. In the first case, the given element would be considered thematic and the new element would be considered rhematic. In the second case, a theme still has to be identified and, for Firbas, this would be the least context-independent element (i.e. the element with the lowest degree of CD).

So far, Firbas’ approach to information flow can be summed up as follows. A clause consists of two types of elements: foundation-laying/context-dependent elements and core-constituting/context-independent elements. The former have a lower degree of CD and are always thematic. The latter, however, may be thematic or rhematic. A clause may totally consist of context-independent elements and, in this case, the theme will be the element with the
lowest degree of CD and the rheme will be the element with the highest degree of CD.

5.2.1 Linear arrangement and thematic status in FSP

It will, I hope, be clear by now that, unlike Hallidayan linguists, FSP theorists do not see theme and rheme as being realized chiefly by their relative positions in the clause. This is not to say that they do not acknowledge the role played by sequential ordering in signalling the communicative function of an utterance. Firbas, for instance, suggests that ‘the basic distribution of CD is implemented by a series of elements opening with the element carrying the very lowest and gradually passing on to the element carrying the very highest degree of CD’ (1974: 22). This is more or less the same as saying that theme normally precedes rheme. However, as can be seen from the above brief discussion of the verb as a thematic or rhematic element, FSP theorists also acknowledge semantic structure and context as factors which further determine the distribution of CD. Communicative dynamism is therefore assumed to be achieved by the interplay of these three factors: linear modification (i.e. gradation of position, syntax), semantic structure, and context. Semantic structure and context ‘operate either in the same direction as or counter to’ linear modification (Firbas, 1974: 22), but both are hierarchically superior to it. For example, with the exception of contexts in which they are presented contrastively, pronouns, being context-dependent, always carry a low degree of CD irrespective of where they occur in the clause. In I gave the book to him or I gave him the book, him would normally be considered thematic in FSP theory. Similarly, definite expressions would be considered thematic and indefinite expressions rhematic in most contexts. The following examples, adapted from Firbas (1986: 58) illustrate the priority given to context over linear arrangement. Rhematic elements are italicized.

(1) A heavy dew (Rh) had (TME) fallen (Th; TME).
(2) The grass (Th) was (TME) blue (Rh).
(3) Big drops (Rh) hung (TME) on the bushes (Th).

(Rh = rheme; TME = temporal modal exponent/transition; Th = theme.)

The analysis of clause elements in terms of FSP is clearly a complex business. It is not as easy to apply or follow as Halliday’s system. However, as explained earlier, a basic understanding of this approach may well prove helpful in some contexts.
5.2.2 Linear arrangement and marked structures in FSP

Since FSP theorists do not take sentence position as the only criterion for assigning thematic status to clause elements, it follows that two alternative formulations of the same message can have the same thematic analysis. For example, In China the book received a great deal of publicity and The book received a great deal of publicity in China would be analysed in the same way. In China would be considered rhematic in both formulations (unless stress is used to signal a difference in its thematic/rhematic status). Compare this with the Hallidayan approach, where In China would be considered rhyme in the second and marked theme in the first example. It also follows that one cannot talk specifically about 'marked theme' in FSP theory, since the question of producing a marked theme by putting an element in initial position in the clause assumes that initial position is reserved for theme. FSP theorists do, however, acknowledge that there are marked and unmarked structures in every language. They also attempt to explain the difference in terms of theme/rheme, though their explanation is somewhat different from Halliday's.

Very briefly, according to Prague linguists such as Mathesius and Firbas, the nature of interaction suggests that the usual, unmarked order of message segments is that of theme followed by rheme. It is clearly easier to follow a message that announces its subject and then says something about it than the other way round. Weil (1844, discussed in Firbas, 1974), one of the pioneers of this area of study, suggested that the movement from the initial notion of subject of utterance (theme) to the goal of utterance (rheme) represents the movement of the mind itself. The organization of a message into a theme + rheme sequence is therefore the unmarked, ordinary order. He further suggested that sequences which deviate from this ordinary order do occur, and he called a rheme-theme organization of a message the 'pathetic order' (Firbas, 1974). The pathetic order is marked and its function is to convey emotion of some sort: it may be contrastive or contradictory, for example. Instead of conveying a message in a straightforward way, the pathetic order allows the speaker to add an emotional layer to it. An English clause such as Well-publicized the book was would therefore be considered marked in both Hallidayan and Prague linguistics. However, a Hallidayan linguist would analyse it as a fronted theme + rheme sequence, whereas a Prague linguist would analyse it as a rheme-theme sequence.

What we have here, then, are two different explanations of the feature of marked organization of the clause as a message. The
Hallidayan approach explains it in terms of the fronting of an element to make it thematic. The Prague linguists' approach explains it in terms of reversing the theme-rheme sequence. For the purposes of translation, what matters is that both types of analysis recognize the sequence as marked.

5.2.3 The tension between word order and communicative function: a problem in translation?

According to FSP scholars, restrictions on word order in various languages result in a linear arrangement that may or may not coincide with the interpretative arrangement of an utterance. Firbas (1986: 47) gives the following examples (always assuming a neutral context for each utterance):

**Interpretative arrangement**
- I him used to know.
- Ich ihn habe gekannt.
- Je l’ai connu.

**Linear arrangement**
- I used to know him.
- Ich habe ihn gekannt.
- Je l’ai connu.

Irrespective of the specific examples used by Firbas, this view implies that, generally speaking, in languages with relatively free word order there will be less tension between the requirements of syntax and those of communicative function. Conversely, in languages with relatively fixed word order there will be greater instances of tension between syntax and communicative function.

Word-order patterns fulfil a number of functions in all languages: syntactically, they indicate the roles of subject, object, etc; semantically, they indicate roles such as actor, patient, beneficiary; communicatively, they indicate the flow of information (however we may wish to represent this: in terms of theme/rheme, given/new or communicative dynamism). Several linguists have suggested that different languages give different priorities to each of these functions, depending on how fixed their system of word-order is. Mathesius (quoted in Firbas, 1974: 17) compares English and Czech in this respect and concludes that in English, the grammatical principle (i.e. syntax) plays the leading role in the hierarchy of word order principles and that 'English differs from Czech in being so little susceptible to the requirements of FSP as to frequently disregard them altogether'. De Beauchesne and Dressler (1981: 75) make the same assertion.24

In English, the lack of a differentiated morphemic system in many areas places heavy constraints on word-order patterns. In Czech,
with its richer morphemic systems, word order can follow the functional sentence perspective much more faithfully.

Johns (1991: 10–11) makes similar claims with respect to topic-prominent vs. subject-prominent languages: 'in a topic-prominent language linear arrangement follows the scale of CD far more closely than it does in a subject-prominent language'. This is an interesting view of word order vs communicative function. It suggests that translating between languages with different priorities and different types of syntactic restrictions necessarily involves a great deal of skewing of patterns of information flow. The question is: can translators do anything to minimize this skewing?

5.2.4 Suggested strategies for minimizing linear dislocation

A number of linguists have suggested a variety of strategies for resolving the tension between syntactic and communicative functions in translation and language learning. In this section, I will attempt to explore some of these strategies, with examples from translated texts where possible. The strategies discussed are drawn from two main sources: Johns (1991) and Papegaaij and Schubert (1988).

Strategy no. 1: voice change

This strategy involves changing the syntactic form of the verb to achieve a different sequence of elements. A good example of this is voice change in languages with a category of voice. The following examples, from Johns (1991), involve the substitution of active for passive. The reverse, the substitution of passive for active, is, of course, also possible.

Example A
Portuguese text (Ciência e cultura 32, 7 (1980), 936)

Neste trabalho são apresentadas observações fenológicas sobre
In this paper are presented observations phenological about

Magonia pubescens St. Hil.
Magonia pubescens St. Hil.

English Text
This paper reports observations about the phenology of Magonia pubescens St. Hil.
Example B

Portuguese text (Ciência e cultura 32, 7 (1980), 941)

Estudaram-se a morfologia e a histologia do aparelho
reprodutor masculino do camarão de água doce,
reproductive male of prawn of fresh water,
Macrobrachium acanthurus (Wiegmann, 1836).
Macrobrachium acanthurus (Wiegmann, 1836).

English text

This paper deals with the anatomy and histology of the male reproductive system of the freshwater prawn Macrobrachium acanthurus (Wiegmann, 1836).

Johns notes that the strategy of substituting active for passive raises the problem of supplying a subject for the active clause. He rightly points out that the subject of the active clause must preserve the impersonality we normally associate with passive structures in many European languages. In the above examples, the choice of This paper as subject satisfies this condition of impersonality.

Ergative structures may provide a strategy similar to that of voice change in some languages. Ergativity involves using the object of a transitive verb as the subject of an intransitive verb: cf. An explosion shook the room and The room shook (with the explosion). This type of structure is very common in some languages, such as Finnish, for instance.

Strategy no. 2: change of verb

This involves changing the verb altogether and replacing it with one that has a similar meaning but can be used in a different syntactic configuration. Examples of pairs of verbs that describe an event from different perspectives in English include give/get and like/please. These often allow reordering the sequence of elements in a clause without a significant change of meaning (cf. I like it and It pleases me).

I have not been able to find any examples of this strategy in my data or in Johns’ data. The reluctance of translators to use it is understandable to some extent. Expressions such as I like it and It pleases me are ‘equivalent’ only in theory. In real life, one of the options – in this case, It pleases me – tends to be very unnatural. Each language has its own phraseology, its own idiom which rules
out many options that are potentially available as grammatical sequences.

Reciprocal pairs that offer more natural alternatives than like/please do exist, however. For instance, I bought it from John and John sold it to me, or I received got a letter from John and John sent me a letter are equally ‘natural’ as far as the phraseology of English is concerned; their ‘acceptability’ is, of course, determined by the context in which they occur.

Strategy no. 3: nominalization

Some languages allow the order verb + subject. If the translator wishes to maintain this thematic organization and, at the same time, adhere to an obligatory order of subject + verb in the target language, nominalization could probably provide a good strategy in many contexts. Nominalization involves replacing a verbal form with a nominal one (e.g. describe → description). This can then be followed by a semantically ‘empty’ verb such as give or take in the passive. For example, the Portuguese sequence

Estudou-se o comportamento de Drosophila sturtevanti

were-studied the behaviour of Drosophila sturtevanti

can be turned into

A study was carried out of the behaviour of . . .

Alternatively, the nominalization can follow a ‘weak’ subject such as This in the following example (from Johns, 1991):

This is a study of the behaviour of . . .

I have not found any examples of the use of this strategy in actual translations. Johns similarly confirms that, in his own data, this ‘sophisticated strategy of nominalisation is under-represented’ (1991: 7). The fact that it is underrepresented highlights the need to draw translators’ attention to it. If sophisticated strategies such as nominalization have not so far been recognized as viable options in resolving the tension between syntax and communicative function, this does not imply that they are not viable – just that they have been largely overlooked.

To illustrate the potential usefulness of the strategy of nominalization, we could perhaps look at how it might be used to improve an existing translation. Below is a Brazilian academic abstract (from
Ciência e cultura 32, 7 (1980), 857), followed by its existing English translation. Initial verbs are highlighted in the Portuguese text.

Analisou-se as relações da dopamina cerebral com as funções motoras. O trabalho discute as evidências de que drogas que incrementam a transmissão dopaminérgica central produzem aumento da atividade locomotora, estereotipia e hipercinesia, enquanto que drogas neurolépticas como o haloperidol, bloqueadoras de receptores dopaminérgicos centrais, induzem hipocinesia e rigidez. Associou-se o efeito do tratamento prolongado com neurolépticos e os sintomas das discinesias tardias ao desenvolvimento da supersensibilidade dopaminérgica central.

Existing English translation:

Dopamine and motor function. The relations between dopamine and motor functions were analyzed. Several references were presented suggesting not only that drugs that increase central dopaminergic transmission increase locomotor activity and induce stereotypy and hyperkinesia but also that neuroleptic drugs like haloperidol, that block dopamine receptors, induce hypokinesia and rigidity. The effects of long-term neuroleptic treatment and the symptoms of tardive dyskinesia were associated to the development of central dopaminergic supersensitivity.

Suggested Version:

Dopamine and motor function. An analysis is carried out of the relations between dopamine and motor functions. Several references are presented which suggest not only that drugs that increase central dopaminergic transmission increase locomotor activity and induce stereotypy and hyperkinesia but also that neuroleptic drugs like haloperidol, that block dopamine receptors, induce hypokinesia and rigidity. An association is established between the effects of long-term neuroleptic treatment and the symptoms of tardive dyskinesia on the one hand and the development of central dopaminergic supersensitivity on the other.

With the exception of the use of nominalizations and a change from the past to the present tense, my suggested version is identical to the existing translation (the present tense is the correct one to use here because it signals that the abstract reports the contents of the paper, not the procedures undertaken in the research – see discussion of tense as a signalling device in academic abstracts, Chapter 4, section
4.2.4). The nominalizations offer a way of presenting the information from a perspective similar to that of the Portuguese text. This is not a question of adhering to the structure of the source text for the sake of preserving form. The placement of verbs in initial position in the Portuguese text has a communicative function: it thematizes processes as the writer's point of departure, an arrangement particularly suited to the reporting of academic research and scientific methods.

**Strategy no. 4: extraposition**

Extraposition involves changing the position of the entire clause in the sentence by, for instance, embedding a simple clause in a complex sentence. Cleft and pseudo-cleft structures, discussed under predicated and identifying themes in 5.1.1.3 above, provide good examples. Papegaaij and Schubert (1988: 182) explain that the main advantage of extraposition is that it 'provides an escape to a higher and, in this particular respect, freer level' when word order is relatively fixed at clause level. For various examples of cleft and pseudo-cleft structures, see sections 5.1.1.3 and 5.1.2.1 of this chapter.

The above strategies are potentially available for resolving the tension between word order and communicative function. In practice, syntactic and semantic considerations often override or interact with communicative considerations to produce structures that do not follow the arrangement of the source text.

I have to admit that it is very difficult indeed to find clear examples of any of the above strategies in authentic translations. If anything, the most common strategy by far seems to be to abandon the thematic organization of the source text in favour of adhering to whatever word-order principles may be operating in the target language. In other words, most translators prefer to give priority to the syntactic principles of the target language rather than to the communicative structure of the source text. Generally speaking, this strategy does not, in itself, seem to interfere with the natural flow of information in the target text. In his study of Portuguese and English versions of Brazilian academic abstracts, Johns (1991: 6) found that abandoning the thematic organization of the source language, in this case Portuguese, 'often gives a perfectly acceptable English text'. In outlining the strategies potentially available to a translator, I am therefore not suggesting that translators should necessarily follow the
thematic organization of every clause in the source text. Nor am I suggesting that these strategies are in fact used by professional translators in any significant way; one has to acknowledge that, in spite of being available in theory, they are in fact rarely used in practice. What I am suggesting, however, is that an awareness of aspects of information flow and potential ways of resolving tension between syntactic and communicative functions is important in translation. The fact that certain strategies which can be shown to be useful in translation have not been made use of so far suggests that translators are simply not aware of them, rather than that they are familiar with them but consciously or subconsciously choose not to use them.

To sum up, a translator cannot always follow the thematic organization of the original. If at all possible, s/he should make an effort to present the target text from a perspective similar to that of the source text. But certain features of syntactic structure such as restrictions on word order, the principle of end-weight, and the natural phraseology of the target language often mean that the thematic organization of the source text has to be abandoned. What matters at the end of the day is that the target text has some thematic organization of its own, that it reads naturally and smoothly, does not distort the information structure of the original (see 5.1.2 above), and that it preserves, where possible, any special emphasis signalled by marked structures in the original and maintains a coherent point of view as a text in its own right.

EXERCISES

1 John Le Carré’s novel The Russia House opens with the following three paragraphs.

In a broad Moscow street not two hundred yards from the Leningrad station, on the upper floor of an ornate and hideous hotel built by Stalin in the style known to Muscovites as Empire During the Plague, the British Council’s first ever audio fair for the teaching of the English language and the spread of British culture was grinding to its excruciating end. The time was half past five, the summer weather erratic. After fierce rain showers all day long, a false sunlight was blazing in the puddles and raising vapours from the pavements. Of the passers-by, the younger ones wore jeans and sneakers, but their elders were still huddled in their warms.
The room the Council had rented was not expensive but neither was it appropriate to the occasion. I have seen it – Not long ago, in Moscow on quite another mission, I tiptoed up the great empty staircase and, with a diplomatic passport in my pocket, stood in the eternal dusk that shrouds old ballrooms when they are asleep – With its plump brown pillars and gilded mirrors, it was better suited to the last hours of a sinking liner than the launch of a great initiative. On the ceiling, snarling Russians in proletarian caps shook their fists at Lenin. Their vigour contrasted unhelpfully with the chipped green racks of sound cassettes along the walls, featuring *Winnie-the-Pooh* and *Advanced Computer English in Three Hours*. The sack-cloth sound-booths, locally procured and lacking many of their promised features, had the sadness of deck chairs on a rainy beach. The exhibitors' stands, crammed under the shadow of an overhanging gallery, seemed as blasphemous as betting shops in a tabernacle.

Nevertheless a fair of sorts had taken place. People had come, as Moscow people do, provided they have the documents and status to satisfy the hard-eyed boys in leather jackets at the door. Out of politeness. Out of curiosity. To talk to Westerners. Because it is there. And now on the fifth and final evening the great farewell cocktail party of exhibitors and invited guests was getting into its stride. A handful of the small *nomenclatura* of the Soviet cultural bureaucracy was gathering under the chandelier, the ladies in their beehive hairstyles and flowered frocks designed for slenderer frames, the gentlemen slimmed by the shiny French-tailored suits that signified access to the special clothing stores. Only their British hosts, in despondent shades of grey, observed the monotone of socialist austerity. The hubbub rose, a brigade of pinafore governesses distributed the curling salami sandwiches and warm white wine. A senior British diplomat who was not quite the Ambassador shook the better hands and said he was delighted.

*(Le Carré, 1989: 17–18)*

Imagine that you have been asked to translate Le Carré's novel into your target language. You have not yet read the whole novel – and you would normally read a text all the way through before you seriously get down to translating it. However, you decide that it might be helpful to 'warm up' to Le Carré by translating a few extracts to get the hang of his unusual style.
Translate the above extract into your target language and comment on any difficulties involved in maintaining the flow of information in terms of thematic and information structures. You should pay particular attention to marked information structures in the third paragraph. How does Le Carré’s manipulation of English syntax foreground certain items of information? Can this be successfully conveyed in your target language?

The following extract is from Swee Chai Ang, *From Beirut to Jerusalem: a Woman Surgeon with the Palestinians* (1989). This book gives a first-hand account of death and suffering in Palestinian refugee camps in war-torn Beirut. Ms Ang, a surgeon, volunteered to provide medical assistance to Palestinians and was with them during the Israeli invasion of West Beirut in 1982. She also lived through the appalling 1982 massacres in the Sabra and Shatila camps. Since then, she has returned repeatedly to Lebanon and the Occupied Territories to help Palestinians.

Israeli bomber planes were breaking the sound barrier in south Lebanon. Villages in the south, as well as the Palestinian refugee camps, were attacked. In May 1988, two thousand Israeli troops crossed into southern Lebanon. People in Lebanon told me: ‘The Israelis failed to stifle the uprising in the occupied territories, so they take it out on us by threatening to invade Lebanon again.’

It was a multi-pronged attack on the Palestinians in Lebanon. Saida and the south were bombed by Israeli aeroplanes, and shelled from the sea by Israeli gunboats. The Beirut camps were attacked from the mountains, not by the Israelis, but by anti-PLO forces. Shatila and Bourj el-Brajneh were shelled incessantly from the month of May 1988. Both camps were flattened; homes and hospitals demolished.

Shatila finally collapsed on 27 June 1988, followed by Bourj el-Brajneh a few days later. I got the news of the fall of Shatila in London, having just returned from a fund-raising trip in the Gulf countries. People all over the Gulf wanted to support the uprising and build hospitals and clinics to mend the wounds of the Palestinians. What can I say? Each time I think of Shatila, I still cry. It was nearly six years since I first met the people of Sabra and Shatila. My understanding of the Palestinians began with them. It was they who taught a naive woman surgeon the meaning of justice. It was they who inspired me to struggle incessantly for a better world. Each time I felt like giving up, they would strengthen me with their example.

Swee Chai Ang, 1989: 299–300)
the uprising: commonly known in the West as the *intifada* – the Palestinian uprising against Israeli occupation in Gaza and the West Bank of Jordan.

Saida: Lebanese town.
PLO: Palestine Liberation Organization

Bourj el-Brajneh: Palestinian refugee camp.

Imagine that you have been asked to translate the above extract for inclusion in a review of the book, to be published in one of the leading newspapers in your country. Various reviews of the book in English papers have suggested that the poignancy of Dr Ang’s narrative is enhanced by her unadorned style, by her awkward, artless prose which is described as having ‘the raw immediacy of everyday speech’. Consider how this straight-to-the-point, free-from-rhetoric, ‘artless’ style is reflected in the simplicity of the thematic and information structures in the above extract. How does the contrast between this general feature and the build-up of emotion, culminating in marked thematic structures towards the end of the extract, enhance the emotional impact of the message? How successfully are these features reflected in your target version?

**SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING**

**On Halliday’s model of thematic and information structures**


**On functional sentence perspective**


**For a general overview and discussion of aspects of information flow**


NOTES

1 The **subject** of a clause is traditionally defined as the noun group which refers to the person or thing that does the action. More accurately, it is the noun group which usually comes in front of the verb group in English and with which the verb agrees in terms of number, person and, in some languages, gender:

- Ellen laughed.
- Her sudden death had surprised everybody.
- Blue suits you.
- This view has been challenged by a number of workers.

The **predic和平** is the verb or verb group in a clause:

- Bob coughed.
- He had always liked Mr Phillips.
- What am I doing? I'm looking out of the window.

An **object** is a noun or noun group which refers to a person or thing, other than the subject, which is involved in or affected by the action of the verb.

- My questions angered the crowd.
- The trial raised a number of questions.
- She had friends.

The object of an active clause can often be made the subject of a passive clause:

- The crowd were angered by my questions.
- A number of questions were raised by the trial.

A **complement** is a noun group or an adjective which comes after a link verb such as be, remain, and look and gives more information about the subject:

- The results of the experiment remain a secret.
- We were very happy.

A complement may also give more information about the object:

- They're driving me crazy.
- She painted her eyelids deep blue.

An **adjunct** is a word or group of words added to a clause to give more information about the circumstances of an event or situation, for instance in terms of time, place, or manner.

- I've been here all night.
- Donald was lying on the bed.
- He acted very clumsily.

All examples from the Collins COBUILD English Grammar (Sinclair, 1990).

2 But see section 5.2.3 for a discussion of the potential tension between word order and communicative function.
ولا يمكن لدي أي اعتراض على كونه وكيلاً بالسماح من الأفراد،
ولكن كل التحريات المسببة للنقد على هاواي أوف
فريزر، بمثابة كلايتون ونورمان ليبم.

وكان من المعروف، بل ومن المفيد، إن يتمكن البروفسور
سميته من مفاعلة رابية مرتين قبل أن يتقرب بتسبيته للبول
عري فايدة، وإن يضيف إلى ذلك كفاية يتعهد سلماً معود
 almúllá منها.

ورايت كيف أن براين باهامresponsroll في بروك ستريت
النفسيتشي. والمصارع روستن وبي قد ساعد الصحفيين
المرشحين على تحويل من مستهل إلى خزانة دينية، وكيف
كانت هذه الخزانة الذهبية تستقبل أحسن استقبال كما
كلاً.

ورايت كيف أن المواد السنة التوافق التي تفتتح على
الحقيقة والصبر من على، والتي بدأنا تعرفها على
وزارة التجارة والصناعة، كيف أنها بدات تستقبل يست
مطبخ بالعرج.

ورايت كيف أن ليون بريتون وزير التجارة الجديد كان
مستعجلًا للقول بأنه لا يوجد أي خطأ في الأراءات.

لقد شكلت أول وظيفة لي في هي السيتي بينين سنة 1936،
وذلك عندما اجتمعت مؤسسة كيبل، ومهمة في فينترفي
ستريت. ومنذ ذلك أصبحت مديراً لشركات بريطانية خاصة
على مدى اثني عشر سنة. ومن أساها ما عرفته في
العظام الحالية والتجارية أن يكون الاحتيال هذا
الفوز الكبير، وأن يجد في تجربة أخرى بعد فحصه.
ويستدعي أن تبين لبناء من المفتونين بعد انفجاء السنين
وأن تقي هذه الليلة 18 شهراً للتحقيق في واقعة
امتلاك مؤسسة هاواي أوف فريزر.

4 Je n'avais rien contre le fait qu'il fût un riche agent commissionné, mais
je m'élevais fermement contre le fait qu'il ait obtenu House of Fraser
par escroquerie, aidé par Kleinwort Benson et Norman Tebbit.

Je ressentis de l'ameritude, mais aussi de l'amusement, en voyant que
le professeur Smith avait doublé son propre salaire avant de recom-
mander l'offre de Fayed, et qu'il avait même ajouté un bonus antidaté
pour faire bonne mesure.

Je vis comment Brian Basham de Broad Street Associates et l'avocat,
Royston Webb, aidèrent des journalistes mercenaires à transformer un
tas de cailloux en un sac d'or, et comment ce sac d'or fut bien accueilli
partout où il était ouvert.

Je vis comment les documents constitués avec sérieux, que nous
commencions à présenter au ministère du Commerce et de l'Industrie
et qui révélaient la vérité sur Fayed, étaient accueillis par un silence
géné.

Je vis comment Leon Brittan, le nouveau ministre du Commerce et
de l'Industrie, était prêt à déclarer qu'il ne trouvait rien à redire sur
 cette affaire.
In other words

J’ai commencé à travailler dans la City en 1936, chez Kittel and Company au numéro 5 de Fenchurch Street. Je suis administrateur de sociétés anonymes depuis trente et un ans. La pire chose que j’ai constatée dans les affaires, c’est la supercherie triomphante si facilement, et trouvant, même lorsqu’elle est démasquée, des apologistes. Je suis heureux qu’au bout de deux ans des inspecteurs aient été nommés, et qu’ils aient passé dix-huit mois à enquêter sur l’acquisition de House of Fraser.

5 But see section 5.1.1.3 (c), p. 136.
6 See note 1 above.
7 On the other hand, the noun or noun group functioning as subject can be selected as theme. This can be done by using an it-structure such as It was John who told me about it. The theme in it-structures is not It but the noun or noun group which comes after the verb to be. In effect, an it-structure selects what would have been the subject as a marked theme. See discussion of predicated theme later in this section.
8 Fronted adjuncts can also signal contrast: In China, the book received a great deal of publicity (but in other places it didn’t).
9 I am unable to trace the date of publication.
10 The only instance in which Halliday seems to depart from this position is in a brief discussion of postposed theme, which he calls substitution (1967). He gives examples such as They don’t match, these colours and He’s always late, John is and suggests that substitution ‘reverses the normal sequence of theme–theme and introduces a delayed theme after the remainder of the message’ (ibid.: 240). He further explains that the meaning of this structure ‘is, as it were, “first I’ll say what I have to say and then I’ll remind you what I’m talking about”’ (ibid.).
11 I use ‘partial circularity’ rather than ‘circularity’ because initial position is identified independently of theme. I am grateful to Mike Hoey for drawing my attention to this.
12 Languages are usually classified according to their normal ordering of clause elements. For instance, English is classified as an SVO language because the normal order of clause elements in English is subject–verb–object. Japanese is classified as an SOV language because the normal order in Japanese is subject–object–verb.
13 A verb in Harway can only be followed (optionally) by a locative (Comrie, 1987).
14 It is fair to say that Halliday’s view is also shared by some linguists interested in languages with relatively free word order, for example German. Herbst et al. (1979: 166) report that ‘some linguists (for example Boost, 1955: 26–31) have suggested that the status of theme should be assigned to the element in initial position in all cases’.
15 Anaphoric reference involves using a word or phrase to refer back to another word or phrase that occurred earlier in the text, for example John is a scientist. He studied physics at university, where He refers back to John.
   For a detailed discussion of anaphoric reference, see Chapter 6, section 6.1.
16 For a specific discussion of problems associated with the principles of end-focus and end-weight in German–English translation see Snell-Hornby (1985).
17 I am grateful to Tim Johns, University of Birmingham, for providing all the data from Brazilian academic abstracts and for assisting me with the analysis of relevant extracts. This particular extract is from Ciência e cultura 32, 8 (1980), 1094.

18 Halliday and Hasan recognize that punctuation plays a role in signalling information structure, but suggest that it cannot express it fully in English and that 'most punctuation practice is a kind of compromise between information structure (punctuating according to intonation) and sentence structure (punctuating according to the grammar)' (1976: 325).

19 قلول بعض الروايات الموافق بها أن زوجته السيدة جيهان
نشرت في التلفزيون تجري بعض الاتصالات بالولايات المتحدة،
كأن بينها اعمال مؤثر بأيامها الوحيد جمال، والذي كان
 موجوداً في ذلك الوقت في ولاية فلوريدا بالولايات المتحدة.
وقد مررت أثناء اعمالها أن جمال قد ذهب مع بعض اصدقاءه
الي جزيرة أمامي ناحي فلوريدا. وقد طلبت إلي الفنقي الذي
اصت بها أن يحاول العثور عليه باسرع ما يمكن، وأن يطلب
الي الاتصال بها في القاهرة على الفور لأن هناك أمراً في
منتهي الخروج تزيد أن تمهده فيه. وكانت هناك ....

20 Functional sentence perspective is sometimes used as a cover term for any approach based on a theme-rheme or given-new type of analysis. For most linguists, however, it applies only to the work of Prague scholars such as Mathesius, Firbas, and Danes.

21 For a more detailed discussion of FSP theory, see Firbas (1974, 1986).

22 Jan Firbas is a Brno scholar, but he works within the Prague theoretical tradition.

23 Weil did not actually use the terms ‘theme’ and ‘rheme’; Firbas only reports him as using ‘initial notion’ and ‘goal of discourse’.

24 Halliday expresses a totally different view of the importance of FSP in English. For instance, when claiming that an unmarked theme in English is one that signals the mood of the clause (see 5.1.1.3), he says:

It may not be unreasonable to suggest that the preference for the 'inverted' interrogative structure in English, by contrast with a number of other languages that have basically the same resources, is due to the relative importance assigned to thematic organisation in the syntax of the English clause.

(1976: 180)

25 Mike Hoey (personal communication) suggests that, compared to languages such as Portuguese and Arabic which can easily and naturally thematize verbs, that is processes, English is a rather clumsy language for reporting scientific research.
6 Textual equivalence: cohesion

Each language has its own patterns to convey the interrelationships of persons and events; in no language may these patterns be ignored, if the translation is to be understood by its readers.

(Callow, 1974: 30)

The topic of cohesion ... has always appeared to me the most useful constituent of discourse analysis or text linguistics applicable to translation.

(Newmark, 1987: 295)

The last chapter dealt with one type of connectivity which helps to distinguish text from non-text, namely thematic and information structure. In this chapter, we resume our discussion of translation difficulties and strategies at the level of text by looking at cohesion, the second feature of text organization which was mentioned at the end of Chapter 4.

Cohesion is the network\(^1\) of lexical, grammatical, and other relations which provide links between various parts of a text. These relations or ties organize and, to some extent create a text, for instance by requiring the reader to interpret words and expressions by reference to other words and expressions in the surrounding sentences and paragraphs.\(^2\) Cohesion is a surface relation; it connects together the actual words and expressions that we can see or hear (cf. coherence, Chapter 7). This chapter draws heavily on the best known and most detailed model of cohesion available. This is the model outlined by Halliday and Hasan in *Cohesion in English* (1976). It is worth noting, however, that other models have been proposed by various linguists (see, for instance, Callow, 1974; Gutwinski, 1976; de Beaugrande and Dressler, 1981; Hoey, 1988, 1991).

Halliday and Hasan identify five main cohesive devices in English: reference, substitution, ellipsis, conjunction, and lexical cohesion.
Each device is explained below in some detail, followed by an attempt to explore its relevance to translation.

6.1 REFERENCE

The term reference is traditionally used in semantics for the relationship which holds between a word and what it points to in the real world. The reference of chair would therefore be a particular chair that is being identified on a particular occasion. In Halliday and Hasan’s model of cohesion, reference is used in a similar but more restricted way. Instead of denoting a direct relationship between words and extra-linguistic objects, reference is limited here to the relationship of identity which holds between two linguistic expressions. For example, in

Mrs Thatcher has resigned. She announced her decision this morning

the pronoun she points to Mrs Thatcher within the textual world itself. Reference, in the textual rather than the semantic sense, occurs when the reader has to retrieve the identity of what is being talked about by referring to another expression in the immediate context. The resulting cohesion ‘lies in the continuity of reference, whereby the same thing enters into the discourse a second time’ (Halliday and Hasan, 1976: 31).

Every language has certain items which have the property of reference in the textual sense. These reference items have the potential for directing the reader to look elsewhere for their interpretation. The most common reference items in English and a large number of other languages are pronouns. Third-person pronouns are frequently used to refer back (and occasionally forward) to an entity which has already been introduced (or is about to be introduced) into the discourse. Apart from personal reference, English also uses items such as the, this, and those to establish similar links between expressions in a text. In

Mrs Thatcher has resigned. This delighted her opponents

the reader has to go back to the previous stretch of discourse to establish what This refers to.

So, reference is a device which allows the reader/hearer to trace participants, entities, events, etc. in a text. One of the most common patterns of establishing chains of reference in English and a number of other languages is to mention a participant explicitly in the first
instance, for example by name or title, and then use a pronoun to refer back to the same participant in the immediate context. Those languages which have number and gender distinctions in their pronoun system are less constrained in using this cohesive device, since different pronouns can be used to refer to different entities within a text with less possibility of confusion. The following example, from Agatha Christie’s Triangle at Rhodes, illustrates networks of personal reference in a short paragraph:

Hercule Poirot sat on the white sand and looked out across the sparkling blue water. He was carefully dressed in a dandified fashion in white flannels and a large panama hat protected his head. He belonged to the old-fashioned generation which believed in covering itself carefully from the sun. Miss Pamela Lyall, who sat beside him and talked carelessly, represented the modern school of thought in that she was wearing the barest minimum of clothing on her sun-browned person.

(Christie, 1936: 196)

Although Halliday and Hasan use a restricted notion of reference based on textual rather than extra-linguistic relations, they still acknowledge that the relationship of reference may be established situationally. For example, a given pronoun may refer to an entity which is present in the context of situation rather than in the surrounding text. The first- and second-person pronouns are typical examples in that they do not refer back to a nominal expression in the text but to the speaker and hearer (or writer and reader) respectively. Third-person pronouns typically refer back (or forward) to a nominal expression in the text but may also be used to refer to an entity which is present in the immediate physical or mental context of situation. An utterance such as He’s not back yet is perfectly feasible provided the speaker and hearer are clear about the identity of ‘he’, for example in the case of a couple referring to their son.

Another type of reference relation which is not strictly textual is that of co-reference. An example of a chain of co-referential items is Mrs Thatcher → The Prime Minister → The Iron Lady → Maggie. Halliday and Hasan do not discuss this type of referential linkage and Hoey (1988: 162) points out that co-reference ‘is not strictly a linguistic feature at all but a matter of real-world knowledge’. It is, of course, true that recognizing a link between Mrs Thatcher and The Iron Lady, for instance, depends on knowledge of the world rather than on textual competence. However, it is generally difficult and, for the purposes of translation not particularly helpful, to attempt to
draw a line between what is linguistic or textual and what is extra-linguistic or situational.

It may be useful at this point to suggest, following Halliday and Hasan, that there is a continuum of cohesive elements that may be used for referring back to an entity already mentioned in the discourse. This continuum stretches from full repetition at one end of the scale to pronominal reference at the other. The following example is adapted from Halliday and Hasan (1976: 283) to illustrate the point:

There’s a boy climbing that tree.

a. *The boy’s* going to fall if he doesn’t take care. *(repetition)*  
b. *The lad’s* going to fall if he doesn’t take care. *(synonym)*  
c. *The child’s* going to fall if he doesn’t take care. *(superordinate)*  
d. *The idiot’s* going to fall if he doesn’t take care. *(general word)*  
e. *He’s* going to fall if he doesn’t take care. *(pronominal reference)*

Co-reference can be incorporated somewhere around the repetition/synonym level of the continuum if we decide to adopt a more flexible notion of reference for our current purposes.

Patterns of reference (also known as *anaphora*) can vary considerably both within and across languages. Within the same language, text type seems to be an important factor in determining the choice of pattern. Fox (1986) examined patterns of reference in three genres of American English: spontaneous conversation, written expository prose, and written fast-paced popular narratives. She found that ‘the distribution of pronoun versus full noun phrase differed dramatically from one discourse type to the next’ (1986: 27). Each language has what we might call general preferences for certain patterns of reference as well as specific preferences that are sensitive to text type.

Callow (1974) explains that Hebrew, unlike English, prefers to use proper names to trace participants through a discourse. So, where English would normally use a pronoun to refer to a participant who has already been introduced, provided there is no possibility of confusing reference, Hebrew is more likely to repeat the participant’s name. Similarly, she explains, for the Bororos of Brazil the normal pattern is to refer to a participant by using a noun several times in succession before eventually shifting into a pronominal form.

Unlike English, which tends to rely heavily on pronominal reference in tracing participants, Brazilian Portuguese generally seems to favour more lexical repetition. In addition, Portuguese inflects verbs for person and number. This grammatical feature provides additional means of relating processes and actions to specific participants.
without the use of independent pronouns. The following example is from an article on Akio Morita, Chairman of the Sony Corporation. The article was published in the English and Portuguese editions of *Playboy* magazine. References to Akio Morita are highlighted in both extracts, with the exception of verb inflections in Portuguese. Items in angle brackets are not in the Portuguese text—they are inserted to make the back-translation readable.

**English text:**

Surrounded by the toys and the gadgets of his calling—tape recorders, mini television sets, world-band radios—he is the quintessential Japanese combination that has conquered the world: a tinkerer turned businessman.

As the eldest son of a wealthy sake and soy-sauce producer in conservative Nagoya, he was expected to take over the family business—and perhaps become the 15th generation of Morita Mayors in the local community. Instead, he spent his time taking apart clocks and listening to Western classical music and preferred the study of physics to business. During World War Two, he went into naval research as a lieutenant, working on a thermal-guided missile and other projects, and it was there that he met his future partner, Ibuka. After the war, the two set up a business after a false start in the home-appliance market—manufacturing rice cookers. Total production: 100. Total sales: 0.

**Portuguese Text:**

Produto de uma cultura que valoriza a sutileza e as maneiras indiretas, *Morita*, com seu jeito franco, é a ponte ideal entre o Japão e o Ocidente.

**Filho mais velho** de um próspero produtor de óleo de soja e de saquê, em Nagoya, os pais de *Morita* esperavam que ele assumisse o controle dos negócios da família. Ao invés disso, *Morita* passava o tempo desmontando relógios, ouvindo música clássica ocidental e preferindo estudar Física a se meter em negócios. Durante a Segunda Guerra Mundial dedicou-se à pesquisa naval, como civil, e foi nessa época que fez a sociedade numa fábrica de panelas de cozinhar arroz. Produção total: 100 panelas. Total de vendas: 0.

**Back-translation:**

Product of a culture that values subtlety and indirect manners,
Morita, with his frank way, is an ideal bridge between Japan and the West.

The eldest son of a prosperous producer of soya oil and saki, in Nagoya, the parents of Morita expected that he should take over the control of the family business. Instead of this, Morita spent the time taking clocks apart, listening to Western classical music and preferring to study physics to putting himself into business. During the Second World War he dedicated himself to naval research, as a civilian, and it was in this period that he made a partnership in a factory of rice cooking pots. Total production: 100 pots. Total sales: 0.

The first sentence in each of the above extracts occurs at the end of the paragraph immediately before the one we are examining. The two sentences are not ‘equivalents’ of each other, but they are quoted here to show that, in spite of the fact that the last mention of the particular participant being traced is by pronominal reference in the English version and by a proper noun in the Portuguese version, English still prefers to pick up the reference in the new paragraph by means of a pronoun while Portuguese prefers lexical repetition. Within the main paragraph under examination, Portuguese further repeats Morita twice while English persists in using pronominal reference. Note that the finite verbs in the Portuguese text establish additional cohesive links with Morita because they are marked for person.

In some languages, such as Japanese and Chinese, a totally different pattern seems to be in operation. Pronouns are hardly ever used and, once a participant is introduced, continuity of reference is signalled by omitting the subjects of following clauses. This is a sort of default mechanism which tells the reader that the participant last mentioned or, alternatively, the one in focus or the one that can be inferred on grounds of logic or context, is the subject of the following clause(s) unless otherwise indicated (see discussion of theme and Chinese-style topic in Chapter 5, section 5.1.1.4). In the following example from Palace and Politics in Prewar Japan (Appendix 6), we already know that the person addressed is the Emperor. The reader has to supply all the missing subjects and create his/her own chains of reference. Possible subjects and other pronominal references are inserted here in angle brackets for the reader’s benefit – they do not appear in the Japanese text:

The most blunt one was Komeda Torao who was the third Jiho. ‘If the Emperor/Your Majesty pours his/your wise
consideration into politics as much as he/she likes riding in his/her daily life, I would not think that he/she/the government would have been said as ‘two three ministers’ politics’ by the public. So I am sincerely concerned.

The English translation naturally tries to approximate as much as possible to English patterns of cohesion:

Komeda Torao, Jiho of the third rank, was the most blunt: ‘If in the past [Your Majesty] had shown as much care for politics as he had passion for horsemanship, no such criticism from the public as “politics by two or three Ministers” would have occurred.’

Note the various rewordings and omissions in the English version. These allow the translator to use a chain of reference which is typical of English (Your Majesty → he) as well as avoid creating other chains which can only be inferred. The square brackets around Your Majesty in the English translation are presumably meant to alert the reader to the fact that the expression does not occur in the original, or that the translator is ‘guessing’ what might fill the subject slot in this case. Note also the use of the colon after blunt to provide additional cohesion.

The above examples illustrate that different preferences exist across languages for certain general patterns of reference. A good example of a language- and genre-specific type of pattern is explained in Hatim and Mason (1990: 97):

It is a recognised text convention governing the field of discourse of news reporting/investigative journalism in French that a concept referred to in a noun phrase will not be expressed in the same way twice running in a text. Thus, le dollar américain will, in a subsequent lexicalisation, become le billet vert; le Président de la République will become, as well as the anaphoric il, perhaps le chef de l’État or even l’Élysée.

6.2 SUBSTITUTION AND ELLIPSIS

Unlike reference, substitution and ellipsis are grammatical rather than semantic relationships. In substitution, an item (or items) is replaced by another item (or items):

I like movies.
And I do.
In the above example, *do* is a substitute for *like movies*. Items commonly used in substitution in English include *do, one, and the same*, as in the following examples from Halliday and Hasan (1976: 89; 105):

You think Joan already knows? – I think everybody *does*. (*Does* replaces *knows*).

My axe is too blunt. I must get a sharper *one*. (*One* replaces *axe*).

A: I’ll have two poached eggs on toast, please.

B: I’ll have *the same*. (*The same* replaces *two poached eggs on toast*).

**Ellipsis** involves the omission of an item. In other words, in ellipsis, an item is replaced by nothing. This is a case of leaving something unsaid which is nevertheless understood. It does not include every instance in which the hearer or reader has to supply missing information, but only those cases where the grammatical structure itself points to an item or items that can fill the slot in question. Here are some examples of ellipsis:

Joan brought some carnations, and Catherine some sweet peas. *(ellipted item: *brought* in second clause).*

Here are thirteen cards. Take any. Now give me any three. *(ellipted items: *card* after *any* in second clause and *cards* after *any three* in third clause).*

Have you been swimming? – Yes, I have. *(ellipted items: *been swimming* in second clause).*

(Halliday and Hasan, 1976: 143; 158; 167)

Halliday and Hasan give a detailed description of several types of substitution and ellipsis in English. Since substitution and ellipsis are purely grammatical relations which hold between linguistic forms rather than between linguistic forms and their meanings, the details are highly language-specific and are therefore not worth going into here.

Note that the boundary lines between the three types of cohesive device (reference, substitution, and ellipsis) are not clear cut. Hoey (1991) gives the following example. A question such as *Does Agatha sing in the bath?* may elicit three answers, of which answer (a) is an example of substitution, answer (b) of ellipsis, and answer (c) of reference:

(a) No, but I do.

(b) Yes, she does.

(c) Yes, she does it to annoy us, I think.
Answer (b) is an example of ellipsis because *does* cannot be said to substitute for *sing* in the above question. The elided items in *Yes, she does are sing in the bath.* The fuzziness of the boundaries and the technical differences between the three types of cohesive device need not concern us here; after all, they may not even operate in the same way in other languages. At this stage, the translator need only be aware that there are different devices in different languages for creating ‘texture’ and that a text hangs together by virtue of the semantic and structural relationships that hold between its elements. This has clear implications in practice. Every language has its own battery of devices for creating links between textual elements. Unless the translator is carrying out some kind of linguistic exercise, for instance for research purposes, transferring the devices used in the source text into the target text will not do. Under normal circumstances, what is required is a reworking of the methods of establishing links to suit the textual norms of the target language. The grammatical system of each language will itself encourage the use of certain devices in preference to others. The textual norms of each genre will further suggest certain options and rule out others that are grammatically acceptable and may, in other genres, be textually acceptable as well.

Here is an example of the way in which changes at this textual level are normally handled, consciously or subconsciously, by a professional translator. The original text is Arabic, the target text is English. It is part of a document explaining arbitration procedures at the International Centre for Arbitration in Cairo.

Arabic original:
Back-translation:
If thirty days elapse from the date on which one of the parties received a proposal submitted according to the first item without the parties agreeing to appoint the one arbitrator, his appointment is done by the appointing authority which the parties agreed to name. If the parties had not agreed on the naming of the appointing authority, or if the authority which they agreed on nominating declines to appoint the arbitrator or is unable to nominate him within sixty days of the date of receiving the request which one of the parties presented to it in this regard, each of the parties may ask the Secretary-General of the Permanent Arbitration Court to nominate an appointing authority.

English translation:
If thirty days elapse from the date on which either party received a proposal – submitted according to the first item [(a)] – without the two parties agreeing on appointing one arbitrator, the authority nominated by the two parties undertakes to appoint the arbitrator. If the parties had not agreed on nominating such authority, or if the nominated authority declines to appoint an arbitrator or is unable to nominate one within sixty days of its receipt of either party’s request to that effect, both parties may ask the Secretary-General of the Permanent Arbitration Court to nominate an appointing authority.

The length and complexity of the sentences in the above extracts, and hence the potential difficulty of tracing participants, makes it necessary to use a variety of devices for establishing cohesive links in both texts. The level of linkage is quite dense in both extracts, but there are striking differences in the choice of devices used in each case. Arabic uses a number of devices which cannot be easily represented in back-translation. For instance, all verbs agree with their subjects in gender and number, which means that links between the two are clear even when they are separated by a number of embedded clauses with their own subjects and verbs. As well as using the equivalent of ‘which’ to establish linkage, as in ‘receiving the request which one of the parties presented to it’, the following verb is further suffixed for pronominal reference (literally: ‘which one of the parties presented-it-masculine to it-feminine’). With ‘request’ being a masculine noun and ‘authority’ a feminine noun in Arabic, the referential chains are not confused. The grammatical structure of Arabic therefore favours
pronominal reference as a common device for tracing participants and establishing cohesive links in general. In addition, however, this type of text tends to favour a high level of lexical repetition, and so the Arabic noun for 'parties', which is marked for duality, is repeated even in instances where no ambiguity would arise from using a pronoun or pronominal suffix.

English, like most languages, will generally use whatever means are necessary to reduce ambiguity in tracing participants. Unlike the Arabic grammatical system, the English system makes very few distinctions in terms of number, gender, and verb agreement. Lexical repetition is therefore a much safer option in cases where ambiguity of reference may arise and in contexts which do not tolerate ambiguity in general and ambiguity of reference in particular. In legal and semi-legal texts, it has become the norm to use lexical repetition even in instances where no ambiguity might result from using pronominal reference. Although the Arabic text makes considerable use of pronominal reference, there are no instances of pronominal reference at all in the English text. It would be possible, but textually odd, to replace several of the lexical repetitions in the English text with the appropriate pronouns.

In addition to lexical repetition, the English version also uses substitution to establish cohesive links (if the nominated authority declines to appoint an arbitrator or is unable to nominate one). There are also several instances of ellipsis. In the example just quoted to illustrate substitution, the subject of the second clause ('the nominated authority/it') is elided.

To reiterate: every language has its own devices for establishing cohesive links. Language and text-type preferences must both be taken into consideration in the process of translation. With this in mind, let us now move on to examine other types of cohesive device that often require careful handling in translation.

6.3 CONJUNCTION

Conjunction involves the use of formal markers to relate sentences, clauses and paragraphs to each other. Unlike reference, substitution, and ellipsis, the use of conjunction does not instruct the reader to supply missing information either by looking for it elsewhere in the text or by filling structural slots. Instead, conjunction signals the way the writer wants the reader to relate what is about to be said to what has been said before. Conjunction expresses one of a small number of
general relations. The main relations are summarized below, with examples of conjunctions which can or typically realize each relation.

a. additive: and, or, also, in addition, furthermore, besides, similarly, likewise, by contrast, for instance;
b. adversative: but, yet, however, instead, on the other hand, nevertheless, at any rate, as a matter of fact;
c. causal: so, consequently, it follows, for, because, under the circumstances, for this reason;
d. temporal: then, next, after that, on another occasion, in conclusion, an hour later, finally, at last;
e. continuatives (miscellaneous):

now, of course, well, anyway, surely, after all.

A number of points need to be borne in mind here. First, the same conjunction may be used to signal different relations, depending on the context. Second, these relations can be expressed by a variety of means; the use of a conjunction is not the only device for expressing a temporal or causal relation, for instance. In English, a temporal relation may be expressed by means of a verb such as follow or precede, and a causal relation is inherent in the meanings of verbs such as cause and lead to. In fact, a language user will often recognize a semantic relation such as time sequence even when no explicit signal of such a relationship exists in the text. Third, conjunctive relations do not just reflect relations between external phenomena, but may also be set up to reflect relations which are internal to the text or communicative situation. For instance, temporal relations are not restricted to sequence in real time; they may reflect stages in the unfolding text. A good example is the use of first, second, and third in this paragraph.

There is some uncertainty in the literature as to whether conjunctions which occur within sentences can be considered cohesive, since cohesion is considered by some linguists to be a relation between sentences rather than within sentences (see Halliday and Hasan, 1976: 232; see also note 2 at the end of this chapter). This means that subordinators are not, strictly speaking, considered a type of conjunction. For example, Halliday and Hasan (ibid.: 228) do not consider after a conjunction in

After they had fought the battle, it snowed

because it subordinates one part of the sentence to another but does not directly establish a link with another sentence. In the following
example, by contrast, *afterwards* is considered a conjunction because it establishes a link between two sentences:

They fought a battle. Afterwards, it snowed.

In this book, and for the purposes of translation, it makes more sense to take a broader view of cohesion and to consider any element cohesive as long as it signals a conjunctive-type relation between parts of a text, whether these parts are sentences, clauses (dependent or independent), or paragraphs. To reiterate, subtleties of technical definition are not the main issue here and are not likely to prove directly relevant in translation.

The following example from *A Study of Shamanistic Practices in Japan* (Appendix 5) illustrates the use of conjunction in text:

> The shamanic practices we have investigated are rightly seen as an archaic mysticism. On the basis of the world view uncovered by the shaman's faculties, with its vision of another and miraculous plane which could interact causally with our own, the more advanced mystical intuitions of esoteric Buddhism were able to develop.

> **Today, however,** this world view is fast disappearing. The vision of another plane utterly different from our own, ambivalent, perilous and beyond our control, has faded. **Instead** the universe has become one-dimensional; there is no barrier to be crossed, no mysteriously other kind of being to be met and placated.

Languages vary tremendously in the type of conjunctions they prefer to use as well as the frequency with which they use such items. Also, since conjunction is a device for signalling relations between chunks of information, it is naturally bound up with both the chunking of information, how much to say in one go, and with how the relations between such chunks of information are perceived and signalled. In fact, the use of conjunction provides an insight into the whole logic of discourse (Smith and Frawley, 1983).

Some languages, such as German, tend to express relations through subordination and complex structures. Others, such as Chinese and Japanese, prefer to use simpler and shorter structures and to mark the relations between these structures explicitly where necessary. One noticeable difference in the use of conjunctions which is well documented in the literature is that between English and Arabic. Compared to Arabic, English generally prefers to present information in relatively small chunks and to signal the relationship between these chunks in unambiguous ways, using a wide variety of
conjunctions to mark semantic relations between clauses, sentences, and paragraphs. In addition to the types of conjunction discussed by Halliday and Hasan, English also relies on a highly developed punctuation system to signal breaks and relations between chunks of information. Unlike English, Arabic prefers to group information into very large grammatical chunks. It is not unusual for Arabic paragraphs to consist of one sentence. This is partly because punctuation and paragraphing are a relatively recent development in Arabic (Holes, 1984). Moreover, Arabic tends to use a relatively small number of conjunctions, each of which has a wide range of meanings which depend for their interpretation on the context, thus relying heavily on the reader's ability to infer relationships which are only vaguely alluded to by the writer. The most frequently used conjunctions in Arabic are wa and fa (Al-Jubouri and Knowles, 1988). According to Holes, ‘/wa/ can mark temporal sequence, simultaneous action, semantic contrast and semantic equivalence, amongst other things; /fa/ can be a marker of temporal sequence, logical consequence, purpose, result or concession’ (1984: 234). Short sentences, a varied array of conjunctions, and absence of the typical conjunctions (mainly wa, fa, and a few other particles) are associated with translated Arabic texts – original Arabic texts do not normally display these features.

The following is an example of a fairly free translation into Arabic. The source text uses no conjunctions but relies instead on punctuation devices. The translated version conforms more to Arabic than to English norms of cohesion. Note, in particular, the use of typical Arabic conjunctions: wa (roughly: 'and'), ḫatha-wa (literally: 'this and'), and kama (roughly: 'also'/in addition'). Note also that there are fewer sentence breaks in the Arabic version (sentence breaks are highlighted by slashes in both texts).

English source text (Brintons – press release; see Appendix 9):

Brintons have been manufacturing fine quality woven carpet over 200 years /./ They are a privately owned company specializing in Axminster and Wilton carpets, using wool-rich blends /./ They have a totally integrated operation from the preparation of the yarn through to the weaving process /./ All their products are made on looms designed and built by their own engineers, and recognized as the most technically superior weaving plant in the World /./ Brintons are one of the largest weavers with a production capacity in excess of 100,000 square metres per week /./
Back-translation:

Brintons company has been manufacturing the finest quality of woven carpets for over 200 years, and it is a private company which specializes in producing Axminster and Wilton carpets in which enters a high percentage of wool. This and the company carries out all steps of production in its factories, from preparing the yarn to weaving it on looms designed and manufactured by company engineers, and Brintons factories are considered the most advanced weaving factories from the technical aspect in the whole world, also Brintons company is considered among the largest weaving companies with a production capacity exceeding 100,000 square metres per week.

The above example illustrates how conjunctions which are typically used in Arabic discourse are added to a translation to make it smoother, even when no conjunctions are used in the source text. But given the greater semantic generality of typical Arabic conjunctions, what does an Arab translator do when faced with an array of explicit conjunctions in the source text? Should preference be given to producing a smooth text with typical but semantically less precise conjunctions, or should the translator give priority to ‘meaning’ by opting for an equally varied array of conjunctions with precise meanings? What happens in practice is often something in between the two extremes outlined here. Most translators will try to do a bit of both. Here is an example from the preface to Arab Political Humour by Kishhtany (1985). Conjunctions are highlighted in both texts. Arabic conjunctions follow their English back-translations in italics to show the variety of items used.

English text:

Writing on the political humour of the Arab World, past and present, is a hazardous undertaking which I was resolved to risk,
much against the advice of many friends. Some felt a shudder at the thought of tackling the sense of humour of the Prophet Muhammad and the holy imams of Islam, and counselled that the subject matter should be confined to modern times. Others, however, felt a similar shudder at the thought of telling and discussing the political jokes about contemporary leaders of the modern Arab World and advised me to confine myself to the days of the Prophet and the early imams. After all, these are men of God and are guided by his spirit of indulgence and forgiveness. They are, furthermore, dead and buried and have no recourse to the revenge squads despatched from the Middle East to the four corners of the world. Yet, a full picture of Arab political humour truly reflecting the psychology, thought and politics of the Arab peoples cannot be adequately drawn without covering the entire span of Arab history, at least from the rise of Islam.

Arabic text:

Back-translation:

Writing on the topic of political humour in the Arab World, past and present, is a task full of danger. But [لاكن] I made the decision of taking the risk, against the advice of a large number of friends to avoid the dangers involved. For [ف] some of them
felt a strong shock just at my thinking of tackling the subject of the sense of humour of the Prophet Muhammad and the holy imams of Islam and advised me to confine my research to modern times. And [wa] others felt a similar shock at my intention to talk about political jokes relating to contemporary leaders of the modern Arab World and suggested that I should confine myself to the days of the Prophet and the early imams. For [fa] these, after all considerations, are men of God and they follow in their indulgence and forgiveness the spirit of God. Furthermore [thumma anna] they are dead and cannot come back to join the revenge squads in the Middle East and the four corners of the world. And yet [wa ma’a thaalik], it is impossible to draw a full picture of Arab political humour, reflecting the psychology of the Arab peoples, their way of thinking, and their politics without casting an overall glance on Arab history, beginning at least with the rise of Islam.

The translator of the above passage attempts, as most translators do in practice, to strike a balance between accuracy and naturalness. At the level of cohesion, naturalness is enhanced by using typical Arabic conjunctions such as wa and fa, sometimes at the expense of accuracy. For instance, using wa rather than something closer to English however (third sentence in the English text), reads smoothly in Arabic but sacrifices some of the precision of the English conjunction. On the other hand, the direct translation of After all (Fourth sentence in the English text) into ‘after all considerations’, which is a paraphrase of the meaning of After all rather than an established conjunction in Arabic, represents a sacrifice of naturalness for the sake of accuracy.

You will have noted from the Brintons extract on page 193 that some English texts make little or no use of conjunctions. There are often pragmatic reasons for the preference of certain types of conjunction and the frequency with which conjunctions are used in general. Smith and Frawley’s (1983) study of the use of conjunction in different genres of English suggests that some genres are generally ‘more conjunctive’ than others and that each genre has its own preferences for certain types of conjunction. Religion and fiction use more conjunctions than science and journalism. Religion displays a particular preference for negative additive conjunctions such as nor. Smith and Frawley explain this feature by suggesting that ‘the high percentage of negative additive conjunctions ... indicates a tendency toward falsification, the most consistent method of proof’ (1983: 358).
Religious texts also make heavy use of causal conjunctions such as because, since, and for. In science and journalism, by contrast, conjunctions in general and causal conjunctions in particular are relatively infrequent. This is partly explained by the high level of assumed shared knowledge in science and by the need to give an impression of objectivity in both genres. Restrictions on space and the need to avoid giving an overt explanation of reported events which risks the danger of legal suits and liability further restrict the use of conjunctions, particularly causal conjunctions, in journalism.

Adjusting patterns of conjunction in line with target-language general and specific text-type preferences is less straightforward than adjusting patterns of reference. The problem with conjunction is that it reflects the rhetoric of a text and controls its interpretation. This suggests that adjustments in translation will often affect both the content and the line of argumentation. Let us look at an example of a German translation of an English text, the first page of Morgan Matroc's company brochure: Technical Ceramics (see Appendix 2 for the German text). The German version conforms to German style to such an extent that it is generally taken by German speakers to be a very well written 'original'. Conjunctions are highlighted in both texts.

The English source text consists of six paragraphs; the German translation, on the other hand, consists of eleven paragraphs. This rechunking of the text may be an idiosyncratic adjustment on the part of the German translator, as it appears that German does not generally favour more breaks than English. Generally speaking, rechunking is done for two main reasons: (a) the source text is divided into chunks (whether sections, paragraphs, sentences, or clauses) that are either too long or too short in terms of target-language average chunking of similar material; or (b) the nature of the target audience is different in terms of level of specialization, age, etc. A text addressing an audience of specialists will tend to group information into larger chunks than one addressing laymen or children, for instance. It may be that the target reader of the German translation is not envisaged to have the same familiarity with or interest in the ceramics industry as the prospective readers of the English version.

Moving on to the use of conjunctions, here is an initial breakdown of the ones used in each text.

**English:**
- Today (temporal);
- so (causal);
- because (causal), but (adversative);
Today people are aware that modern ceramic materials offer unrivalled properties for many of our most demanding industrial applications. So is this brochure necessary; isn’t the ceramic market already over-bombarded with technical literature; why should Matroc add more?

Because someone mumbles, ’our competitors do it.’ But why should we imitate our competitors when Matroc probably supplies a greater range of ceramic materials for more applications than any other manufacturer.

And yet there are some customers who in their search for a suitable material prefer to study complex tables of technical data. It is for such customers that we have listed the properties of Matroc’s more widely used materials. Frankly however without cost guides which depend so much on shape such an exercise is of limited value.

There are others in the market place who simply want to know more about us and what we are doing. For them we offer illustrated commentaries on Matroc applications in many market sectors – from gas heaters to medical implants.

And finally there is a third class of customer who knows that a brief telephone conversation with a skilled Matroc engineer and the subsequent follow-up are more effective than 50 pages of technical data – such customers are our life blood – as we are theirs. For them this brochure is unnecessary.

Matroc like other Morgan subsidiaries acknowledges that customers and engineers will have a variety of approaches to problem solving. We hope that this publication will aid that process. We have no doubt about the most effective route however and suggest that the starting point should be the list of telephone numbers and addresses on the final page of this brochure.
Today experts are fully agreed that modern ceramic materials offer unsurpassed qualities for many of the most demanding industrial applications.

So we asked ourselves whether this catalogue would still find a corresponding resonance, given the flood of technical literature which is currently circulating in the ceramics market. Should Matroc also add its contribution to this?

On the one hand, some would say: 'that is quite usual for business' but on the other hand will we reach our customers just by eagerly imitating others?

Finally, Matroc offers a greater range of ceramics for more applications than most other firms.

Now, there are customers who in their search for suitable materials prefer to study copious technical data sheets. For such customers we have listed the properties of the most popular Matroc materials.

Yet one must consider that such an undertaking without cost information can only be expected to give a limited explanation because the production yield depends considerably on the geometry of the articles.

And then there are others in the market who simply want to know what we make. For this purpose we have chosen illustrated commentaries from the most wide-ranging market sectors of Matroc – from gas heaters to medical implants.

Lastly we have a third group of customers who know that a brief telephone call with an experienced Matroc technician and the subsequent systematic processing would bring them significantly more than 50 pages of technical details. Our existence rests on such customers – and vice versa!

What does yet another brochure offer these people?

Now, Matroc like other firms in the Morgan Group acknowledge that customers and technicians as a rule follow more than one path in solving a problem.

We hope that this publication serves its purpose. Yet/in any event we have a firm idea about the most effective path and unreservedly recommend that at the beginning of the project one looks at the list of telephone numbers and addresses on the last page of this brochure.
and yet (additive + adversative), however (adversative); and finally (additive + temporal); however (adversative)

German: Today (temporal);
so (causal);
on the one hand (additive, comparison), but on the other hand (adversative + additive, comparison);
finally (temporal);
now (continuative, with additional force of adversative);
yet (adversative), because (causal);
and then (adversative), for this purpose (causal);
lastly (temporal);
now⁶ (continuative or concession – see below);
however/in any event (adversative);

There are noticeably fewer conjunctions in the English text (eight) than in the German (twelve). German seems to be generally more conjunctive than English.⁷ The use of explicit conjunction makes the structure of the text more transparent. For instance, the ‘reason’ relation between the limited value of the brochure and cost information (English, third paragraph; German, sixth paragraph) is made more explicit in German by the addition of denn ‘because’.

Both texts proceed by questioning the need for a company brochure. To start with, an attempt is made to find the answer by considering what Matroc’s competitors do and weighing up two possibilities: (a) Matroc’s competitors have company brochures and therefore Matroc has to do the same; and (b) Matroc does not need to imitate its competitors. The German conjunctions used to signal this structure (Einerseits ‘on the one hand’, aber . . . andererseits ‘but on the other hand’) are more transparent than the mixture of causal Because and adversative But used in the English text. Both texts then seem to abandon the question of what Matroc’s competitors do and move on to consider the types of customer in the market place and whether the brochure would be of any value to them. This departure is signalled more firmly in the German text through the use of Schließlich (‘finally’) and the additional paragraph break. None of this means that the German text is ‘better’ or ‘worse’ than its English counterpart. The two texts simply address different readerships and in so doing reflect different textual preferences. If the English text seems less straightforward than the German one so far, it is not without reason. The English text achieves a higher level of informality by appearing to consider various angles of the problem in a relaxed, casual way, as if the writer is simply taking up issues as
they occur to him/her. The use of And yet in the third paragraph, for instance, gives the impression that the writer is thinking aloud, or perhaps just moving back and forth along the same line of argument—as one would do in chatting to a friend—rather than firmly wrapping up one stage in the argument before moving on to the next as is the case in the German text. Both styles, the chatty English and the formal German, seem appropriate to their particular contexts.

There are other interesting differences between the two texts. The German translation makes a further internal relation between two parts of the text clearer by using Zu diesem Zweck (‘for this purpose’; seventh paragraph), instead of the English For them (fourth paragraph). However, it loses the contrast that is developed in the fourth and fifth paragraphs in English: ‘There are others . . . For them we offer . . .’/‘And finally there is a third class of customer. . . . For them this brochure is unnecessary.’ In fact, this last sentence, ‘For them this brochure is unnecessary’, does not appear in the German text at all but is replaced by ‘What does another brochure offer these people?’ This is particularly interesting because the conjunction in the final paragraph of the German text, Allerdings, allows two alternative interpretations in this context:

We hope that this publication serves its purpose,

(i) However (adversative) we know the most effective path . . .

(ii) In any event (concession: whether it does or not, implying it may do) we know the most effective path . . .

Deleting ‘For them this brochure is unnecessary’ ties in with the second interpretation. Germans have a reputation for being highly logical and systematic in their approach to things. In a way, I suppose, it seems rather illogical to dismiss the value of the brochure altogether, for all types of customer, and at the same time suggest that the best way a customer can get the information s/he needs is to use a list of telephone numbers printed in the very brochure that is being dismissed.

Whether a translation conforms to the source-text patterns of cohesion or tries to approximate to target-language patterns will depend in the final analysis on the purpose of the translation and the amount of freedom the translator feels entitled to in rechunking information and/or altering signals of relations between chunks. Whatever the translator decides to do, every option will have its advantages and disadvantages. Following source-language norms may involve minimal change in overall meaning (other factors excluded). On the
other hand, noticeable deviation from typical target-language patterns of chunking information and signalling relations is likely to result in the sort of text that can easily be identified as a translation because it sounds 'foreign'.

Apart from questions of naturalness, accuracy, and the 'logic' of a text, there are sometimes stylistic considerations which may make the translation of conjunctions particularly difficult. For instance, Milic (1970) suggests that one of the most striking features of Jonathan Swift's style relates to the way he uses conjunction. Swift's favourite conjunctions, according to Milic, are and, but, and for. He apparently makes 'unusually heavy use' of these items (Milic; 1970: 246). Moreover, he does not use them as precise logical connectives but only to indicate that 'one sentence is connected with another without reference to the nature of the connection' (ibid.: 247). In other words, Swift's use of conjunction is very similar to that of Arabic (see page 193). In both cases, two or three 'favourite' items are used very frequently in a semantically 'imprecise' way. The question then arises as to how one might translate Swift into Arabic when the hallmark of his style is a commonplace feature of Arabic prose.

6.4 LEXICAL COHESION

Lexical cohesion refers to the role played by the selection of vocabulary in organizing relations within a text. A given lexical item cannot be said to have a cohesive function per se (cf. reference, conjunction), but any lexical item can enter into a cohesive relation with other items in a text. Whereas on encountering a pronoun such as he or they the reader will automatically look to the surrounding text for its referent, s/he will not automatically look for a link between an item such as socialism and other items in the following example (from the book jacket of Arab Political Humour, Kishtainy, 1985):

Ready suppliers of fun throughout the thirties and forties were the decadent pseudo-sovereign regimes of the West. More recently people have turned East for their targets, reflecting the new contact with communist countries and also the growing disenchantment with socialism.

And yet, one intuitively recognizes a sort of lexical chain which links socialism with communist and East. Moreover, this chain stands in some kind of opposition to the West and, for some people, to
decadent as well. We could say then that lexical cohesion covers any instance in which the use of a lexical item recalls the sense of an earlier one.

Halliday and Hasan divide lexical cohesion into two main categories: reiteration and collocation. Reiteration, as the name suggests, involves repetition of lexical items. A reiterated item may be a repetition of an earlier item, a synonym or near-synonym, a superordinate, or a general word. In this sense, it represents the same continuum presented on page 183 (with the exception of pronominal reference). This is repeated below for convenience:

There’s a boy climbing that tree.

a. The boy is going to fall if he doesn’t take care. (repetition)
b. The lad’s going to fall if he doesn’t take care. (synonym)
c. The child’s going to fall if he doesn’t take care. (superordinate)
d. The idiot’s going to fall if he doesn’t take care. (general word)

Reiteration is not the same as reference, however, because it does not necessarily involve the same identity. If the above sentence is followed by a statement such as ‘Boys can be so silly’, the repetition of boy \(\rightarrow\) boys would still be an instance of reiteration, even though the two items would not be referring to the same individual(s).

Collocation, as a sub-class of lexical cohesion in Halliday and Hasan’s model, covers any instance which involves a pair of lexical items that are associated with each other in the language in some way. Halliday and Hasan offer the following types of association as examples, but admit that there are other instances where the association between lexical items cannot readily be given a name but is nevertheless felt to exist. In the final analysis, they suggest, it does not matter what the relation is as long as we are aware of it and react to it as a cohesive device.

Various kinds of oppositeness of meaning: e.g. boy/girl; love/hate; order/obey.

Associations between pairs of words from the same ordered series: e.g. Tuesday/Thursday; August/December; dollar/cent.

Associations between pairs of words from unordered lexical sets: e.g.

- part–whole relations: car/break; body/arm; bicycle/wheel;
- part–part relations: mouth/chin; verse/chorus;
- co-hyponymy: red/green (colour); chair/table (furniture).

Associations based on a history of co-occurrence (collocation proper – see Chapter 3: e.g. rain, pouring, torrential, wet; hair, comb, curl, wave; etc.
Lexical cohesion is not a relation between pairs of words as the above discussion might suggest. On the contrary, lexical cohesion typically operates through lexical chains (such as socialism, communist, East) that run through a text and are linked to each other in various ways. The following example shows how patterns of lexical cohesion might be traced in a relatively straightforward piece of text. Sentences are numbered for ease of reference in the following discussion.

(1) I first met Hugh Fraser in 1977. (2) Charming, rather hesitant, a heavy smoker and heavy gambler, he had made such headway through his fortune that he had decided to sell his last major asset, the controlling shares in the business which his father had built up and named Scottish and Universal Investments. (3) Scottish and Universal had, among its assets, 10% of the British stores group, House of Fraser. (4) Lonrho bought 26% of Scottish and Universal.

(5) It was part of Lonrho's understanding with Hugh that he would stay on as Chairman of House of Fraser, but it gradually became clear that Sir Hugh was not on terms of mutual respect with most of his Board, and that the loyalty of his colleagues had been to his formidable father rather than to him. (6) They did not welcome the sale of Hugh's shares to Lonrho – and it was only natural, as a change was obviously in the air. (7) Lonrho was an expanding and acquisitive company, and House of Fraser was a quiet and pedestrian one.

(from A Hero from Zero, p. i)

Instances of lexical cohesion in the above text include the repetition of items such as Scottish and Universal (sentences 2, 3, and 4), Lonrho (4, 5, 6, and 7), and assets (2 and 3). There is a superordinate-hyponym relation between assets/shares, oppositeness of meaning between sell/bought, reiteration by general word: Lonrho/company, and a relation of synonymy or near-synonymy between expanding/acquisitive. Smoker/gambler are co-hyponyms of something like 'behavioural vice' and respect/loyalty are co-hyponyms of 'institutional virtue'. Most important of all, of course, is the main collocational chain which helps to establish and maintain the subject of the text: fortune, shares, assets, business, Chairman, Board, sale, expanding, acquisitive, company, etc. Many more cohesive relations can be traced in the above text, which illustrates the typical density of networks of lexical cohesion in any stretch of language.

Another example from a different genre will serve to show the sort of manipulation of lexical associations that is available to speakers
and writers. The following extract is from John Le Carré’s *The Russia House*:

The whole of Whitehall was agreed that no story should ever begin that way again. Indoctrinated ministers were furious about it. They set up a frightfully secret committee of enquiry to find out what went wrong, hear witnesses, name names, spare no blushes, point fingers, close gaps, prevent a recurrence, appoint me chairman and draft a report. What conclusions our committee reached, if any, remains the loftiest secret of them all, particularly from those of us who sat on it. For the function of such committees, as we all well knew, is to talk earnestly until the dust has settled, and then ourselves return to dust. Which, like a disgruntled Cheshire cat, our committee duly did, leaving nothing behind us but our frightfully secret frown, a meaningless interim working paper, and a bunch of secret annexes in the Treasury archives.

(1989: 40)

Two main collocational chains are cleverly interwoven in the above passage. One has to do with high-powered official institutions and practices: committees, enquiries, chairman, witnesses, Whitehall, ministers, Treasury, report, interim working paper, etc. The other evokes the theme of intrigue: the word secret is repeated several times and expressions such as name names and point fingers are used. But this is not genuine intrigue, because the two collocational chains are overlaid with ironic descriptive expressions which ridicule the institutions and practices in question and give an impression of ‘mock suspense’: frightfully secret committee, indoctrinated ministers, the loftiest secret of them all, like a disgruntled Cheshire cat, frightfully secret frown, meaningless interim working paper, a bunch of secret annexes, etc.

The notion of lexical cohesion as being dependent on the presence of networks of lexical items rather than the presence of any specific class or type of item is important. It provides the basis for what Halliday and Hasan call *instantial meaning* or text meaning:

Without our being aware of it, each occurrence of a lexical item carries with it its own textual history, a particular collocational environment that has been built up in the course of the creation of the text and that will provide the context within which the item will be incarnated on this particular occasion. This environment determines the ‘instantial meaning’, or text meaning, of the item, a meaning which is unique to each specific instance.

(1976: 289)
The importance for translators of the notion of instantial meaning is obvious. Lexical networks do not only provide cohesion, they also determine collectively the sense in which each individual item is used in a given context. As Hoey (1991: 8) points out, 'the text provides the context for the creation and interpretation of lexical relations, just as the lexical relations help create the texture of the text.'

The idea that the meanings of individual lexical items depend on the networks of relations in which they enter with other items in a text is now taken as axiomatic in language studies in general and in translation studies in particular. Snell-Hornby stresses the importance of this approach in translation, saying that in analysing a text a translator 'is not concerned with isolating phenomena or items to study them in depth, but with tracing a web of relationships, the importance of individual items being determined by their relevance and function in the text' (1988: 69).

It is certainly true that individual lexical items have little more than a 'potential' for meaning outside text and that their meanings are realized and can be considerably modified through association with other lexical items in a particular textual environment. And yet, the potential for meaning which a given lexical item has is not totally unrestricted. You simply cannot make any word mean whatever you want it to mean. What this suggests, in effect, is that as hard as one might try, it is impossible to reproduce networks of lexical cohesion in a target text which are identical to those of the source text. If you cannot make a word mean what you want it to mean, you might have to settle for one with a slightly different meaning or different associations. Every time this happens it introduces a subtle (or major) shift away from the lexical chains and associations of the source text. Significant shifts do occur, even in non-literary text. They include, for instance, cases where the source text uses a play on idiom to create a lexical chain or a number of separate chains that are linked together by virtue of relating to the literal or non-literal interpretation of the idiom. An example of this was given in Chapter 3 (p. 70). A similar example comes from an advertisement of a woman's magazine which shows a woman wearing a large hat, accompanied by the following caption: 'If you think Woman's Realm is old hat ... think again' (Cosmopolitan, October 1989). Old hat means 'boringly familiar/uninteresting', but the literal meaning of hat is used here to create a lexical/visual chain by tying in with the actual hat in the photograph. This type of chain often has to be sacrificed in translation because interweaving idiom-controlled chains can only be reproduced if the target language has an idiom which is identical to the source idiom in both form and meaning.
Apart from the manipulation of idioms, the lack of ready equivalents will sometimes require the translator to resort to strategies such as the use of a superordinate, paraphrase, or loan word (see Chapter 2). These naturally result in producing different lexical chains in the target text. Likewise, the grammatical structure of the target language may require the translator to add or delete information and to reword parts of the source text in a variety of ways. Admittedly, in non-literary translation new networks of lexical relations created in the target text during the course of translation will often be very close, overall, to those of the source text. But they will still be different and the difference, subtle though it may be, may affect the cohesiveness and coherence (see Chapter 7) of the target text in varying degrees, depending on the skill and experience of the translator. Whatever lexical and grammatical problems are encountered in translating a text and whatever strategies are used to resolve them, a good translator will make sure that, at the end of the day, the target text displays a sufficient level of lexical cohesion in its own right. Subtle changes—and sometimes major changes—are often unavoidable. But what the translator must always avoid is the extreme case of producing what appears to be a random collection of items which do not add up to recognizable lexical chains that make sense in a given context.

The Brintons’ press release is an example of the sort of subtle changes that typically take place on the level of lexical cohesion in non-literary translation.

A quick look at the two versions presented on pp. 208–9 reveals considerable differences in patterns of reiteration and collocation. We do not have to analyse the two texts in detail to see that there is far more repetition in the Arabic version than there is in the English text. For instance, company occurs only once in the English text; its Arabic equivalent sharika ‘company’ is repeated eight times. Similarly, colour(s) occurs three times in the English text; its Arabic equivalent occurs seven times. Some of the subtle associations created by a careful selection of lexical items in the English text are inevitably lost in the translation. The choice of items such as plant (rather than factory), qualities (rather than kinds or types), complementary (rather than matching colours), and select (rather than choose) plays a role in creating a certain image of Brintons and their products in the perception of the reader. These items, plus others such as discerning in the final sentence, collectively enhance the image of Brintons as a sophisticated company producing a select range of products. These subtle associations are lost in the Arabic version because the lexical
Brintons have been manufacturing fine quality woven carpet for over 200 years. They are a privately owned company specializing in Axminster and Wilton carpets, using wool-rich blends. They have a totally integrated operation from the preparation of the yarn through to the weaving process. All their products are made on looms designed and built by their own engineers, and recognized as the most technically superior weaving plant in the world. Brintons are one of the largest weavers with a production capacity in excess of 100,000 square metres per week.

The recently introduced New Tradition Axminster range is already creating great interest and will be on display at the Exhibition. New Tradition offers a fascinating series of traditional patterns in miniature using rich jewel-like colours that glow against dark backgrounds, suitable for a wide variety of heavy wear locations from hotels, restaurants and leisure areas to high quality residential situations.

The successful Finesse and Palace Design qualities will also be displayed. Both carpets have geometrically styled designs suitable for both residential and contract use. Palace Design also incorporates a border and plain range in complementary colours.

Other Brintons products suitable for the commercial world, such as Bell Twist, Heather Berber, Broadloop, Bell Trinity and Trident Tile will also be on display.

Brintons will be delighted to solve any carpeting problems as special designs and qualities can be produced for minimum quantities. Their standard range of colours offers over 200 possibilities for the discerning designer to select from.
Brintons company has been manufacturing the finest quality of woven carpets for over 200 years, and it is a private company, specializing in the production of Axminster and Wilton carpets in which enters a high percentage of wool. This and the company carries out all steps of production in its factories, from preparing the yarn to weaving it on looms designed and manufactured by the company engineers, and Brintons factories are considered the most advanced weaving factories from the technical aspect in the whole world, also Brintons company is considered among the largest weaving companies with a production capacity which exceeds 100,000 square metres per week.

The ‘New Tradition Axminster’ collection has aroused a high degree of interest since the company undertook its introduction recently, and it is among the types of carpets which will be displayed at the exhibition. The ‘New Tradition’ collection presents a number of fascinating traditional designs in a reduced size, in dazzling colours like the colours of gems, the glowing of which is increased by the dark backgrounds. And it is suitable for fitting in many commercial locations with heavy use, such as hotels and restaurants and leisure places and some residential locations of fine standard.

Also the exhibition includes samples of ‘Finesse’ and ‘Palace Design’ carpets which have been marketed with great success. And these two types of carpet are characterized by their geometrical designs and are suitable for use in both residential and commercial locations. This and the ‘Palace Design’ collection comprises several plain colours and designs in the shape of a border, the colours of which match the rest of the colours of the collection.

This and Brintons company will undertake to display several other types of carpet suitable for commercial use, such as ‘Bell Twist’ and ‘Heather Berber’ and ‘Broadloop’ and ‘Bell Trinity’ and ‘Trident Tile’.

Brintons company is pleased to assist you in solving any problems concerning carpets, as it can produce designs and special types in limited quantities, also the collection of colours available at the company exceeds 200 colours which allows any designer a big opportunity for choice.
structure of Arabic does not offer the translator the same range of choices; for instance, the distinction between *plantfactory* or *choosel select* does not exist in Arabic. Moreover, Arabic has no ready equivalents for *complementary* and *discerning*. The first item, *complementary*, is paraphrased in the Arabic version as ‘the colours of which match the rest of the colours of the collection’. A paraphrase of course cannot create the same kinds of association as a lexical item. The second item, *discerning*, is omitted altogether.

The above differences in patterns of reiteration and collocation do not mean that the Arabic text lacks lexical cohesion. We have noted, for instance, that there is an increase in the repetition of items such as ‘company’ and ‘colours’. The Arabic text, then, has its own networks of lexical cohesion but these do not match the networks created in the English text and do not trigger the same kinds of association in the mind of the target reader. Note that part of the lexical cohesion of any text is inevitably obscured by back-translation because it derives from the morphological structure of the language. For instance, in the Arabic version of the above text, cohesive links exist between the Arabic words for ‘display’ and ‘exhibition’, because they are derived from the same root. Unfortunately, links of this sort cannot be easily shown in back-translation.

One point that should be borne in mind is that languages differ in the level of lexical repetition they will normally tolerate. The above example suggests that Arabic tolerates a far higher level of lexical repetition than English. Greek seems to behave more like Arabic than English in this respect. Consider, for instance, the following extract from Hawking’s *A Brief History of Time* (1988: 1-2):

Most people would find the picture of our universe as an infinite tower of tortoises rather ridiculous, but why do we think we know better? What do we know about the universe, and how do we know it? Where did the universe come from, and where is it going? Did the universe have a beginning, and if so, what happened before then? What is the nature of time? Will it ever come to an end? Recent breakthroughs in physics, made possible in part by fantastic new technologies, suggest answers to some of these longstanding questions. Someday these answers may seem as obvious to us as the earth orbiting the sun – or perhaps as ridiculous as a tower of tortoises. Only time (whatever that may be) will tell.

If you examine the above extract, you will find that six lexical items (not including function words such as *the* and *where*) are repeated as follows:
know (3 times) universe (4) time (2)
answers (2) ridiculous (2) tower of tortoises (2)

The pattern in the Spanish translation (appendix 1) is very similar:
saber ‘know’ (2) universo ‘universe’ (4)
tiempo ‘time’ (3) respuestas ‘answers’ (2)
ridículo ‘ridiculous’ (2) torre de tortugas ‘tower of tortoises’ (2)

Compare this with the Greek translation (Appendix 1), where the number of items repeated (13) and the number of times that some items are repeated, for example ‘universe’ (6), are both much higher than in the English and Spanish texts:
anthropoi ‘people’ (2) ikona ‘picture’ (2)
simpan ‘universe’ (6) gnorizo ‘know’ (3)
iparkho ‘exist’ (6) ‘khranos ‘time’ (5)
arkhi ‘beginning’ (2) apandisi ‘answers’ (2)
yi ‘earth’ (3) evnoitos ‘obvious’ (2)
anoitios ‘silly’ (2) stirizo ‘supported’ (2)
apiri sira apo trapulo kharta ‘infinite series of cards’ (2)

As far as I can tell from talking to native speakers of Greek, the Greek version of Hawking’s book reads extremely well. It may be that one of the reasons it reads like an original is that it uses patterns of reiteration that are typical of Greek discourse instead of copying those of the English source text.

Reference, substitution, ellipsis, conjunction, and lexical cohesion are the devices identified by Halliday and Hasan for establishing cohesive links in English. These devices are probably common to a large number of languages. However, different languages have different preferences for using specific devices more frequently than others or in specific combinations which may not correspond to English patterns of cohesion. For instance, pronominalization is very frequent in English but is rarely used in Japanese and Chinese. Lexical repetition is far more frequent in Hebrew than it is in English (Berman, 1978; in Blum-Kulka, 1986: 19).

Cohesion is also achieved by a variety of devices other than those mentioned by Halliday and Hasan and discussed above. These include, for instance, continuity of tense, consistency of style, and punctuation devices such as colons and semi-colons, which, like conjunctions, indicate how different parts of the text relate to each other. It is worth noting here that unmotivated shifts in style, a common pitfall in translation, can seriously disrupt the cohesion and coherence (see Chapter 7) of a text.
Some languages have different or additional devices: for example, some languages such as Aguaruna use 'chaining', where part of the preceding information, for instance the predicate of the preceding sentence, is repeated in the following sentence (Larson, 1984).

The overall level of cohesion may also vary from one language to another; even within the same language, different texts will vary in the density of their cohesive ties. Vieira (1984, quoted in Blum-Kulka, 1986), suggests that Portuguese prefers a higher level of explicit cohesiveness than English. Cohesion contributes to patterns of redundancy and these vary both across languages and across text types. Explicit markers of cohesion raise the level of redundancy in text; their absence lowers it. Blum-Kulka notes that there is a general tendency in translation to raise the level of explicitness, that is, increase the level of redundancy in the target text and suggests that 'it might be the case that explicitation is a universal strategy inherent in the process of language mediation, as practiced by language learners, non-professional translators and professional translators alike' (1986: 21).

EXERCISES

1 Choose one cohesive device and explore its function in your source and target languages, preferably in a specific genre. To do this, start by looking at a number of original texts in the two languages and compare the use of the particular cohesive device in them. For instance, if you choose reference, note how participants and entities are typically traced in both texts: by pronominal reference, by repetition, by co-reference, etc. Next, look at a number of translated texts from the same genre. Compare patterns of cohesion in the translated target texts with those in the original ones. Comment on differences and, where necessary, suggest ways in which patterns of cohesion in the translated texts may be adjusted to reflect target language preferences.

   This is a time-consuming but useful exercise and is best done as a project. Its aim is to help you become familiar with cohesive devices typically used in your language and in the special types of text you hope to specialize in.

2 Imagine that you have been invited to join a team of translators to produce a version of the Macmillan Encyclopedia in your target language. Your assignment is to translate all the entries on people (rather than those on countries or political terms, for instance). You will therefore need to be particularly careful about handling
referential chains in your translated version. Below are a couple of typical entries from *The Macmillan Encyclopedia (1986)*:

**Elizabeth I** (1533–1603) Queen of England and Ireland (1558–1603), daughter of Henry VIII and Anne Boleyn. Her mother’s execution and Elizabeth’s imprisonment by Mary I made her cautious and suspicious but her devotion to England made her one of its greatest monarchs. Her religious compromise (1559–63) established Protestantism in England (see Reformation). Several plots to place her Roman Catholic cousin, Mary, Queen of Scots, on the throne led to Mary’s execution (1587). England won a great naval victory in 1588 by destroying the Spanish Armada. Elizabeth never married and was called the Virgin Queen, although her relationships with, among others, the Earl of Leicester and the 2nd Earl of Essex caused considerable speculation.

**Van Gogh, Vincent** (1853–90) Dutch postimpressionist painter, born at Zundert, the son of a pastor. He worked as an art dealer, a teacher in England, and a missionary among coalminers before taking up painting in about 1880. His early works were chiefly drawings of peasants. After a limited training in The Hague and in Antwerp, where he studied the works of Rubens and Japanese prints, he moved to Paris (1886). Here he briefly adopted the style of impressionism and later of pointillism. In Arles in 1888 he painted his best-known works – orchards, sunflowers, and the local postman and his family – but only one painting was sold during his lifetime. The visit of his friend Gauguin ended in a quarrel during which Van Gogh cut off part of his own left ear. In 1889 he entered a mental asylum at Saint Rémy. The ominous *Wheatfield with Crows* (Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam) was painted shortly before his suicide. His letters to his brother (Theo) contain the best account of his life and work. See expressionism.

Translate the above entries into your target language, paying particular attention to the ways in which different participants are traced in each entry. Comment on any differences in patterns of reference in the source and target versions of each entry.

3 The following is an extract from a Minority Rights Group Report on Lebanon.

It might initially seem puzzling for a Minority Rights Group Report to examine a whole country as a minority problem. Yet
there can be few countries which can claim to be so deeply and intrinsically composed of minorities as Lebanon – especially one so small that it could fit into one quarter of Switzerland. There is not a single resident in Lebanon who cannot, in one sense or another, truthfully claim to belong to a minority. It is the conflicting aspirations and fears of these different components of Lebanese society confined in a small and rapidly urbanizing area which lie at the heart of the continuing crisis in Lebanon today.

Outside the Lebanon the international media have frequently portrayed the conflicts within this unhappy country as the product of Christian-Muslim hatred, or in the political arena as a contest between the Left and Right, or as the product of outside (normally Palestinian or Syrian) subversion. These interpretations can be crude and dangerously misleading, but they tend to be repeated time and again, doing little to assist international understanding of Lebanon’s ills. The non-Lebanese ingredients to the conflict, the Syrian, Israeli and Palestinian armed presence and the interference of the two super-powers have certainly exacerbated the conflict, but none of them started it. Civil conflict feeds on internal divisions, and had these not existed the Lebanese people would undoubtedly have closed ranks against the behaviour of their neighbours. Despite the departure of the PLO from Beirut and south Lebanon, which some wishful thinkers believed would presage an end to the conflict in Lebanon, no such thing has happened and the main Lebanese contestants during the Civil War period 1975–77 seem as much at loggerheads as ever.

It is not the primary cause of this paper to explain the Civil War, or indeed the two Israeli invasions of Lebanon in 1978 and 1982. Rather, its purpose is to provide a background to the hopes, fears and aspirations of these communities which have, all of them, already suffered too much. People in Lebanon have very long memories indeed, and their outlook can be considerably influenced by community experience – even centuries ago. For this reason I have given what may, to some, seem like undue attention to the past.

(McDowall, 1983: 7)

Study the above extract carefully, paying particular attention to the use of (a) conjunctions and the way they structure the argument, and (b) networks of lexical cohesion and the images and associations they trigger off in the mind of the reader.
Imagine that you have been asked to translate the above extract for inclusion in a review of the MRG report, to be published in one of the leading newspapers in your country. Translate the text into your target language and comment on any differences in the use of cohesive devices. If you decide to make adjustments that lead to noticeable departure from the content or structure of the argument, justify your decision by reference to the purpose for which the translation is required.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING


NOTES

1 Throughout this chapter, I use 'network' in its non-technical sense and not as a systemic term.

2 Halliday and Hasan (1976: 9) suggest that while cohesive ties do exist within a sentence, 'it is the intersentence cohesion that is significant, because that represents the variable aspect of cohesion, distinguishing one text from another. But this should not obscure the fact that cohesion is not, strictly speaking, a relation "above the sentence". It is a relation to which the sentence, or any other form of grammatical structure, is simply irrelevant.'

   The definition of 'sentence' is problematic even in English, with its highly developed punctuation system. In some languages, the notion of sentence is even more elusive. For instance, full stops in Arabic often occur only at the end of paragraphs, so that a whole paragraph will often consist of one very long 'sentence'. Even Halliday and Hasan, who argue that the notion of sentence is essentially valid, admit that the punctuation system in general is very flexible and that 'the sentence itself is a very indeterminate category' (1976: 232). The discussion of cohesion in this chapter will therefore not be restricted to intersentential ties.

3 The Portuguese text is much shorter than the English, possibly because of restrictions on space.

4 Arabic makes heavy use of pronominal suffixes but not of independent pronouns. All pronouns in this text are suffixed to verbs, nouns, or prepositions; they are not freestanding as the back-translation suggests.

   Independent pronouns are not generally used to trace participants in
Arabic discourse. They are mainly used to signal emphasis or contrast. Occasionally, they may be used to disambiguate reference, a function normally realized by lexical repetition in English.

5 The boundary between conjunctive and coordinating and is generally fuzzy, but it is even more so in Arabic, where it is often very difficult to distinguish between the two.

6 Unlike the first Nun, (fourth paragraph in the German text; see Appendix 2), this second Nun is not adversative. The difference in meaning is highlighted by the use of a comma after the second Nun. Commas usually delineate clauses in German and are rarely used at phrase level, unless there is a good reason for doing so.

7 This may have something to do with the complexity of German syntax. It may be that conjunctions are needed to disentangle the relationships between chunks of text embedded in long and complex structures.
7 Pragmatic equivalence

On the question of what kind of contrastive studies we need as a basis for the training of translators, I say: no linguistic contrastive system so far proposed will do. We need to get away from the linguistic organization and look at reality, precisely because that reality is encoded in situations and texts for the translator and not in languages. He is not concerned with what the language encoding is or ought not to be. The fact that he thinks he is and makes mistakes thereby is another matter.

(Denison; twelfth and concluding discussion in Grähs et al., 1978: 348)

the text cannot be considered as a static specimen of language (an idea still dominant in practical translation classes), but essentially as the verbalized expression of an author’s intention as understood by the translator as reader, who then recreates this whole for another readership in another culture.

(Snell-Hornby, 1988: 2)

In the final chapter of this book we conclude our discussion of language and translation with a brief look at how a given text comes to ‘make sense’ to a given readership. In doing this, we will be venturing beyond the textual level of connecting sentences and paragraphs together and identifying various textual features. Here, we will be concerned with the way utterances are used in communicative situations and the way we interpret them in context. This is a highly complex but fascinating area of language study, known as pragmatics. Pragmatics is the study of language in use. It is the study of meaning, not as generated by the linguistic system but as conveyed and manipulated by participants in a communicative situation. Of the variety of notions that are central to this particular area of language study, I have chosen two which I believe to be particularly helpful in
exploring the question of 'making sense' and in highlighting areas of
difficulty in cross-cultural communication. These are coherence and
implicature. Those interested in exploring this area further will find
references to other relevant notions in the notes at the end of this
chapter.

7.1 COHERENCE

7.1.1 Coherence vs cohesion

Like cohesion, coherence is a network of relations which organize
and create a text: cohesion is the network of surface relations which
link words and expressions to other words and expressions in a text,
and coherence is the network of conceptual relations which underlie
the surface text. Both concern the way stretches of language are
connected to each other. In the case of cohesion, stretches of
language are connected to each other by virtue of lexical and
grammatical dependencies. In the case of coherence, they are con-
ected by virtue of conceptual or meaning dependencies as perceived
by language users. Hoey (1991: 12) sums up the difference between
cohesion and coherence as follows:

We will assume that cohesion is a property of the text and that
coherence is a facet of the reader's evaluation of a text. In other
words, cohesion is objective, capable in principle of automa-
tic recognition, while coherence is subjective and judgements
concerning it may vary from reader to reader.

We could say that cohesion is the surface expression of coherence
relations, that it is a device for making conceptual relations explicit.
For instance, a conjunction such as therefore may express a concep-
tual notion of reason or consequence. However, if the reader cannot
perceive an underlying semantic relation of reason or consequence
between the propositions connected by therefore, s/he will not be able
to make sense of the text in question; in other words, the text will
not 'cohere' for this particular reader. Generally speaking, the mere
presence of cohesive markers cannot create a coherent text; cohesive
markers have to reflect conceptual relations which make sense.
Enkvist (1978b: 110–11) gives an example of a highly cohesive text
which is nevertheless incoherent:

I bought a Ford. The car in which President Wilson rode down the
Champs Elysees was black. Black English has been widely dis-
cussed. The discussions between the presidents ended last week. A
week has seven days. Every day I feed my cat. Cats have four legs. The cat is on the mat. Mat has three letters.

The possibility of creating a semblance of cohesion which is not supported by underlying semantic relations is sometimes exploited in a few restricted genres, for instance in comedy. However, the fact that we cannot normally make sense of stretches of language like the one quoted above, in spite of the presence of a number of cohesive markers, suggests that what actually gives texture to a stretch of language is not the presence of cohesive markers but our ability to recognize underlying semantic relations which establish continuity of sense. The main value of cohesive markers seems to be that they can be used to facilitate and possibly control the interpretation of underlying semantic relations.

7.1.2 Is coherence a feature of text or situation?

No text is inherently coherent or incoherent. In the end, it all depends on the receiver, and on his ability to interpret the indications present in the discourse so that, finally, he manages to understand it in a way which seems coherent to him – in a way which corresponds with his idea of what it is that makes a series of actions into an integrated whole.

(Charolles, 1983: 95)

The ability to make sense of a stretch of language depends on the hearer’s or reader’s expectations and experience of the world. Different societies, and indeed different individuals and groups of individuals within the same society, have different experiences of the world and different views on the way events and situations are organized or related to each other. A network of relations which is valid and makes sense in one society may not be valid in another. This is not just a question of agreeing or disagreeing with a certain view of the world but of being able to make sense of it in the first place. Whether a text is judged as acceptable or not does not depend on how closely it corresponds to some state of affairs in the world, but rather on whether the reader finds the presented version of reality believable, homogeneous, or relevant.

The coherence of a text is a result of the interaction between knowledge presented in the text and the reader’s own knowledge and experience of the world, the latter being influenced by a variety of factors such as age, sex, race, nationality, education, occupation, and political and religious affiliations. Even a simple cohesive relation of
co-reference cannot be recognized, and therefore cannot be said to contribute to the coherence of a text, if it does not fit in with a reader’s prior knowledge of the world. Consider, for instance, the following extract from A Hero from Zero (p. i) where Tiny Rowland gives an account of how he lost control of the House of Fraser:

The purchasing power of the proposed fifteen hundred shop outlets would have meant excellent price reductions to customers across Britain and the United States. The flagship, Harrods, had never been integrated with the rest and would demerge to retain its particular character and choice.

It’s often written, as a handy journalist’s tag, that I suffered from an obsession to control the splendid Knightsbridge store. It would be a very static and limited aim, I think. For Lonrho’s purpose, it could have been any well-spread stores group. It was chance, and also roulette, that brought Hugh Fraser, the seller, and Lonrho, the buyer, together in 1977.

There is no explicit cohesive relation in the above extract which tells us that Harrods and the splendid Knightsbridge store refer to the same thing, except perhaps the use of the definite article in the splendid Knightsbridge store and the synonymy between shop outlets and store (but even that depends for its interpretation on recognizing that Harrods is a shop or store of some sort). There is no pronominal reference, for instance, or direct repetition. The relation between the two, and therefore the continuity of sense between the two paragraphs, is, of course, perfectly accessible to any British reader as well as to anyone who is familiar with the famous Harrods store and knows that it is in Knightsbridge. In translating a document like this, however, one cannot take it for granted that the target reader will have the necessary background knowledge to interpret the co-reference successfully, unless, of course, the translation is aimed at expatriate or immigrant communities in Britain. The Arabic translation provides an explicit link through repetition of ‘store’. This makes it clear that Harrods is a store and also establishes continuity of sense in the mind of the target reader by linking Harrods in the first paragraph and the splendid Knightsbridge store in the second:

Arabic translation:
وكان القدرة السلالية المتجمعة لدى 150 ملاك مماثلا
تفعيلات متتالية في الأسعار بالنسبة للفئتين في جميع
الأماكن البريطانية والولايات المتحدة. أما المكتبر الرئيسي
هارودز فلم يسم إلى بقية المتاجر، وحافظ على
المجموعة لا لإبقاء على طابعه المميز ومجالات الاختيار
المتوفرة فيه.
Back-translation:

The combined purchasing power of 1500 stores meant excellent reductions in prices for buyers in all parts of Britain and the United States. As for the main store Harrods, it was not integrated with the rest of the stores and was kept separate from the rest in order to retain its distinctive character and the areas of choice available in it.

It has often been written about me in journalistic circles that I suffer from a sick obsession which pushes me to try and control the splendid Knightsbridge store . . .

We could perhaps say that texts are neither coherent nor incoherent by themselves, that whether a text coheres or not depends on the ability of the reader to make sense of it by relating it to what s/he already knows or to a familiar world, whether this world is real or fictional. A text which coheres for one reader may therefore not cohere for another. Different linguists have different views as to whether this phenomenon implies that meaning is a property of a text or a property of a communicative situation involving participants and settings in addition to a text. Blum-Kulka’s definition of coherence as ‘a covert potential meaning relationship among parts of a text, made overt by the reader or listener through processes of interpretation’ (1986: 17) implies that she sees meaning, or coherence, as a property of a text, even though it is only accessible through processes of interpretation. Sinclair (personal communication) similarly states that processes such as ‘the recall of past experience and knowledge of the world . . . are not part of the meaning of a text, but part of the human apparatus for working out the meaning of a text’, which again suggests that meaning exists in texts but can only be accessed through various processes of interpretation on the part of the reader. By contrast, Firth (1964: 111) asserts that ‘“meaning” is a property of the mutually relevant people, things, events in the situation’, and Kirsten Malmkjær (personal communication) does not accept the view that meaning is in text and suggests instead that ‘meanings arise in situations involving language’.
Whether one holds the view that meaning exists in text or in situations involving text in addition to other variables such as participants and settings, one cannot deny that a reader's cultural and intellectual background determine how much sense s/he gets out of a text. In the final analysis, a reader can only make sense of a text by analysing the linguistic elements which constitute it against the backdrop of his/her own knowledge and experience. It therefore seems reasonable to suggest that, regardless of whether meaning is a property of text or situation, coherence is not a feature of text as such but of the judgement made by a reader on a text. As far as translation is concerned, this means that the range and type of difficulties encountered will not so much depend on the source text itself as 'on the significance of the translated text for its readers as members of a certain culture, or of a sub-group within that culture, with the constellation of knowledge, judgement and perception they have developed from it' (Snell-Hornby, 1988: 42). Even when addressing members of their own linguistic community, writers will word their messages differently depending on the nature of the audience they have in mind, whether it consists of adults or children, specialists or non-specialists, and so on. Like any writer, a translator has to take account of the range of knowledge available to his/her target readers and of the expectations they are likely to have about such things as the organization of the world, the organization of language in general, the organization and conventions of particular text types, the structure of social relations, and the appropriateness or inappropriateness of certain kinds of linguistic and non-linguistic behaviour, among other things. These are all factors which affect the coherence of a text in varying degrees because, as human beings, we can only make sense of new information in terms of our own knowledge, beliefs, and previous experience of both linguistic and non-linguistic events.

7.2 Coherence and processes of interpretation: implicature

Charolles (1983) suggests that a reader may see a certain continuity of sense between parts of an utterance and still fail to understand it fully (inasmuch as it is possible to understand any stretch of language 'fully'). Consider, for instance, the following stretch of language:

I went to the cinema. The beer was good.

This is a perfectly coherent, if decontextualized, piece of language. Charolles explains that anyone who hears or reads it will reach the
following interpretation: the speaker says that s/he went to the cinema, that s/he drank beer at the cinema, and that the beer in question was good. Note that we naturally provide the necessary links to render the discourse coherent. There is nothing in the above utterance which tells us explicitly that the speaker drank the beer or that s/he did so at the cinema. Charolles calls this type of minimal coherence *supplemental coherence*. He suggests that there is another type of coherence, which he calls *explanatory coherence*, which not only establishes continuity of senses but, unlike supplemental coherence, also *justifies* it. The difference between supplemental interpretations and explanatory interpretations, Charolles suggests, is that

the former never lead to the explication of a thematic continuity (they indicate that an element is repeated from one segment to another), whereas the latter justify this continuity (they lead to the manifestation of the reason why a certain thing is said supplementally about an element).

(1983: 93)

Explanatory coherence is achieved when, given the right context and the necessary knowledge of setting and participants, one can reach an interpretation such as this: the speaker says s/he went to the cinema. The film s/he saw was bad — so bad that the only good thing s/he can find to say about it is that the beer s/he drank there was good. But how does a speaker signal or a hearer interpret this kind of implied meaning? How do we achieve explanatory coherence?

One of the most important notions which have emerged in text studies in recent years is that of *implicature* — the question of how it is that we come to understand more than is actually said. Grice (1975) uses the term *implicature* to refer to what the speaker means or implies rather than what s/he literally says. Implicature is not to be confused with non-literal meaning, for instance with idiomatic meaning. Idiomatic meaning is conventional and its interpretation depends on a good mastery of the linguistic system in question rather than on a successful interpretation of a particular speaker’s intended or implied meaning in a given context. For instance, in the following exchange

A: Shall we go for a walk?
B: Could I take a rain check on that?

the successful interpretation of B’s response depends on knowing the conventional meaning of *take a rain check* in American English (*to
In other words

decline to accept an offer or invitation immediately but indicate willingness to accept it at a later date'). No conversational implicature is involved here. Compare this with a similar exchange which does not involve the use of an idiom:

A: Shall we go for a walk?
B: It's raining.

How does A, or anyone observing the scene, know how to relate the utterance 'It's raining' – a mere comment on the weather – to the question of going for a walk? Why do we assume that 'It's raining' is meant as an answer to the above question? One answer which has already been suggested is that we do it in order to maintain the assumption of coherence. If we do accept it as an answer, how do we know how to interpret it? Does it mean 'No, we'd better not because it's raining', 'OK, but we'd better take an umbrella', or perhaps 'Yes – we both like walking in the rain'? Note also that the same utterance It's raining can mean something totally different in a different context:

A: What is Jane up to these days?
B: It's raining!

Here, Speaker A would probably interpret B's comment on the weather as meaning something like 'I don't want to talk about this subject' or possibly, depending on B's tone of voice and facial expression, 'You're out of line – you shouldn't be asking me this question'.

Grice suggests that a speaker can signal an implied meaning conventionally or non-conventionally. To signal an implied meaning conventionally, a speaker uses the textual resources which are conventionally understood to signal certain relationships between propositions. Conjunctions such as therefore, because, and in spite of are one such textual resource. Grammatical structure is another. For instance, in 'It's money that they want' the grammatical structure itself conventionally presupposes what is expressed in the subordinate clause, in this case 'they want something' (see discussion of information structure in Chapter 5, section 5.1.2).

But how does a speaker signal (or a hearer interpret) meaning which is not conventionally coded in the language? Before I proceed to give an account of Grice's answer to this question, I have to point out that Grice is not primarily concerned with written text. In fact, he does not only restrict his comments to spoken exchanges, he restricts them to a very small sub-set of these – namely question/
answer sequences. There is no doubt that Grice’s preoccupation with speech means that his views are sometimes difficult to relate to written communication. Although speech and writing share many features, they are not the same thing. Having said that, I believe that Grice’s views do have important applications in translation. Therefore propose to play down the inadequacy of Grice’s theory of implicature in terms of its application to written discourse in order to explore its general relevance to translation.

Grice suggests that discourse has certain important features: for instance, it is connected (i.e. it does not consist of unrelated sequences); it has a purpose; and it is a co-operative effort. These features give rise to a general principle of communication, the Co-operative Principle, which participants are expected to observe:

Make your conversational contribution such as is required, at the stage at which it occurs, by the accepted purpose or direction of the talk exchange in which you are engaged.

(Grice, 1975: 45)

Implied meaning which is not signalled conventionally derives from the Co-operative Principle and a number of maxims associated with it: Quantity, Quality, Relevance (Relation), and Manner:

1 Quantity
   (a) Make your contribution as informative as is required (for the current purposes of the exchange).
   (b) Do not make your contribution more informative than is required.

2 Quality
   ‘Try to make your contribution one that is true’, specifically:
   (a) Do not say what you believe to be false.
   (b) Do not say that for which you lack adequate evidence.

3 Relevance
   Make your contributions relevant to the current exchange.

4 Manner
   Be perspicuous, specifically:
   (a) Avoid obscurity of expression.
   (b) Avoid ambiguity.
   (c) Be brief (avoid unnecessary prolixity).
   (d) Be orderly.

The principles outlined above provide points of orientation rather than strict rules which have to be followed by language users. We can and do refuse to adhere to the maxims in some situations: for
instance, a participant may try to avoid adhering to one or more of the maxims in order to evade a topic or question. This is often the case in political interviews. In spoken discourse, the other participant can always request that the maxims be adhered to. Blum-Kulka (1983) gives several such examples from political interviews on Israeli television. When an interviewer says to Mr Peres 'Mr Peres, if we can get down to concrete facts ...' (1983: 138), he is in effect invoking the maxims of manner and relation by asking Mr Peres to address the point being raised. Apart from attempting to evade an issue, Grice's maxims provide a point of orientation for participants even when they are flouted, so that flouting them is recognized as a way of exploiting the convention in order to convey an intended meaning. This is explained in more detail below. For the moment, it is sufficient to note that conversational maxims and the implicatures that result from observing or flouting them are adapted to serve the purpose of the communication in hand. This purpose will vary according to the situation and participants: it may be conveying information, influencing the opinions or emotions of hearers, directing their actions, and so on.

Now, if as language users we recognize and generally abide by something like Grice's Co-operative Principle, then the reason we assume that an utterance which follows a question provides an answer to that question becomes obvious: we assume that both addressee and addressee are operating the Co-operative Principle, and in particular the maxim of Relevance. We will therefore go out of our way to find an interpretation that will connect it to the previous utterance. We attribute relevance to what we hear and read even when it appears, on the surface, to be unrelated to the preceding discourse and regardless of whether a relation is explicitly signalled. For example, on hearing or seeing the statement

Elizabeth is putting on a lot of weight. She smokes very heavily

we will naturally strive to relate the two propositions somehow. We may infer that the speaker implies that Elizabeth is putting on a lot of weight because she is smoking too heavily, or the other way round: that she is smoking too heavily because she is putting on a lot of weight, perhaps as a way of controlling her appetite. A less likely, but nevertheless feasible, inference is that Elizabeth is putting on a lot of weight in spite of the fact that she is smoking too heavily. Yet another possible inference would be that Elizabeth is letting herself go, her health is on the decline, she is not looking after herself as she should do. Pragmatic inferences of this type are essential to
maintaining the coherence of discourse. Levinson (1983) overstates the case a little when he suggests that such inferences arise to preserve the assumption of co-operation and that without them many adjacent utterances would appear to be unrelated to each other or to the discourse in hand. Nevertheless, there is a great deal of truth in what he says. Which inferences we do draw will, of course depend on a variety of factors such as our knowledge of the world, of such things as the relationship between smoking, appetite, and weight; our knowledge of participants in the discourse, of the speaker, and of Elizabeth; our knowledge of and fluency in the specific language being used, and so on.

Implicatures, then, are pragmatic inferences which allow us to achieve something like Charolles’ explanatory coherence. They are aspects of meaning which are over and above the literal and conventional meaning of an utterance and they depend for their interpretation on a recognition of the Co-operative Principle and its maxims. Apart from observing the maxims, a language user can deliberately flout a maxim and in doing so produce what Grice calls a conversational implicature. For instance, if used as a genuine question the utterance *Do you know what time it is?* conveys the meaning ‘I do not know the time; I wish to know the time’. Levinson (1983) calls this type of meaning a standard implicature. If the same utterance is used as a rhetorical question, in the right context and with the appropriate intonation, it could convey a meaning such as ‘You are very late’. This is what Grice would call a conversational implicature. It is achieved by flouting the maxim of Quality which demands sincerity. Conversational implicature can be conveyed by flouting any or several of the maxims. To use one of Grice’s examples: imagine that a professor of philosophy is asked to supply a testimonial for a candidate for a philosophical job. S/he replies that the candidate’s manners are impeccable and his/her handwriting is extremely legible. How does the addressee interpret this testimonial? Knowing that the professor in question is in a position to comment directly on the candidate’s strengths and weaknesses in the area of philosophy but apparently refuses to do so, s/he must still assume that the professor is observing the maxims, particularly the maxim of Relevance. According to Grice, what is implicated by the speaker ‘would be what he might expect the hearer to suppose him to think in order to preserve the idea that the maxims are, after all, not being violated’ (1981: 185). The addressee therefore infers that the professor is implying something by his/her reply, in this case that the candidate is no good at philosophy.
The Co-operative Principle and its maxims can account for the fact that we do not abandon contributions such as those described above as irrelevant, but they do not directly explain how we arrive at a particular inference or, in Grice's terms, a conversational implicature\(^2\). This is a difficult topic which remains largely unresolved. For one thing, conversational implicatures are often indeterminate. For another, an utterance may be open to several possible interpretations. This may or may not be intentional on the part of the speaker. In either case, it complicates the task of the translator who may knowingly or unknowingly eliminate certain possible interpretations of the original from the target text. S/he may even inadvertently give rise to other interpretations which are not derivable from the original text. Both situations can arise because of constraints imposed on the translator by the structure of the target language, the nature of the target audience, and the conventions of the target culture.

Indeterminacy aside, Grice details a number of factors which can contribute to our success or failure in working out implicatures. These are:

1. the conventional meaning of the words and structures used (i.e. a mastery of the language system), together with the identity of any references that may be involved;
2. the Co-operative Principle and its maxims;
3. the context, linguistic or otherwise, of the utterance;
4. other items of background knowledge; and
5. the fact (or supposed fact) that all relevant items falling under the previous headings are available to both participants and both participants know or assume this to be the case.

7.3 COHERENCE, IMPLICATURE, AND TRANSLATION STRATEGIES

Let us now look at the above factors in some detail. Grice himself suggested them as, in his own words, 'data' on which 'the hearer will reply' in working out whether a particular conversational implicature is present (1975: 50). I believe they also provide a good basis for exploring the whole question of coherence. The following discussion will therefore consider how these factors might relate not only to working out implicatures but to the question of coherence in general and to common problems and strategies in translation.

For an alternative view of inferential processes in communication see Sperber and Wilson (1986).
7.3.1 The conventional meanings of words and structures and the identity of references

7.3.1.1 The conventional meanings of words and structures

This is an obvious point. If we do not understand the meanings of the words and structures used in a text, we cannot work out its implied meanings. Knowledge of the language system may not be sufficient but it is essential if one is to understand what is going on in any kind of verbal communication. This means that any mistranslation of words and structures in the source text may well affect the calculability of implicatures in the target text. An example of this was given in Chapter 3, repeated here for convenience. The example is from *A Hero from Zero* (p. 59):

All this represents only a part of all that Forbes Magazine reported on Fayed in the March issue mentioned before. In 1983, he had approached the industrialist Robert O. Anderson under the cover of a commission agent. The industrialist had been struck by his appearance as someone with modest means. Mr. Anderson was therefore astonished by his sudden acquisition of a considerable fortune.

The mistranslation of the description of Mohamed Fayed's appearance in the Arabic text, where *modest means* was rendered as 'his appearance suggests modesty and simplicity', makes the original implicature quite incalculable. The reader of both source and target texts must assume that the writer's description of Fayed's appearance is relevant and is meant to be as informative as is necessary for the purposes of the communication. The writer cannot be disregarding the maxims of Relevance and Quantity unless the Co-operative Principle is not being adhered to, and there is no reason to suspect that it is not. Therefore, the writer is implying something by describing Fayed's appearance. Given the co-text and context of the above extract and the relevant background knowledge, most readers of the source text will infer that Fayed has come to wealth suddenly and, quite possibly, by dishonest means. This implicature is difficult to calculate in Arabic because of the mistranslation of *modest means*. The Arab reader is left feeling somewhat unsure of how to interpret the favourable description of Fayed as simple and modest in a context which otherwise seems to suggest that he is anything but a 'nice person'.

As well as the conventional meaning of words, each language also
employs conventionalized expressions and patterns of conveying implicatures. In other words, in every language there will be conventional associations between certain linguistic patterns and certain inferable meanings. These patterns are identifiable and are sometimes recorded in grammars. They are not necessarily associated with the same range of meanings in other languages. For instance, rhetorical questions such as Isn’t that an ugly building? (instead of ‘This is an ugly building’) or How can you be so cruel? (instead of ‘You are very cruel’) are regularly used in English to express a range of emotive meanings such as indignation, shock, and amusement. (COBUILD English Grammar; Sinclair, 1990: 205–6). Fixed expressions modelled on rhetorical questions, such as Haven’t you done well? or Don’t I know it?, are often ironic. Likewise, far from being a literal request for feedback, the expression Correct me if I’m wrong … suggests ‘I know I’m right’ (Duff, 1990) and can therefore be quite irritating. Typographic features also play a role in conveying certain implicatures. In English, the use of inverted commas around a word or expression in the body of a text can suggest a range of implied meanings. It can suggest disagreement with the way a word or expression is used, emphasis, irony, or tentativeness about the appropriateness or applicability of an expression. Other languages may prefer to convey similar meanings lexically or grammatically. Problems arise in translation when the function of such patterns is not recognized and a literal or near-literal transfer of form distorts the original implicature or conveys a different one. For example, Loveday (1982b: 364) explains that in Japanese ‘it is generally regarded as unrefined to clearly mark the end of one’s utterance, and so the ending is frequently left hanging with a word like “nevertheless”. A literal translation of this type of pattern into English would no doubt confuse a reader and may encourage him/her to read more into the utterance than is intended.

7.3.1.2 The identity of any references that may be involved

The ability to identify references to participants and entities is essential for drawing inferences and for maintaining the coherence of a text. A proper name or even a reference to a type of food or gadget which is unknown to the reader can disrupt the continuity of the text and obscure the relevance of any statement associated with it. Many of the examples discussed under translation by cultural substitution in Chapter 2 illustrate the translator’s awareness of this problem and offer one type of strategy for overcoming it. A further
example is given below, from *A Hero from Zero*. The source language of this particular extract is French. It is the opening paragraph of an article on Mohamed Fayed which appeared in one of Haiti's leading daily newspapers, *Le Matin*. The article is translated into English and incorporated into the English source document. The Arabic version of the article may have been translated from the French original or the English translation.

French source text:


(p. 51)

English translation:

It’s been quite a few years since we have heard him mentioned. And then, in its 7th March 1988 issue, the American Forbes Magazine painted his true picture. The description of ‘oriental adventurer’ given to him by a Haitian paper edited by members of the ‘Diaspora’ in New York is nothing in relation to what he really is. Indeed, he would frighten even Arsene Lupin. (*A French version of Boris Karloff.*)

Arabic translation:

فالحق أنه شخصية كبيرة بأن يغيف ارسين لوبيين نفسه.

For the truth is that he is a character capable of frightening Arsene Lupin himself.

(p. 67)

The reference to Arsene Lupin in the above extract is not likely to cause a problem to the average Arab reader, or at least the Arab translator does not seem to think it would. Most of Arsene Lupin’s stories are translated into Arabic and his name will probably suggest the familiar image of a resourceful and cunning thief. The Arabic translation therefore does not provide an elaboration of the reference. By contrast, Arsene Lupin is virtually unknown to the average
English reader. The English translator attempts to bridge the gap between the textual world and the world of the target reader by explaining the unfamiliar (Arsene Lupin) in terms of the familiar (Boris Karloff). The strategy itself is fine, but Arsene Lupin has very little in common with Boris Karloff. The former is the hero of a series of French detective-type stories: a thief; flamboyant, resourceful, and elusive, but nevertheless a thief. The latter is a British actor associated mainly with horror films.

Identifying reference is not just a question of identifying roughly who or what the referent is but, crucially, of knowing enough about the referent to interpret the particular associations it is meant to trigger in our minds in a given context. Referents are not featureless beings and entities; they have specific histories, physical and social features, and are associated with particular contexts. It is the ability to interpret the significance of a given reference and the way it links with other features of the context and co-text that contributes to the continuity of sense or coherence of a text and enables us to draw any intended implicatures. The distinction between identifying reference and other items of background knowledge (7.3.4. below) is perhaps not a useful one to draw.

The ability to identify a referent may also be influenced by one’s perspective. In the following example from China’s Panda Reserves (Appendix 3), ‘we’ is ambiguous in the Chinese translation.

**English source text:**

Many of the species growing wild here are familiar to us as plants cultivated in European gardens – species like this exotic lily.

**Back-translation of Chinese text:**

<With> many varieties of the wild life here we are very familiar, <they> are the kinds grown in European gardens – varieties like this strange unique lily flower.

The Chinese reader may find it difficult to identify the referent of ‘we’, particularly since it contrasts with ‘European gardens’. It may not be clear whether the text is written from the European or Chinese perspective.

### 7.3.2 The Co-operative Principle and its maxims

Grice suggests that the Co-operative Principle and its maxims are not arbitrary but are a feature of any rational behaviour, be it linguistic
or non-linguistic. He gives examples of non-linguistic events in which all the maxims are seen to apply as they would in any verbal encounter. If someone is assisting you to mend a car and you ask for four screws, you do not expect him/her to hand you two or six (Quantity); if you are mixing ingredients for a cake you do not expect to be handed a good book (Relevance), and so on. This suggests that the Co-operative Principle and its maxims are universal, on the assumption that linguistic behaviour is just one type of rational behaviour and that all human beings are rational. Levinson (1983) seems to support this suggestion. However, not all linguists would accept it quite so readily, and there is, in fact, some evidence to the contrary. Bible translators who regularly work with languages and cultures considerably different from those in the centre of linguistic and academic enquiry are quicker to voice their suspicion and to consider the possibility that the Co-operative Principle and its maxims are not universal. Thomson (1982: 11) considers the possibility that

a certain type of implicature, say quality implicature, is never used by the speakers of a particular language, or that the contexts in which a type of implicature will be used will differ from one language community to the next.

Even within the same cultural and linguistic community, there are sometimes special contexts in which one or more of the maxims do not apply. The maxim of Quantity is usually in abeyance in adversarial court questioning (Levinson, 1983). Here, it is mutually understood that it is the legal counsel's job to extract damaging statements from the defendant and that the latter's job is to resist that. This is an example of a non-cooperative context in which one participant, the defendant, tries to be as uncooperative as possible.

There is also the question of whether the list of maxims proposed by Grice is exhaustive and whether the maxims have the same value in different cultures. Grice himself conceded that the four maxims do not represent an exhaustive list and suggested that other maxims such as 'Be polite' may be added. In some cultures, 'Be polite' indeed seems to override all other maxims. Loveday (1982b: 364) explains that "'No" almost constitutes a term of abuse in Japanese and equivocation, exiting or even lying is preferred to its use." If this is true, it would suggest that the maxims of Quality and Manner are easily overridden by considerations of politeness in some cultures. At any rate, it certainly seems to cause cross-cultural difficulties, with serious consequences in some cases. When President Nixon
expressed his worries about excessive Japanese textile exports to the United States to Premier Sato in 1970, ‘Sato answered zen sho shimasu, a phrase literally translated as “I’ll handle it as well as I can”. To Nixon, this meant, “I’ll take care of it”, that is Sato would settle the problem and find some way to curtail the exports. To Sato, however, it was merely a polite way of ending the conversation’ (Gibney, quoted in Loveday, 1982a: 14).

Politeness is a relativistic notion and different cultures therefore have different norms of ‘polite’ behaviour. They also have different ideas about what is and what is not a ‘taboo’ area. Sex, religion, and defecation are taboo subjects in many societies, but not necessarily to the same degree within similar situations. In some translation contexts, being polite can be far more important than being accurate. A translator may decide to omit or replace whole stretches of text which violate the reader’s expectations of how a taboo subject should be handled – if at all – in order to avoid giving offence. For example, if translated ‘accurately’ into Arabic, the following extract from Arab Political Humour by Kishtainy would no doubt be very offensive to the average Arab reader for whom God is not a subject of ridicule and sexual organs are strictly taboo:

The intricate and delicate configuration of the characters of the Arabic alphabet together with the customary omission of the vowels helped to create endless jibes and jokes which are completely confined to the Arabic reader. You only need a tiny dot, for example, to turn the letter R into Z. With the playful or accidental addition of such a dot the word rabbi (my God) can be turned into zubbi (my penis)! The door was thus opened for one satirical wit to make his dutiful comment and correct an otherwise unwarranted statement. Some humble person married a rich widow with whose money he built himself an imposing mansion which he piously adorned with the legend, carefully engraved over the door, ‘Such are the blessings of my God’ (Hada min fadl rabbi). The local wit hastened under cover of darkness to put matters right by adding the missing dot to change the hallowed phrase into ‘Such are the blessings of my penis’.

(1985, pp. 12–13)

In the Arabic translation, all reference to rabbi and zubbi is omitted. The above example is replaced by a much ‘tamer’ one where, by adding and omitting dots on various letters, the local wit turns a poem which is originally written in praise of Arabs into one that ridicules
them. A similarly offensive extract (p. 14 in the English text), does not appear in the Arabic translation at all:

The sarcastic misuse of names has not been always as polite or free from resort to the equivalent of the English four-letter words. In the fierce and often bloody strife between the Ba’th Party and the Nasserists and Communists, the opponents of the Ba’th played on the strange name of the founder and leader of the Ba’th Party, Michel Aflaq. One of the latest exercises in this respect was the discovery in Al-Muhit lexicon that Aflaq meant in archaic Arabic ‘wide and loose vagina and stupid, sluttish woman’.

The existence of an additional maxim ‘Be polite’ and the overriding importance it tends to assume in many cultures may explain intelligent decisions taken in the course of translation which could otherwise seem haphazard and irresponsible.

Going back to the question of whether Grice’s proposed maxims have the same value in different cultures, Headland (1981) explains that the Dumagats have great difficulty in understanding the scriptures because of what he calls ‘information overload’. By Dumagat standards, the Bible apparently gives far too much information. He illustrates his point by an overstatement of the case (ibid.: 20):

A Koine Greek and a Dumagat would both describe the shooting of a duck, but in different ways. The Greek would say, in describing the event, ‘A few minutes after dawn, a large and beautifully plumed white female duck flew overhead just south of my hiding place. I quickly fired two shells with number sixteen lead shot, and the duck dropped nicely in front of me just five yards away, at the edge of the lake.’ A Dumagat who had had the same experience would say, ‘Yesterday I shot a duck.’

If Headland’s comments are accurate, then how does the phenomenon of ‘information overload’ relate to Grice’s maxim of Quantity? For one thing, it seems to suggest that the instruction ‘do not make your contribution more informative than is required’ can be interpreted quite differently by different cultures. Hatim and Mason’s comment on this particular maxim is that ‘What is “required” for any given communicative purpose within a TL cultural environment is ... a matter for the translator’s judgement’ (1990: 94).

An important factor which seems to override Grice’s maxims and support the possibility that they are both language- and culture-specific relates to norms of discourse organization and rhetorical functions in different languages. Clyne (1981) suggests that, unlike
English, German discourse is non-linear and favours digressions. In some extreme cases, such as Fritz Schütze’s *Sprache soziologisch gesehen*, there are ‘not only digressions [Exkurse], but also digressions from digressions. Even within the conclusion, there are digressions’ (ibid.: 63). Not only does the maxim of Relevance need to be redefined in view of these comments, but the non-linear organization of German discourse also seems to require a reassessment of another maxim: ‘Be brief’. Clyne (ibid.: 63) explains how ‘every time the author returns to the main line of argument, he has to recapitulate up to the point before the last digression, resulting in much repetition’. One wonders how an organizational feature such as this relates to the maxims of Relevance and Manner. Can this apparent violation of the maxims render a German text partially incoherent if it is not adjusted in translation? An English translation of a German book, Norbert Dittmar’s *Soziolinguistik*, was apparently felt to be chaotic and lacking in focus and cohesiveness, although the original was considered a landmark in its field by Germans (Clyne, 1981).

Arabic is well known to use repetition as a major rhetorical device. This includes repetition of both form and substance, so that the same information is repeated again and again in a variety of ways in an effort to convince by assertion. This style of argumentative prose is seen by non-Arabs as too verbose and certainly anything but brief. The Japanese favourite ‘dot-type’ pattern in which anecdotes are strung together without an explicit link or conclusion can infuriate western readers who demand relevance of a type familiar to them. Loveday notes that ‘westerners often react to this with “so what!!”, considering the presentation shallow’ (1982b: 364). Different rhetorical conventions are therefore seen to apply in different cultures and they can override a maxim such as ‘Be brief’ or ‘Be relevant’. In fact, these conventions provide a context for interpreting the maxims.

Grice’s notion of implicature is extremely useful to anyone engaged in cross-cultural communication, but it cannot be taken at face value. The maxims on which the Co-operative Principle is based have rightly been criticized as vague and ill-defined by various linguists. Sperber and Wilson, for instance, suggest that ‘appeals to the “maxim of relation” are no more than dressed-up appeals to intuition’ (1986: 36). One question which readily comes to mind is how does Grice’s notion of Relevance relate to the issue of a participant’s level of interest in a particular topic and the way this, in turn, relates to the maxim of Quantity. Does ‘relevant’ imply ‘of personal interest’ and does it control the interpretation of ‘Make your contribution as informative as is required’? This issue is particularly important in any
translation activity which involves some form of rewriting, such as editing or summarizing. It raises questions which are not easy to answer because they have to do with how well the maxims transfer from speech to writing, that is, from a context which involves a single receptor to one which often involves an undefined range of receptors. An example from a translation which involves a significant degree of rewriting may help to illustrate the problem.

A well-known Egyptian journalist, Mohammed Heikal, published a book in 1983 about the assassination of the former Egyptian president, Anwar Sadat. He wrote the book, *Autumn of Fury*, originally in English and later translated it himself into Arabic. Being in the rather special position of author/translator, Heikal clearly felt free to make whatever changes seemed necessary to appeal to the Arab reader in the translated version. The Arabic version is significantly longer and more detailed than the English original. For instance, the description of Sadat’s wounds and his state on arrival at the hospital is done in one paragraph in the English version but is expanded into four pages in the Arabic version. A chapter entitled ‘Organized loot’ in the English version describes the systematic looting of Egypt’s resources by Sadat’s relatives and favourites. The description is far more detailed in the expanded Arabic version (twenty-nine pages compared with seventeen pages in the English version). How do writers/translator such as Heikal balance the two maxims of Relevance and Quantity in renegotiating a text for a different readership?

Weaknesses of definition aside, it is interesting that Grice’s maxims seem to reflect directly notions which are known to be valued in the English-speaking world, for instance sincerity, brevity, and relevance. These do not necessarily have the same value in other cultures, nor should they be expected to represent any ideal basis for communication. Loveday (1982b: 363) asserts that ‘the highly cherished norm of linguistic precision in Western culture cannot be taken for granted and is not universally sanctioned by every society’, and Clyne (1981: 65) rightly suggests that the emphasis on relevance ‘may impede cross-reference, one of the most important aspects of discourse’, and wonders whether it might lead to the suppression of associations. ‘Just as there are Anglo-Saxon readers who dismiss some German academic writings as “chaotic”’, he suggests in another article, ‘there are German readers who find English-language publications too “narrow” or conclude that they are not saying very much’ (1983: 43).

We have seen that the suggestion that Grice’s maxims are universal
is difficult to justify. A more plausible suggestion would be that all
discourse, in any language, is essentially co-operative and that
the phenomenon of implicature (rather than the specific maxims
suggested by Grice) is universal. In other words, the interpretation
of a maxim or the maxims themselves may differ from one linguistic
community to another, but the process of conveying intended
meaning by means of exploiting whatever maxims are in operation
in that community will be the same. This position is much more
tenable, particularly since it seems to be a feature of language use in
general that it is based partly on adhering to constraints and partly
on manipulating constraints to produce special effects.

7.3.3 The context, linguistic or otherwise, of the utterance

The context in which an utterance occurs determines the range of
implicatures that may sensibly be derived from it. Sperber and
Wilson suggest that ‘the context does much more than filter out
inappropriate interpretations; it provides premises without which the
implicature cannot be inferred at all’ (1986: 37). Apart from the
actual setting and the participants involved in an exchange, the
context also includes the co-text and the linguistic conventions of a
community in general.

Tse (1988) explains that in translating a text which describes an
experiment in which the medical histories of patients were recorded
on micro-chip medical record cards, one of the main difficulties
resulted from differences in the source and target contexts. The text,
‘Patients test micro-chip medical record card’ (The Independent, 28
April 1988), states:

Dr. Robert Stevens, whose study in Wales involves one group
practice and one pharmacy, said patients’ reaction to the cards had
been favourable.

In the United Kingdom, a pharmacy is an establishment which
dispenses medicine on the basis of prescriptions signed by a doctor.
For an English reader, therefore, it makes sense to suggest that both
group practices (i.e. groups of doctors working through the same
clinic) and pharmacies can be involved in recording the medical
histories of patients. Tse explains that ‘both in China and in Hong
Kong, a patient can receive medical treatment and medicines from a
doctor’s surgery. A pharmacy is a place where one can buy tablets
without prescriptions’ (1988: 38). It therefore would not make sense
to a Chinese reader to suggest that pharmacies can or should be
involved in an exercise of this sort. If they do not dispense medicine on the basis of prescriptions, how can they be expected to monitor patients' medical histories?

The inability to relate a piece of information to his/her own context can lead the reader to draw the wrong inferences from a text. Rommel (1987) explains that whereas the size of a house or flat is indicated in Britain by the number of bedrooms, it is normally indicated in Switzerland by the total number of rooms. A German version of Oscar Wilde's *The Importance of Being Earnest* which was shown in Zurich some time ago drew what Rommel refers to as a 'vulgar snigger' from the audience when Lady Bracknell asked *Wie viel Schlafzimmer* (literally 'How many bedrooms?') instead of adjusting the question to the realities of the Swiss context. The sexual connotations inferred by the Swiss audience were not intended by Oscar Wilde.

In addition to the actual 'realities' of a situation, the context also includes certain strategies that people regularly employ in order to impose some kind of structure on the world around them. When a person describes something, recounts an event, or lists a number of items, s/he will normally follow a preferred sequence rather than a random one. For instance, in recounting a series of events, one would normally follow a temporal order, listing events in the order in which they occurred. This temporal order can, of course, be modified or even reversed provided appropriate signals such as tense markers or time adjuncts are used to clarify the alternative ordering. It nevertheless represents a 'preferred' or 'normal' ordering strategy which is regularly employed by most people.

Levinson relates the question of normal ordering of events in the real world to the sub-maxim of Manner, 'Be orderly'. He suggests that it is because we expect participants in a discourse to respect the maxim 'Be orderly' that we expect them to recount events in the order in which they happened (1983: 108). That is why we would find an utterance such as *The lone ranger rode into the sunset and jumped on his horse* odd. Temporal order may be a widespread or universal ordering strategy, but there are other types of preferences for ordering strategies which tend to be language- and culture-specific. If we accept that the linguistic conventions of a community can provide a context for interpreting a maxim, then the relation which exists between 'being orderly' and following a 'normal' ordering of events can also be said to exist between 'being orderly' and following whatever ordering strategies are considered normal in relation to such things as the listing of entities and linguistic items.
Brown and Yule suggest that constraints on the ordering of events and entities are usually followed by language users and that when the normal ordering is reversed ‘some “special effect” (staging device, implicature) would be being created by the speaker/writer’ (1983: 146). It is generally conceded that it is impossible to determine exactly what ‘natural orders’ there are in different types of discourse and in different languages, though one intuitively knows when a deviant order is being used. Part of the problem is that the ordering of events and entities may be adapted to maintain point of view or thematic progression for instance. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that even though an occasional divergence from preferred ordering strategies may not noticeably affect the coherence of a text, repeated minor disturbances of preferred sequences may have a cumulative effect on the ease with which a reader can make sense of a stretch of language. The following examples illustrate adjustments made in the course of translation to fulfil target readers’ expectations of normal ordering.

English source text:

In the Devon study, 8,500 patients will carry the cards, which can be both read and updated by GPs, a pharmacist, a local dentist, and by hospital clinics at Exmouth and the Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital.

Back-translation of target text (Chinese):

8,500 patients will take part in the Devon experiment, using the medical cards. Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital, Exmouth Hospital clinic(s), and doctor(s), pharmacist, and local dentist, may use a machine reader to read the medical card’s content and store new information.

The source text (‘Patients test micro-chip medical record card’, The Independent, 28 April 1988) and its Chinese translation are cited in Tse (1988). Tse explains that the order of the nominal groups underlined in the English text is modified in the Chinese translation to fulfil the expectations of the Chinese reader who is used to listing entities in order of size, from ‘large’ to ‘small’. In this case, the normal ordering strategy in Chinese would be to start with the larger entities, that is, hospitals. The same strategy is used in listing addresses. An address in Chinese, as well as Russian, would start with the largest entity, the country, and work its way down to county, town, area, street, flat, name, etc. In English, addresses are
presented in the reverse order, starting with the name and ending with the country of destination. Any deviation from this normal order would encourage a reader to search for some kind of implicature or to reassess the context in which a text is encountered.

Ordering strategies may also be influenced by physical or emotional factors. It is normal to expect entities which are closer to one's own environment to be mentioned first in a list. Note the different ordering of languages in the following example from the Euralex Circular (Appendix 8):

English text:

Abstracts (approximately 1,000 words) in any of the Congress languages, English, French, German or Russian, should be sent to the Lecture Programme Organizer, ...

German text:

Abstracts (etwa 1000 Wörter bzw. 80–100 Zeilen) in einer beliebigen Konferenzsprache (Deutsch, Englisch, Französisch, Russisch) ... 

We request abstracts (about 1,000 words or 80–100 lines) in any conference language (German, English, French, Russian) ...

Back-translation of Russian text (see Appendix 8):

We ask for a short abstract of papers (up to 1000 words or up to 100 lines) by 15 November 1987, in any of the official languages of the conference, i.e. in Russian, English, French or German, ...

Another point which may be subsumed under the vast heading of 'context' is the language user's sense of what is socially and textually appropriate or normal. This does not have much to do with what the reader thinks the world is like, but rather with what s/he is prepared to accept as an appropriate behaviour (linguistic or otherwise) in a given situation. This 'sense of appropriateness' could provide the context for interpreting the additional maxim 'Be polite' posited earlier. The varied use of pronouns of address in different cultures is a good example (see Chapter 4, section 4.2.3). However, appropriateness is not restricted to the notion of politeness; it covers a multitude of other things. Even something as simple as the use of a particular calendar, where the reader has access to more than one, can be more or less sensitive to readers' expectations in a given context. Note, for instance, the use of the Japanese calendar in the following example:
In other words

English source text: (Palace and Politics in Prewar Japan; see Appendix 6):

The heads of the ministries created in 1869 were not directly responsible for ‘advising and assisting’ (hohitsu) the emperor, though they were to become so in 1889. According to the 1871 reorganization of the ministries, for example, the privilege of assisting the throne directly was in theory limited to the Chancellor (Dajo Daijin), Minister of the Left (Sa Daijin), Minister of the Right (U Daijin), and the Councillors (Sangi).

Back-translation of Japanese text (Appendix 6):

The heads of ministries which were created in Meiji 2nd are not directly responsible for ‘hohitsu’ the emperor. It was in Meiji 22nd that it became so. For example, according to the government reformation of the fourth year of Meiji, theoretically, the privilege of assisting the emperor directly was limited to Dajo Daijin, Sa Daijin, U Daijin, and Sangi.

Because the above text relates directly to the Japanese culture, the Japanese reader would expect any reference to dates to be based on the Japanese rather than the western calendar. The translation fulfils this expectation and therefore does not convey any unwanted implicatures. Compare the above with the following translation of a more modern text:

English source text (The Patrick Collection):

In the Mansell Hall – named after Britain’s race ace Nigel Mansell, who opened the Hall in 1986 – there’s a unique display of eighties supercars.

Back-translation of Japanese text (Appendix 4):

In the Mansell Hall (named after Nigel Mansell who is the ace in Britain’s race, and he opened this Hall in 1986) a unique display of super cars of the 1980s can be seen.

As far as the Japanese reader is concerned, it is acceptable to use the western calendar in texts which relate directly to the western world. However, texts which deal with topics that are closer to home, such as Japanese heritage or history, are expected to use the Japanese calendar.

An interesting area in which a translator needs to be particularly sensitive to the reader’s expectations in a given context concerns
modes of address. This covers far more than the use of pronouns as discussed in Chapter 4. It includes the use of appropriate personal and occupational titles, various combinations of first names and surnames, title and surname, or title and first name, the use of nicknames, and even the use of terms of affection such as dear or darling. Certain linguistic items may be used to address certain types of participants in order to convey implicatures which are highly language- and culture-specific. The following widely quoted example first appeared in Ervin-Tripp 1972. It is used by Blum-Kulka (1981: 94) in a discussion of the difficulties associated with the translation of indirect speech acts.⁵

The scene takes place on a public street in contemporary U.S.

‘What’s your name, boy?’ the policeman asked.
‘Dr. Poussaint, I’m a physician.’
‘What’s your first name, boy?’
‘Alvin.’

As Blum-Kulka suggests, anyone familiar with address rules in American English will know that Dr Poussaint is black. They will also realize that by refusing to accept the normal address of occupational title plus surname and by using the term boy and requesting Dr Poussaint’s first name, the policeman means to insult the doctor. Blum-Kulka rightly suggests that the meaning conveyed by deliberately misusing a socio-cultural rule would be difficult to transfer into another language.

However, not all contexts in which modes of address are used will involve deliberate violation of socio-cultural norms to convey implicatures. As long as the translator is aware that the norms of the target language will not necessarily match those of the source language, an appropriate adjustment in the target text should solve the problem and avoid conveying unintended implicatures. In English, for instance, a common and acceptable form of address in a formal context such as a business letter consists of title plus surname, for example Mr Brown, Mrs Keith, Dr Kelly. This would normally be replaced in Arabic by a combination of title plus first name or title plus full name. Translators often make adjustments in this area to conform to their readers’ expectations. Note the adjustment made by the Russian translator of the following text:

English text (Euralex Conference Circular):

Ms. Judit Ziganý
Akademiai Kiado
1363 Budapest
P. O. Box 24
Hungary.

Back-translation of Russian text (Appendix 8):

Ch. editor
Judit Zigany
Hungarian Academy of Sciences Press
1363 Budapest
P. O. Box 24
Hungary.

The equivalent of a title such as Mr or Mrs in Russian is to use the first name plus the patronymic (middle name derived from the father's name) in formal address to other Russians and people from socialist countries. Another polite form of address is tovarishch (i.e. 'comrade', used for both sexes), but some intellectuals now feel uneasy about it because of its associations with the Marxist era. For foreigners, Russians will typically use the terms of address: gospodin ('Mr'), gospozha ('Mrs'), or the loan words Mister, Missis, and Miss. All these, however, suggest 'foreignness'. They would be inappropriate — and even insulting — to use in addressing another Russian or even someone from a socialist country such as Cuba or Hungary. Only foreigners from capitalist countries are normally addressed by these terms, and when they are, the terms are neutral — they simply denote polite address. Since Judit Zigany is a member of a socialist country, Hungary, the mode of address in the Russian text appropriately consists of occupational title, followed by full name; no personal titles are used.

7.3.4 Other items of background knowledge

In order to make sense of any piece of information presented in a text, the reader/hearer has to be able to integrate it into some model of the world, whether real or fictional. Text-presented information can only make sense if it can be related to other information we already have. A text may confirm, contradict, modify, or extend what we know about the world, as long as it relates to it in some way.

As explained under 7.3.1 above, there is a great deal of overlap between identifying reference and accessing relevant background information. Whether a translator decides to explain a reference or not depends on whether the target reader is assumed to be familiar
with it and the extent to which the translator feels inclined to intervene. In the following example, from *A Hero from Zero*, both the French and Arab translators of the English source document must have either assumed that *Clive of India* is familiar to the average French and Arab reader, or decided that it is inappropriate for them as translators to comment directly on the source text. The Sultan referred to in the extract below is the Sultan of Brunei who, according to this document, gave Mohamed Fayed a power of attorney which put a considerable amount of his funds under Fayed's control.

**English source text:**

The incident that destroyed the Sultan's trust in Fayed (which the Sultan discovered later) was Fayed's taking for himself the $86 million from Hirschmann upon the cancellation of the contract for the 747-SP aeroplane. According to Barican, the Sultan never agreed to this and it was this incident that cost Fayed the Sultan's confidence . . .

Like *Clive of India*, Fayed must have stood amazed at his own restraint. According to Barican, the Sultan's funds in Swiss banks were around five billion dollars at the time, and the power of attorney unlocked the door to all of them.

(p. 27)

**French text:**

Tout comme *Clive of India*, Fayed a dû s'étonner de sa propre retenue.

(p. 27)

... Just like *Clive of India*, Fayed must have been amazed at his own restraint.

**Arabic text:**

وما من شك أن فايد - كانه في ذلك كان كلايف أوفر
ايديا - قد وقف مذهولا أمام قدرته على ضبط النفس. (ص. 40)

**Back-translation:**

... There is no doubt that Fayed - like Clive of India - had stood amazed at his ability to restrain himself.

(p. 40)

Lord Clive, Proconsul of India, was a British soldier and statesman. He is remembered for defeating the nawab of Bengal and for
reforming the British administration in India. Following the Bengal famine of 1769–70, he was accused of famine profiteering, of creating monopolies in cotton and diamonds, and of taking presents from Indian leaders after the Battle of Plassey in 1757. When Clive was questioned about the presents, he made the following remark, to which the above extract alludes:

Consider the situation in which the victory of Plassey placed me. A great prince dependent on my pleasure; an opulent city lay at my mercy; its richest bankers bid against each other for my smiles; I walked through vaults which were thrown open to me alone, piled on either hand with gold and jewels. Mr Chairman, at this moment I stand astonished at my own moderation.

(Lawford, 1976: 393 – my emphasis)

Interestingly enough, I have not yet found an English speaker who could recall any details in connection with Clive of India, except that he was a military leader who secured victory for the British in India. The above supposedly famous remark and the context in which it was uttered are highly unlikely to be recalled by, and may not even be known to, the average British reader. I suspect that the average British reader would therefore be unable to interpret the relevance of the reference to Clive of India in the above passage. The writer seems to have misjudged the reader’s access to details which are needed to establish the relevance of part of what he says to the discourse in hand. This is not uncommon. It is very difficult indeed – for writers and translators alike – to judge what the average reader may or may not have at his/her disposal in terms of background information. Moreover, in the majority of cases, the translator is likely to be not as knowledgeable as the writer but rather as ignorant as the average reader, so that the translator’s judgement is further hampered by his/her own lack of knowledge. The translator should, in theory, be able to do the kind of research that I have done here to access the relevant background knowledge, but this is not always feasible. The quality of research facilities, for example, varies tremendously among different settings, be they countries or institutions.

A second example, with a different assessment of the target reader’s access to background information, comes from Autumn of Fury: the Assassination of Sadat by Mohamed Heikal (1983). Speaking of Sadat, Heikal says:

While fully conscious of his shortcomings I hoped that the responsibilities of office would strengthen the positive elements
in his character and enable him to overcome the weak ones. The example of Truman was always present in my mind. I managed Sadat’s campaign...

(p. 3)

Arabic translation:

واطن أيضًا، الذين لم أكن أعرفهم، من بعض أسباب القصور فيه، لكنني صورتهم أن أعمال المنصب ووفر المسؤولية سوف تقوي كل المناسك الإيجابية في شخصيته، وسوف تساعد في التغلب على جوانب النفع فيها. وكان في ذهني باستمرار نموذج الرئيسي الأمريكي "هاري ترومان" الذي خلف "فرانكلين روزفلت" في ملكية الرئاسة الأمريكية قرب نهاية الحرب العالمية الثانية.

قد بدأ "ترومان" في ذلك الوقت - وبعد "روزفلت" - فتح بابه مجدولا لم تمتلكه أن تقوى الرياضة الإنسانية الكبيرة في الحرب العالمية الثانية إلى نهاية المطاف والمحلقة، لكن "ترومان" - إمام لحرب التمرين العملية - نما ونتج وأصبح من البرز الروس الأولوبيين في العصر الحديث. وقد صورت أن نفس الفن يمكن أن يحدث للسادات. لقد ادرت حملته الانتخابية...

Back-translation:

I also believe that I was not unaware of some of his shortcomings, but I imagined that the burden of office and responsibility would strengthen all the positive elements in his character and help him to overcome the areas of weakness in it. In my mind there was always the example of the American President Harry Truman, who succeeded Franklin Roosevelt towards the end of World War II. At that time – and after Roosevelt - Truman seemed a rather nondescript/bland and unknown character who could not lead the great human struggle in World War II to its desired and inevitable end. But Truman - faced with the challenge of practical experience - grew and matured and became one of the most prominent American presidents in modern times. I imagined that the same thing could happen to Sadat. I managed his campaign...

(p. 6)

The additional background knowledge about Truman in the Arabic version is clearly there for the benefit of the Arab reader who may well know that Truman was a former president of America but is not
expected to know enough about him to draw the specific analogy between him and Sadat that the writer wishes him/her to draw. What is largely implied in the English version is spelt out in detail in the Arabic version. Heikal could, of course, have included this information in a footnote rather than in the body of the text. In this respect, it is interesting to note Thomson’s advice about what should or should not be relegated to footnotes in translation:

The study of implicature may provide a practical solution to the well known problem of deciding what parts of the original shared context should be built into the text of the translation and what should be provided separately, for example in footnotes. Information essential to the success of conversational implicatures should be included in the text if the translation is to be coherent and sensible. It is unrealistic and working against the pragmatic nature of language to put such information into footnotes.

(1982: 30)

Without necessarily knowing anything about Grice or implicatures, Heikal may well have followed a similar course of reasoning in deciding to include the above information in the body of the text.

As well as expanding a text to provide the necessary background information, a translator may decide to delete information that the target readership can be assumed to be familiar with. In the same text, *Autumn of Fury*, Heikal explains the word zamzam in the following extract with a footnote:

Another example of how the President could over-reach himself in his desire to accommodate his new friends came over his offer of Nile water to Israel. After his visit to Haifa in September 1979 Sadat confided to a group of Israeli editors that he was thinking of diverting some of the Nile waters through Sinai to the Negev: ‘Why not? Lots of possibilities, lots of hope.’ Jerusalem, he said, was a city sacred to the three faiths. What could be more appropriate in the new climate of peace than to supply all the believers in Jerusalem with a new zamzam.

Footnote: The sacred well in the Haram of Mecca whose water is drunk by pilgrims. It was by tradition opened by the Angel Gabriel to prevent Hagar and her son Ismail from dying of thirst in the desert.

This footnote is omitted in the Arabic version. The author/translator rightly assumed that it would be redundant as far as the Arab reader is concerned.
The following example illustrates what happens when the translator anticipates a serious clash between assumed and actual background knowledge of the reader. What is involved here is the difficulty of dealing with a vast gap between source and target cultures’ versions of the world. It is not, in fact, a translation, but rather a comment on the translation of a whole chunk of text. The source text is Arabic; the target text is English. It is the twelfth ‘surah’ (verse) of the Koran which recounts the story of Joseph. The story of Joseph also appears in the Bible. However, the story presented in the Koran is quite different from that presented in the Bible. The translator of the text anticipates the clash with the western reader’s version of the story and provides the following comment in a separate introduction.

_Yusuf_ takes its name from its subject which is the life-story of Joseph. It differs from all other Surahs in having only one subject. The differences from the Bible narrative are striking. Jacob is here a Prophet, who is not deceived by the story of his son’s death, but is distressed because, through a suspension of his clairvoyance, he cannot see what has become of Joseph. The real importance of the narrative, its psychic burden, is emphasized throughout, and the manner of narration, though astonishing to Western readers, is vivid.

_(The Holy Qur’an, translated by Pickthall, 1982: 351)_

The above comment is clearly meant to warn readers that they are about to encounter a different view of the world. It is important to note that in translation, as in any act of communication, a text does not necessarily have to conform to the expectations of its readership. Readers’ versions of reality, their expectations, and their preferences can be challenged without affecting the coherence of a text, provided the challenge is motivated and the reader is prepared for it. Like creativity in literature for example, radically different versions of reality need not result in incoherence. It is well within our capacity as human beings to make sense of versions of reality which differ radically from our own provided the differences are motivated and adequately signalled.

7.3.5 The availability of all relevant items falling under the previous headings

The final factor on Grice’s list of ‘data’ on which ‘the hearer will reply’ in working out an implicature is, in his own words, ‘the fact
(or supposed fact) that all relevant items falling under the previous headings are available to both participants and both participants know or assume this to be the case) (1975: 50).

In order to convey an intended meaning, the speaker/writer must be able to assume that the hearer/reader has access to all the necessary background information, features of the context, etc., that is items 7.3.1–7.3.4 above, and that it is well within his/her competence to work out any intended implicatures. The less the writer assumes that the reader has access to the more s/he will provide in the way of explanation and detail. As previous examples show, translators often find themselves in the position of having to reassess what is and what is not available to target readers to ensure that implicatures can be worked out. Apart from filling gaps in the reader's knowledge (which would cover the availability of relevant items of background knowledge, non-linguistic context, identity of reference, etc.), there is also the question of the reader's expectations. These are part of the 'data' available to the reader under the various headings 7.3.1–7.3.4 above. In translation, anything that is likely to violate the target reader's expectations must be carefully examined and, if necessary, adjusted in order to avoid conveying the wrong implicatures or even failing to make sense altogether.

Among the strongest expectations we bring to bear on any communicative event involving verbal behaviour are expectations concerning the organization of language. Unless motivated, a deviant configuration at any linguistic level (e.g. phonological, lexical, syntactic, textual) may block a participant’s access to 'the conventional meaning of the words and structures used' – item 7.3.1 above – and can directly affect the coherence of a text. The main function of linguistic elements and patterning is to organize the content of a message so that it is easily accessible to a reader or hearer. Any disturbance to the normal organizational patterns of language must therefore be motivated, otherwise the reader will not be able to make sense of it. To repeat an example which was discussed in Chapter 3, collocations such as ‘harmed hair’, ‘damaged hair’, and ‘breakable hair’ which appear in the Arabic translation of the Kolestral text are so deviant that the Arab reader is unlikely to be able to make any sense of that part of the text. Being both deviant and unmotivated, such unexpected organization of the language tends to render a text incoherent to its readers.

Most professional translators appreciate the need to fulfil a reader’s expectations about the organization of the target language in order to maintain the coherence of a text and avoid giving rise to
unwanted implicatures. Some of the adjustments that a translator may need to make in order to conform to readers’ expectations in this area have been discussed and exemplified in previous chapters. However, there are instances in which deviation from normal patterning is a feature of the source text itself. If deviation is motivated and if it is necessary for working out an intended meaning, the translator may well decide to transfer it to the target text. As discussed above, readers’ expectations do not necessarily have to be fulfilled. Writers, and translators, often appeal to their readers to modify their expectations if such modifications are required in a given context. We are normally prepared to accept a great deal of unusual and even bizarre linguistic behaviour provided it can be justified, for instance on the basis of poetic creativity or humour.

The suggestion that deviations from normal patterning have to be motivated implies that they have to occur in a context that is ‘interpretable’ by the hearer/reader. Blakemore suggests that a speaker or writer who wants his/her utterance to be interpreted in a certain way ‘must expect it to be interpreted in a context that yields that interpretation’ (1987: 27). The following example illustrates a situation where deviation from normal organization of the language seems justified in translation and where the translator has to enlarge the shared context of writer and reader in order to accommodate this feature in such a way that its relevance is made explicit and coherence is therefore maintained. The extract is from a transcript of conversation which is appended to A Hero from Zero (p. 143) and translated from English into French and Arabic. The three people taking part in the conversation (Mohamed Fayed, Shri Chandra Swamiji, and Kailish Nath Agarwal) are all non-native speakers of English. The conversation is conducted partly in English and partly in Hindi. The speakers, particularly Mohamed Fayed, have a rather poor command of English. Here is an extract from the conversation to illustrate Mohamed Fayed’s level of competence in English:

**M. Fayed:** Sultan, you know, he gets influenced. I can’t go sit with him all the time, you know. It’s impossible for me, you know. Because he has one terrible, evil man, his aide, Ibnu.

**Mamaji:** Pardon?

**M. Fayed:** General Ibnu.

**Mamaji:** Uh-huh.
In other words

M. Fayed: Terrible man. This man takes money from everybody, everybody.

Swamiji: I think girls also.

M. Fayed: Yeah.

Mamaji: Girls?

Swamiji: Girls.

M. Fayed: Girls, everything, everything, everything. He is the big man, but the Sultan don't trust him at all. Bad man. And this Ibnu and Zobel are like that. Build the palace together. Ibnu gives permission to all those people go inside, take pictures of his bedroom, everything, anything. And he's a bad man, you know. But for me, I don't - you know, er I don't need the Sultan. Sultan doesn't need me. But I made so much good for him, you know, with support him with the British Government, you know.

The problem that the Arab translator faces in rendering this text into Arabic is that Mohamed Fayed is Egyptian; his first language is Arabic. To simply transfer the deviant syntax into Arabic without any comment would leave the Arab reader puzzled as to why a native speaker of Arabic should speak in ‘broken’ Arabic. To adjust Fayed's speech to reflect normal patterns of Arabic would considerably weaken the carefully structured argument put forward by Tiny Rowland, the ‘jilted suitor’ who wants to show that Fayed is unworthy of the privilege of owning the House of Fraser and incapable of running such a prestigious British concern. After all, Fayed is, among other things, a ‘foreigner’, not very bright, and rather incoherent! The translator decides to compromise by transferring the deviant organization into Arabic in order to convey something of the ‘stupid foreigner’ image of Fayed, while, at the same time, explaining the situation to the reader so that s/he can make sense of it. The following comment is inserted by the Arab translator at the beginning of the transcript of conversation:

ملحوظة. يتضح من قراءة النتي الإنجليزية للمحادثة المسجلة على الشريط أن الأشخاص الغلافة الذين أشارت كوا فيها ليس لديهم الدماء كاف باللغة الإنجليزية. كما يتبين ذلك بكل وضوح من النمط الهرجية والمفككة التركيب والتي لا تراعي قواعد اللغة. ولذلك فلا بد من أن نتعكي نقاط الضغط هذه في الترجمة العربية حرصاً على مراعاة الدقة، بقدر الامكان، في نقل المعنى.
Pragmatic equivalence

Back-translation:

Note: It is clear from reading the English text of the conversation transcribed on the tape that the three people who participated in it do not have sufficient command of the English language. This is also very clear from the use of sub-standard and loosely structured sentences which do not conform to the rules of the language. Therefore, these points of weakness have to be reflected in the Arabic translation in order to maintain accuracy, as far as possible, in the transfer of meaning.

(p. 139)

Coherence is a very problematic and elusive notion because of the diversity of factors, linguistic and non-linguistic, which can affect it and the varying degrees of importance which a particular factor can assume in a given context. Even a single lexical item, if mistranslated, can affect the way a text coheres. A polysemous item in the source text will rarely have an equivalent with the same range of meanings in the target language. If the source text makes use of two or more meanings of an item and the translation fails, for whatever reason, to convey any of those meanings, whole layers of meaning will be lost, resulting in what Blum-Kulka (1986) refers to as a 'shift in coherence'.

It is impossible to itemize the various factors which can contribute to or detract from the coherence of a text. The variables involved and the processes of interpretation we employ in trying to make sense of a text are far too numerous and often too elusive to be pinned down and described. The fact that many of these factors are language- and culture-specific adds to the complexity of the problem. What most of the examples given in this chapter seem to suggest is that in order to maintain coherence translators often have to minimize discrepancies between the model of the world presented in the source text and that with which the target reader is likely to be familiar. The extent of intervention varies considerably and depends in the final analysis on two main factors. The first is the translator's ability to assess the knowledge and expectations of the target reader – the more the target reader is assumed to know, the less likely that the translator will be inclined to intervene with lengthy explanations. Likewise, the more harmony is assumed to exist between the model of the world presented in the source text and the target culture's version of the world, the more inclined the translator will be to remain invisible, i.e. refrain from direct intervention. The second factor is the translator's own view of his/her role and of the whole
question of where his/her loyalties ought to lie – whether they ought to lie with the source text or with the target reader.

I hope that the above discussion will provide the reader with some basis on which to detect and explore areas in which a translation may or may not succeed in making sense to its readers. The main difficulties seem to be concerned with the ability to assess the target readers' range of knowledge and assumptions about various aspects of the world, and to strike a reasonable balance between, on the one hand, fulfilling their expectations and, on the other hand, maintaining their interest in the communication by offering them new or alternative insights. Brown and Yule suggest that 'the principles of analogy (things will tend to be as they were before) and local interpretation (if there is a change, assume it is minimal) form the basis of the assumption of coherence in our experience of life in general, hence in our experience of discourse as well' (1983: 67). This is true, but we must also remember that readers in general, and readers of translated texts in particular, are prepared to accept a great deal of change and a view of the world which is radically different from their own, provided they have a reason for doing so and are prepared for it. In attempting to fill gaps in their readers' knowledge and fulfil their expectations of what is normal or acceptable, translators should be careful not to 'overdo' things by explaining too much and leaving the reader with nothing to do.

EXERCISES

1 The following is a short essay from J. B. Priestley's *Delight*, a small collection of personal essays.

*Giving advice*  
Giving advice, especially when I am in no position to give it and hardly know what I am talking about. I manage my own affairs with as much care and steady attention and skill as – let us say – a drunken Irish tenor. I swing violently from enthusiasm to disgust. I change policies as a woman changes hats. I am here today and gone tomorrow. When I am doing one job, I wish I were doing another. I base my judgments on anything – or nothing. I have never the least notion what I shall be doing or where I shall be in six months time. Instead of holding one thing steadily, I try to juggle with six. I cannot plan, and if I could I would never stick to the plan. I am a pessimist in the morning
and an optimist at night, am defeated on Tuesday and insufferably victorious by Friday. But because I am heavy, have a deep voice and smoke a pipe, few people realize that I am a flibbertigibbet on a weathercock. So my advice is asked. And then, for ten minutes or so, I can make Polonius look a trifler. I sit deep in my chair, two hundred pounds of portentousness, and with some first-rate character touches in the voice and business with pipe, I begin: 'Well, I must say that in your place — ' And inside I am bubbling with delight.

Try translating the above essay into your target language, paying particular attention to the question of implicature and the whole image that the writer draws of himself. If necessary, consider possible explanations (or other strategies) that could help the target reader draw the right inferences from the author's statements. Consider, for instance, whether an analogy such as changing policies as a woman changes hats is likely to have the same implicature in your target language.

This essay appears in Literature in English, one of the English for Today Series, published by the National Council of Teachers of English (1964), McGraw-Hill. The editors provide the following explanations of key words and expressions in footnote form. You may find these helpful.

_**drunken Irish tenor:**_ A drunken singer is not in control of himself.

_Priestley is suggesting that he manages his own affairs badly._

_**flibbertigibbet on a weathercock:**_ A flibbertigibbet is a frivolous and giddy person. A weathercock is a wooden or metal rooster that turns on top of a building and shows the direction of the wind. The whole expression suggests a very undependable person.

_Polonius: a character in Shakespeare's Hamlet, noted for giving advice._

_**two hundred pounds of portentousness . . . :**_ In other words, a large man ('two hundred pounds') using an impressive voice and using impressive gestures with his pipe ('some first-grade character touches') gives grave ('portentous') advice. This is a humorous description of the author's pose.

2 Here is a more challenging extract to translate. It is part of the well-known scene in Shakespeare's Othello, in which Iago deliberately violates Grice's maxims, certainly the maxim of relevance, in order to convey certain implicatures. Othello
recognizes the violations and tries to get Iago to spell out what he means.

Iago: My noble lord –
Oth: What dost thou say, Iago?
Iago: Did Michael Cassio, when you woo’d my lady,
    Know of your love?
Oth: He did, from first to last. Why dost thou ask?
Iago: But for a satisfaction of my thought;
    No further harm.
Oth: Why of thy thought, Iago?
Iago: I did not think he had been acquainted with her.
Oth: O, yes, and went between us very oft.
Iago: Indeed?
Oth: Indeed? Ay, indeed! Discern’st thou aught in that?
    Is he not honest?
Iago: Honest, my lord?
Oth: Honest? Ay, honest.
Iago: My lord, for aught I know.
Oth: What dost thou think?
Iago: Think, my lord?
Oth: Think, my lord? By heaven, he echoes me,
    As if there were some monster in his thought
    Too hideous to be shown. Thou dost mean something.
    I heard thee say even now, thou lik’st not that,
    When Cassio left my wife. What didst not like?
    And when I told thee he was of my counsel
    In my whole course of wooing, thou cried’st ‘Indeed?’
    And didst contract and purge thy brow together,
    As if thou hadst shut up in thy brain
    Some horrible conceit. If thou dost love me,
    Show me thy thought.

(Act III, Scene iii)

Consider how Iago conveys his intended meanings, both conventionally and non-conventionally. What adjustments, if any, do you feel you have to make to the lexis, syntax, or the way in which the maxims are violated in order to convey similar implicatures in your translated version?

3 Stephen Hawking’s popular science book, A Brief History of Time from the Big Bang to Black Holes (1988) includes a number of appendices, each giving an insight into the life and personality of a famous scientist. This is one of them:
Isaac Newton

Isaac Newton was not a pleasant man. His relations with other academics were notorious, with most of his later life spent embroiled in heated disputes. Following publication of *Principia Mathematica* – surely the most influential book ever written in physics – Newton had risen rapidly into public prominence. He was appointed president of the Royal Society and became the first scientist ever to be knighted.

Newton soon clashed with the Astronomer Royal, John Flamsteed, who had earlier provided Newton with much needed data for *Principia*, but was now withholding information that Newton wanted. Newton would not take no for an answer; he had himself appointed to the governing body of the Royal Observatory and then tried to force immediate publication of the data. Eventually he arranged for Flamsteed’s work to be seized and prepared for publication by Flamsteed’s mortal enemy, Edmond Halley. But Flamsteed took the case to court and, in the nick of time, won a court order preventing distribution of the stolen work. Newton was incensed and sought his revenge by systematically deleting all references to Flamsteed in later editions of *Principia*.

A more serious dispute arose with the German philosopher Gottfried Leibniz. Both Leibniz and Newton had independently developed a branch of mathematics called calculus, which underlies most of modern physics. Although we now know that Newton discovered calculus years before Leibniz, he published his work much later. A major row ensued over who had been first, with scientists vigorously defending both contenders. It is remarkable, however, that most of the articles appearing in defense of Newton were originally written by his own hand – and only published in the name of friends! As the row grew, Leibniz made the mistake of appealing to the Royal Society to resolve the dispute. Newton, as president, appointed an ‘impartial’ committee to investigate, coincidentally consisting entirely of Newton’s friends! But that was not all: Newton then wrote the committee’s report himself and had the Royal Society publish it, officially accusing Leibniz of plagiarism. Still unsatisfied, he then wrote an anonymous review of the report in the Royal Society’s own periodical. Following the death of Leibniz, Newton is reported to have declared that he had taken great satisfaction in ‘breaking Leibniz’s heart.’

During the period of these two disputes, Newton had already
left Cambridge and academe. He had been active in anti-Catholic politics at Cambridge, and later in Parliament, and was rewarded eventually with the lucrative post of Warden of the Royal Mint. Here he used his talents for deviousness and vitriol in a more socially acceptable way, successfully conducting a major campaign against counterfeiting, even sending several men to their death on the gallows.

Imagine that you have been asked to translate the above appendix into your target language. Your translated version is to be included in a portfolio of light-hearted but factual background material for science students in secondary education, designed to stimulate their interest in the world of science at large.

Comment on the strategies you decide to use to convey Hawking’s implied meanings to your target audience. For instance, do you transfer typographic signals such as exclamation marks and the inverted commas around *impartial* (third paragraph), or are there better ways of signalling similar meanings in your target language? Does the text, as it stands, convey the same image of Newton in your target language as it does in English, or do you have to make adjustments to accommodate your target reader’s cultural background?

**SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING**


NOTES

1 Conventionally signalled implicatures are also known as presuppositions. Like implicatures, presuppositions are pragmatic inferences. They are based on the linguistic structure of an utterance, though they are still context-sensitive. For a detailed discussion of presupposition, see Levinson (1983: Chapter 4).

2 Grice’s notion of conversational implicature and his proposed four maxims overlap with several notions discussed by other linguists, most notably in speech-act theory. Speech-act theory complements Grice’s approach to meaning. Like Grice, speech-act theorists attempt to go beyond the literal meaning of words and structures by classifying utterances according to their implicit rather than explicit functions. For instance, a speaker may use a declarative/assertive structure to make a request or an interrogative structure to express reproof. The notions of illocutionary meaning and indirect speech acts in particular highlight an obvious area of overlap. Illocutionary meaning has to do with the speaker’s intentions rather than his/her actual words. An indirect speech act is an utterance whose ‘literal meaning and/or literal force is conversationally inadequate in the context and must be “repaired” by some inference’ (Levinson, 1983: 270). It is, in fact, the flouting of a maxim such as Quantity or Relevance which results in an utterance having an indirect illocutionary meaning.

There is also some overlap between the maxim of relevance and the principle of local interpretation which instructs the hearer not to construct a context any larger than he needs to arrive at an interpretation. Thus, if he hears someone say “Shut the door” he will look towards the nearest door available for being shut’ (Brown and Yule, 1983: 59).

Finally, Grice’s division of implicature into conventional implicature and conversational implicature also overlaps with Beekman and Callow’s distinction between two major types of implicit information:

There is the implicit information conveyed in the written document itself by the vocabulary and grammatical constructions of the language, and there is the implicit information which lies outside the document, in the general situation which gave rise to the document, the circumstances of the writer and readers, their relationship, etc.

(1974: 48)

3 Haven’t you done well? can also be patronizing, and Don’t I know it? can be self-recriminating.
In other words

5 See note 2 above: an indirect speech act is an utterance whose literal meaning and/or literal force is conversationally inadequate in the context and must be "repaired" by some inference (Levinson, 1983: 270).

6 At the time when the Euralex Circular was prepared and circulated, Hungary was still a socialist country.

7 Motivation has to be seen from the point of view of the reader rather than the translator. In a sense, all deviant configurations are 'motivated' from the translator's point of view: they are 'equivalents' that can be slotted in at some point to allow the translator to get on with the rest of the job. This type of motivation, however, does not make a given configuration acceptable from the reader's point of view. To be justified, motivation has to be available to the reader.
Languages: English (original), Spanish, Greek.
Description: A popular science book written by Professor Hawking of the University of Cambridge, described on the book jacket as 'the most brilliant theoretical physicist since Einstein'.

ENGLISH TEXT


A well-known scientist (some say it was Bertrand Russell) once gave a public lecture on astronomy. He described how the earth orbits around the sun and how the sun, in turn, orbits around the center of a vast collection of stars called our galaxy. At the end of the lecture, a little old lady at the back of the room got up and said: "What you have told us is rubbish. The world is really a flat plate supported on the back of a giant tortoise." The scientist gave a superior smile before replying, "What is the tortoise standing on?" "You're very clever, young man, very clever," said the old lady. "But it's turtles all the way down!"

Most people would find the picture of our universe as an infinite tower of tortoises rather ridiculous, but why do we think we know better? What do we know about the universe, and how do we know it? Where did the universe come from, and where is it going? Did the universe have a beginning, and if so, what happened before then? What is the nature of time? Will it ever come to an end? Recent breakthroughs in physics, made possible in part by fantastic new technologies, suggest answers to some of these longstanding questions. Someday these answers may seem as obvious to us as the earth
orbiting the sun – or perhaps as ridiculous as a tower of tortoises. Only time (whatever that may be) will tell.

(pp. 1–2)

SPANISH TEXT


Un conocido científico (algunos dicen que fue Bertrand Russell) daba una vez una conferencia sobre astronomía. En ella describió cómo la Tierra giraba alrededor del Sol y cómo éste, a su vez, giraba alrededor del centro de una vasta colección de estrellas conocida como nuestra galaxia. Al final de la charla, una simpática señora ya de edad se levantó y le dijo desde el fondo de la sala: «Lo que nos ha contado usted no son más que tonterías. El mundo es en realidad una plataforma plana sustentada por el caparazón de una tortuga gigante». El científico sonrió ampliamente antes de replicarle, «¿y en qué se apoya la tortuga?». «Usted es muy inteligente, joven, muy inteligente – dijo la señora –. ¡Pero hay infinitas tortugas una debajo de otra!».

La mayor parte de la gente encontraría bastante ridícula la imagen de nuestro universo como una torre infinita de tortugas, pero ¿en qué nos basamos para creer que lo conocemos mejor? ¿Qué sabemos acerca del universo, y cómo hemos llegado a saberlo? ¿De dónde surgió el universo, y a dónde va? ¿Tuvo el universo un principio, y, si así fue, qué sucedió con anterioridad a él? ¿Cuál es la naturaleza del tiempo? ¿Llegará éste alguna vez a un final? Avances recientes de la física, posibles en parte gracias a fantásticas nuevas tecnologías, sugieren respuestas a algunas de estas preguntas que desde hace mucho tiempo nos preocupan. Algún día estas respuestas podrán parecernos tan obvias como el que la Tierra gire alrededor del Sol, o, quizás, tan ridículas como una torre de tortugas. Sólo el tiempo (cualquiera que sea su significado) lo dirá.

GREEK TEXT

Η Αλίκη στη Χώρα των θαυμάτων είδε κάποτε μία διάλεξη για την αστρονομία. Έλεγε ότι η Γη είναι ένας σφαιρικός πλανήτης του πλησίον συστήματος που κινείται γύρω από το κέντρο του, τον Ήλιο, και ότι ο Ήλιος είναι ένα άστρο που, με τη σειρά του, κινείται γύρω από το κέντρο του σφαιρικού συστήματος, που ονομάζεται Γαλαξία. Στο τέλος της διάλεξης, η Ντάμα της κοίταξε θυμωμένη με εκτιμητικό όφος. Χωρίς καν έλεγε ειναι ανόητες. Η Γη δεν είναι καρά ένα μεγάλο Τραπουλόχαρτο. Είναι λοιπόν επίκεντρο σε όλα τα Τραπουλόχαρτα της είδη, και στραφές περιήγαν προς τις μέλη της συνοδείας της, που έδειχναν τενοντευκμένα από την εξήγησή της. Η Αλίκη χρησιμένες υποτροπικά. «Και σε τι στηρίζεται αυτό το Τραπουλόχαρτο;» ρώτησε με εμπιστοσύνη. Η Ντάμα δεν είδει να αισνιδιάζεται. «Είναι έτυχη, πολύ έτυχη, απάντησε. «Μπορεί λοιπόν μικρή μου πως αυτό το Τραπουλόχαρτο στηρίζεται σε ένα άλλο, και εκείνο το άλλο σε ένα άλλο άλλο, και εκείνο το άλλο άλλο σε ένα άλλο άλλο άλλο...». Σταμάτησε λαχανισμένη. «Το Σύμπαν δεν είναι παρά μία Μεγάλη Τράπουλα», τονίζει. Φυσικά, οι περιοδικοί θα εγκρίνουν γέλια αυτήν την εικόνα για το Σύμπαν και τη Γη που στηρίζεται σε μία άπειρη σειρά από τραπουλόχαρτα. Θα μπορούσαν όμως να εξηγήσουν το γιατί; Θα μπορούσαν να περιγράψουν τη δική τους εικόνα για το Σύμπαν και να αποδείξουν έως είναι η ομορφή; Τι γνωρίζουν οι άνθρωποι για το Σύμπαν και πώς το γνωρίζουν; Από πού προέρχεται το Σύμπαν και πώς πηγάζει; Υπήρξε αρχή του Σύμπαντος και, αν ναι, τι θα υπάρξει μετά από αυτό; Τι γνωρίζουν οι άνθρωποι για το χρόνο; Υπήρξε αρχή του χρόνου; θα υπάρξει τέλος του χρόνου; Η σύγχρονη φυσική, με τη βοήθεια και των καταπληκτικών νέων τεχνολογιών, προτείνει απαντήσεις σε αυτά τα αιώνια ερωτήματα. Στο μέλλον αυτές οι απαντήσεις θα μας φαίνονται τόσο ευνόητες όσο μας φαίνεται σήμερα ότι η Γη είναι σφαιρική και κινείται γύρω από τον Ήλιο - ή τόσο ανόητες όσο ότι η Γη είναι επίκεντρο και στηρίζεται σε μία άπειρη σειρά από τραπουλόχαρτα. Ευνόητες ή ανόητες; Μάλινον το χρόνο θα δείξει - α, αυτό που ονομάζεται "χρόνος".
Appendix 2: Morgan Matroc

Languages: English (original), French, German, Italian, Spanish.
Description: A company brochure entitled ‘Technical Ceramics’. The company, Morgan Matroc Limited, is based in the UK, with branches in the United States, France, Germany, Italy, and Spain. Morgan Matroc specializes in ceramic products for a wide range of applications which include electronics, defence, and domestic appliances. These are described in the glossy brochure of which the extract quoted here forms the first page.

ENGLISH TEXT

Today people are aware that modern ceramic materials offer unrivalled properties for many of our most demanding industrial applications. So is this brochure necessary, isn't the ceramic market already over-bombarded with technical literature. Why should Matroc add more?

Because someone mumbles, 'our competitors do it.' But why should we imitate our competitors when Matroc probably supplies a greater range of ceramic materials for more applications than any other manufacturer.

And yet there are some customers who in their search for a suitable material prefer to study complex tables of technical data. It is for such customers that we have listed the properties of Matroc's more widely used materials. Frankly however without cost guides which depend so much on shape such an exercise is of limited value.

There are others in the market place who simply want to know more about us and what we are doing. For them we offer illustrated commentaries on Matroc applications in many market sectors—from gas heaters to medical implants.

And finally there is a third class of customer who knows that a brief telephone conversation with a skilled Matroc engineer and the subsequent follow-up are more effective than 50 pages of technical data—such customers are our life blood—as we are theirs.

For them this brochure is unnecessary.

Matroc like other Morgan subsidiaries acknowledges that customers and engineers will have a variety of approaches to problem solving. We hope that this publication will aid that process. We have no doubt about the most effective route however and suggest that the starting point should be the list of telephone numbers and addresses on the final page of this brochure.

Managing Director
Morgan Matroc Limited
Heutzutage sind sich Fachleute völlig darüber einig, daß moderne Keramikwerkstoffe unerreichbare Eigenschaften für viele der anspruchsvollsten industriellen Anwendungen bieten.
Wir haben uns daher gefragt, ob bei der Flut von technischer Literatur, die derzeit auf den Keramikmarkt einwirkt, dieser Katalog noch eine entsprechende Resonanz finden wird. Sollte Matroc das Seine noch hinzutun?
Einerseits meint so mancher "das ist doch branchenüblich" aber erreichen wir andererseits unsere Kunden, indem wir anderen nachleihern?

Schließlich bietet Matroc ein großes Keramiksortiment für mehr Anwendungen als manche andere Unternehmen.
Nun gibt es Kunden, die es auf der Suche nach geeigneten Werkstoffen vorziehen, umfangreiche technische Datenblätter zu studieren. Für solche Kunden haben wir die Eigenschaften der gängigsten Matroc Werkstoffe aufgelistet.
Allerdings muß man bedenken, daß bei solchem Vorgehen ohne Kostenerfahrung nur eine begrenzte Aussagefähigkeit zu erwarten ist, denn der Fertigungsaufwand hängt wesentlich von der Geometrie der Teile ab.
Da gibt es andere in dem Markt, die einfach nur wissen wollen, was wir machen. Zu diesem Zweck haben wir illustrierte Kommentare aus den verschiedensten Marktberichten von Matroc ausgewählt, von Gasheizgeräten bis hin zu medizinischen Implantaten.
Letzlich gibt es für uns noch eine dritte Gruppe von Kunden, die wissen, daß ein kurzer Telefonanruf mit einem erfahrenen Matroc Techniker und der dann folgenden systematischen Bearbeitung wesentlich mehr bringt, als 50 Seiten technischer Daten.
Auf solchen Kunden fußt unsere Existenz und umgekehrt.

Was bringt diesen Leuten noch eine Broschüre?

Nun, Matroc wie andere Morgan Tochterunternehmen bestätigen, daß Kunden und Techniker in der Regel mehrere Wege zur Problemlösung beschreiten.
Wir hoffen, daß diese Publikation diesem Zweck dienlich ist. Allerdings haben wir eine feste Vorstellung über den effektivsten Weg und empfehlen bei Projektbeginn unbedingt die Liste mit den Telefonnummern und der Adressen auf der letzten Seite dieser Broschüre einzusehen.

Geschäftsführer
Morgan Matroc Limited
Appendix 3: China’s Panda Reserves

Languages: English (original), Chinese.
Description: A World Wide Fund for Nature text used to accompany a slide show. Each numbered sentence or group of sentences goes with a specific slide (a total of sixty slides). Extracts cited in the body of the book are given below. Original numbering is retained. The Chinese translation was submitted in handwritten form.

ENGLISH TEXT

1. China’s panda reserves.

2. An adult panda munches bamboo. This attractive black and white mammal has widespread human appeal and has become a symbol for conservation efforts both within China and internationally as the symbol of the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF).

3. Today there may be no more than 1000 giant pandas left in the wild, restricted to a few mountain strongholds in the Chinese provinces of Sichuan, Shaanxi and Gansu.

4. For most people their only chance of seeing a giant panda is in a zoo. These young pandas in Beijing Zoo are great crowd pullers.

5. The panda is something of a zoological mystery. Its closest relative is the smaller red panda with whom it shares its range. The red panda’s striking appearance indicates the close relationship between pandas and the raccoon family.

6. There is also strong evidence, however, that giant pandas are related to the bears. This Asiatic black bear shares the panda’s range in China.

7. The panda’s mountain home is wet and lush. Today pandas are only found at high altitude, wandering the broadleaf forests and subalpine woodlands.
9 Only occasionally are they found in the lower mixed broadleaf forests for these are the areas most accessible to and disturbed by Man.

10 The panda’s mountain home is rich in plant life and gave us many of the trees, shrubs and herbs most prized in European gardens. Species like this mountain rhododendron were collected by 19th century botanists and shipped back to Europe for horticultural collections.

17 The adult pandas at the centre sleep in cages and are fed a well-balanced and nutritious diet; many have been nursed back to health from the brink of starvation. They also have access to a large outdoor compound where they can roam among natural vegetation in semi-wild conditions.

47 Many of the species growing wild here are familiar to us as plants cultivated in European gardens – species like this exotic lily.

54 The serow, a type of wild mountain goat, is very much at home among the rocky outcrops of Sichuan.

60 The Chinese people have already made substantial efforts to protect the giant panda, which is considered to be a national treasure. Nevertheless we are at a critical time for this species. Without immediate and effective protection and management of the giant panda and its remaining habitat this will become an increasingly rare sight – a loss both for China and the whole world.

CHINESE TEXT

1 中国的熊猫保护区（标题）

2 一只成年大熊猫在咀嚼竹子。这一吸引人的黑白哺乳动物为人们所广泛地喜爱。作为世界自然基金会（WWF）的标志，熊猫已经成为中国向国际性自然保护努力的象征。

3 今天，仍处于野生状态的大熊猫可能只有一个，仅限于中国的四川、陕西和甘肃省的一些山区。

4 对大多数人来说，能看到大熊猫的唯一机会便是在动物园里。北京动物园里的这些幼熊猫吸引着大量的观众。
熊猫可以被称为动物学里的一个谜。其最近的亲属是与其分享活动领域的更小的红熊猫。红熊猫引人注目的外貌表明了熊猫与浣熊科之间的紧密联系。

但是，也有强有力的证据表明大熊猫与熊有亲属关系。这一亚洲黑熊分享熊猫在中国境内的活动区域。

熊猫的栖息地是潮湿、茂盛的。今天，只有在高海拔地区才有熊猫常驻于落叶森林和亚高山的林地之中。

偶尔也见于低地地区的混合落叶森林之中，因为这些地区是人类最容易进入、干扰最多的地方。

熊猫的山区觅食地有着丰富的植物种类，有着欧洲园林所珍视的许多树木、灌木和草本植物的种类。像达尔文在该地区的十九世纪的植物学家所采集，然后运回欧洲作为园艺收藏品。

该中心的成年熊猫睡于笼子里，供应的食物新鲜、营养丰富；许多熊猫已从病死的边缘被护理恢复了健康。它的面前有一个大型的户外院子，可以模拟半野生状态的自然植物中。

这里野生的许多种类我们也熟悉，是欧洲园林内种植的种类——像这一稀有的百合花种类。

喜马拉雅羚羊，是野生山羊的一种，在四川的多山断层露头之间十分自在。

中国人民已经做了许多工作来保护被视为国宝的大熊猫。但是，我们还处在熊猫生死存亡的关键时刻。如果没有对大熊猫及其依赖的栖息地进行及时的、有效的保护和管理，这样的景象就将会越来越难以看到——这对中国和整个世界都将是个损失。
Appendix 4: The Patrick Collection

Languages: English (original), French, German, Italian, Japanese. Description: a compact leaflet available at the Patrick Collection, a privately owned motor museum with restaurant and conference facilities in Britain (Birmingham). The translated versions are for the benefit of tourists visiting the museum.
THE ALEXICK HALL

Step back in time in the Alexick Hall, where you'll find the history of the motor car traced through the ages and where authentic period sets reflect its importance and effect on society. This is your chance to remember the way things were, and for younger visitors to see in real-life detail the way their parents, and their parents before them lived and travelled.

THE MANSELL HALL

In the Mansell Hall — named after Britain's race ace Nigel Mansell, who opened the Hall in 1986 — there's a unique display of eighties supercars. From Group B rally cars and the extremely rare Aston Martin Zagato to Mansell's JPS Lotus, you'll find vehicles that represent the pinnacle of achievement in automotive design and technology — the world's finest cars produced this decade.

RESTAURANTS AND GARDENS

The Patrick Collection has restaurant facilities to suit every taste — from the discerning gourmet, to the Cream Tea expert.

Overlooking beautifully landscaped terraced gardens, the elegant Lombard Room Restaurant offers a tempting selection of the finest, fresh seasonal produce, superb wines and first class service. You can even dine 'alfresco' in the summer on our open air terrace.

Morning coffee and traditional cream teas are served in the conservatory. Hot and cold food and drinks can be found in the Hornet's Nest, overlooking the Alexick Hall. Weather permitting, additional catering facilities are available in the terraced gardens during the summer.
アレクシック・ホール

アレクシック・ホールで昔をふり返って見て下さい。ここでは自動車の歴史が時代をさかのぼって見られ、本物の時代背景セットがその重要さと社会に及ぼした影響を反映しています。これは昔を思い出す機会であり、又より若い訪問者にとっては、両親や祖父母がどのように生活し旅をしたかを詳しく現実的に見られるチャンスです。

マンセル・ホール

マンセル・ホール（英国のレースのエースであるナイジェル・マンセルにちなんでつけられた名で、彼は1986年にこのホールを開館しました。）では、1980年代のスーパーカーのユニークな展示が見られます。グループBラリー・カーや非常にまれなアストン・マーチン・サーガートからマンセルのJPSロータスに至るまで、自動車デザインとテクノロジー達成の頂点を代表する車（この10年間に生産された世界で最も優れた車種）を見出せることができます。

レストランと庭園

バトリック・コレクションは、認識の敏いグルメからクリーム菓子とお茶の専門店に至るまであらゆる好みに会ったレストラン施設を有しています。美しく造園された段庭を観おろしながらエレガントなロンドン・ルーム・レストランは、最新の新鮮な季節の食材、最上等のワイン、そして第一流のサービスを提供します。夏には野外のテラスで「アルフレッソ」式に食事することもできます。朝のコーヒーと伝統的午後のお茶とクリーム菓子類はコンサーヴァトリー(温室)で楽しめます。ホット/コールド・フードと飲み物はホーネット・ネストで、アレクシック・ホールを見おろしながら楽しめます。夏の間は天候が良ければ段庭で追加的なまかないサービスが提供されます。
Appendix 5: A Study of Shamanistic Practices in Japan

Languages: English (original), Japanese.
Description: A book which investigates the cult and practice of the shamans. The shamans are men and women who claim to have special powers of healing and clairvoyance.

ENGLISH TEXT


The shamanic practices we have investigated are rightly seen as an archaic mysticism. On the basis of the world view uncovered by the shaman's faculties, with its vision of another and miraculous plane which could interact causally with our own, the more advanced mystical intuitions of esoteric Buddhism were able to develop.

Today, however, this world view is fast disappearing. The vision of another plane utterly different from our own, ambivalent, perilous and beyond our control, has faded. Instead the universe has become one-dimensional; there is no barrier to be crossed, no mysteriously other kind of being to be met and placated. The storms, droughts, sicknesses, fires which used to be laid at the door of kami, ancestors, foxes and ghosts, are now believed to lie within the competence and control of man. Even those forces that are not yet directly within his control are believed to be potentially so; their causes are discoverable by ordinary human faculties ungifted with sacred power. The mystery and ambivalent peril which surrounded the holy has gone, and with it the barrier which divided sacred from profane.

(p. 315)
我々が探究してきたシャーマン的行行為は、古代の神祕主義として、考察されるべきものであろう。シャーマンの機能によって覆いをとられた世界観を基盤にし、日常の世界と因果的に影響し合うことができる他界の、奇跡的な次元の幻影を伴って、より進歩した密教の秘教的な組織が発展し得た。

しかしながら今日、この世界観は急速に消滅しつつある。日常のものとはまったく異なる、両価的な、危険な、そして我々の統制を越えた他の平面の幻想は色褪せてしまった。それに代わって宇宙は一次元的となった。そこには越えるべき障壁もなく、神祕的な異種の生きものに出合い、なんめることもない。かつては神、祖先、狐、幽鬼に責任を負わされていた嵐、旱魃、病気、火事などは、今や人間の管理と統制下にあるものと信じられている。まだ直接には統御できない力さえも、可能性としてはできるものと思われている。すなわち、それらの原因は、霊力に恵まれていない普通の人によって発見可能であるとされている。聖なるものをより囲んでいた秘教と両価的な危険は、俗から聖をへだてていた障壁と共に去ってしまった。

(p. 306)
Appendix 6: Palace and Politics in Prewar Japan

Languages: English (original), Japanese.
Description: A study of the prewar imperial institution in Japan.

ENGLISH TEXT


Extract 1
If the personality and the policy preferences of the Japanese emperor were not very relevant to prewar politics, social forces certainly were. There are two reasons for giving them only the most tangential treatment here. First, this study simply had to be controlled in scope. Obviously not everything relevant to Japanese political development could be encompassed. Second, I do not think we have fully understood what these new social forces were pitted against politically. I hope that by analyzing the core institution in the prewar political process 'from above', this study will aid our understanding of the forces 'from below'.

(p. 11)

Extract 2
The heads of the ministries created in 1869 were not directly responsible for 'advising and assisting' (hōhitsu) the emperor, though they were to become so in 1889. According to the 1871 reorganization of the ministries, for example, the privilege of assisting the throne directly was in theory limited to the Chancellor (Dajō Daijin), Minister of the Left (Sa Daijin), Minister of the Right (U Daijin), and the Councillors (Sangi).

(p. 17)
Extract 3
When they appeared before the emperor, Senior Jiho Sasaki led the remonstrations: if the emperor did not familiarize himself with foreign and domestic trends, the whole work of the Restoration would collapse. All of the Jiho spoke to the same effect. Komeda Torao, Jiho of the third rank, was the most blunt: ‘If in the past [Your Majesty] had shown as much care for politics as he had passion for horsemanship, no such criticism from the public as “politics by two or three Ministers” would have occurred.’

(p. 20)

JAPANESE TEXT

Reference: デイビット・タイタス(1979) 日本の天皇政治
宮中の役割の研究 東京：サイマル出版会 大谷堅志郎訳

Extract 1:

だが、日本の天皇の人柄や政策上の好みが戦前の政治に大した関係がなかったとしても、社会勢力の側は明らかにそうではない。社会勢力に対して本書がわずかにふれる程度の扱いしかなかったのは、二つの理由がある。第一は、研究範囲を抑えねばならなかった、という単純な理由である。日本の政治的発展に関係のあることすべてをとりあげる、などというのは明らかに不可能事である。

第二には、著者が、これまでわれわれ的には、そうした新しい社会勢力が政治上ではいったい何と対抗していたのかがまだよくわかっていない、と考えたからである。

この研究は、戦前の「上から」の政治プロセスの中で中核をなしていった制度の分析であるにすぎないが、この分析によって「下から」の勢力についての理解も進むであろう、と期待している。 (p.15)

Extract 2:

明治二年につくられた諸省の長は、天皇「輔弼」の直接責任者ではない。そうなったのは明治二二年なのである。たとえば、明治四年の官制改革によれば、理論上、天皇を直接補佐する特権は、太政大臣、左大臣、右大臣、それに参議たちに限られていた。 (p.23)
侍補たちは天皇に抗議し、上席者の佐々木が口火を切ってこう諫言した。陛下が内外の動きに精通しておられないようでは、維新の大業の全体が崩壊してしまうであろう、というのである。侍補たち全員が同じ趣旨を奏上した。いちばんむきつけだったのは、三等侍補の米田虎雄だった。「平素御馬術を好ませたもうほどに政治上に意図を注がせたまわば、今日のごとく世上より二三大臣の政治どまいわるることはあるまじくとついに苦慮つかまつりおれり」(津田茂雄『明治聖上と臣高行』)。
Appendix 7: The Fix

Languages: English (original), Japanese.
Description: A book which investigates drug-trafficking throughout the world.

ENGLISH TEXT


Enforcement officials – particularly the front-line US Customs Service — have produced a series of recognisable profiles in order to identify and intercept drug runners. It is a system that works particularly well with the Yakuza because of the bizarre but rigid code of ethics by which the Japanese Mafia conducts itself, quite different from any other criminal society in the world. It concerns fingers, or rather the lack of them. And tattoos. All-over tattoos. These provide clues to recognition that the authorities pursue relentlessly because of the growing belief throughout American and European control agencies that the Yakuza have the potential to take over and run the entire South-east Asian drug distribution network.

(p. 142)

JAPANESE TEXT

Reference: ブライアン・フリーマントル(1985) FIX ー世産麻薬コネクション ー 東京: 新潮社 新庄哲夫訳

アメリカの取締当局は、とくに合衆国関税局の第一線は、麻薬の運び屋(ランナー)を識別、摘発するために一種の手配表帖を作成してきた。日本をのヤクザを対象とした場合に、ことのほか有効なシステムである。日本のマフィアが自からに誇っている不気味だが厳格なヤクザ道の戦は、世界的にいかなる犯罪社会のそれとも異なっているからだ。それは指と、つまり指
の欠損と関連する。さらに刺青とも。全身に刺青をするのである。これら
の特徴が識別の重要な手掛りとなって、関係当局者は油断なく目を光らせ
ている。なぜかといえば、欧米の麻薬取締機関のあいだで、日本のヤクザ
が東南アジア全体の麻薬流通網を乗取り、運営していく潜在能力があると
いう見方が高まっているためだ。 (p.163)
Appendix 8: Euralex conference circular

Languages: English, German, French, Russian.
Description: A first circular giving details of a conference on lexicography and calling for papers.

ENGLISH TEXT

Ms. Judit Zigány
Akadémiai Kiadó
1363 Budapest
P.O. Box 24
Hungary

Budapest, 20 May 1987

First Circular

CALL FOR PAPERS

Papers are invited for the
EURALEX Third International Congress
4-9 September 1988
Budapest, Hungary.

Papers are invited on all aspects of lexicography, theoretical and practical, diachronic and synchronic. The main fields of interest reflected in the Congress programme will be:

- general (monolingual or bilingual), computational, terminological and specialized translation lexicography.

Papers relating to the lesser-known languages will be particularly welcome.
The format of the Congress will embrace plenary sessions, symposia, section meetings, workshop sessions, project reports and demonstrations of computational and other work; there will also be ample time for discussion.

Individual presentations should be timed to last 20 minutes, with a discussion period to follow.

Abstracts (approximately 1,000 words) in any of the Congress languages, English, French, German or Russian, should be sent to the Lecture Programme Organizer, Dr. Tamás Magay, at the above address by 15 November 1987. A response will be sent before the end of February 1988. Any other correspondence should be addressed to the Congress Organizer, Ms. Judit Zsigány.

It is confidently expected that a volume of collected papers from this Congress will subsequently be published by the Akadémiai Kiadó in Budapest.

This Congress will, like its predecessors at Exeter and Zürich, be a meeting place for lexicographers, academics and publishers. It will also offer a unique opportunity for participants from the East and from the West to strengthen professional and personal contacts and thus to lay the foundations of further exchanges and cooperation in the future.

We look forward to seeing you at BUDALEX '88.

---

**RUSSIAN TEXT**

Гл. редактор
Юдит Зигань
Издательство Академии наук ВНР
1363 Будапешт
п/я 24
Венгрия

Будапешт, 20 мая 1987 г.

Первый циркуляр

Приглашаем принять участие на
Третьем международном конгрессе EURALEX
в Будапеште (ВНР)
4-9 сентября 1988 г.

Тематика конгресса охватывает все важнейшие аспекты лексикографии. Особое внимание мы намереваемся обратить на следующие области лексикографической науки:

- общая (одно- и двуязычная) лексикография,
- компьютерная лексикография,
- терминологическая и специальная лексикография.
Мы намереваемся отдельно обсудить вопросы соотношения так называемых «малых», т.е. менее распространенных и «больших», т.е. более распространенных языков.

В рамках конгресса мы намереваемся проводить пленарные заседания, симпозиумы, рабочие заседания, а также обсудить аннотации проектов. Кроме того состоятся заседания секций конгресса и демонстрации использования компьютерной техники в лексикографии.

Предусмотренная длительность индивидуальных докладов составляет 20 минут, не учитывая дополнительных выступлений и дискуссий.

Краткие конспекты рефератов (с объемом до 1000 слов или до 100 строк) просим до 15 ноября 1987 г. на любом из официальных языков конгресса, т.е. на русском, английском, немецком или французском языках, высылать по вышеуказанному адресу главному координатору конгресса Юдит Эганд или научному организатору конгресса д-ру Тамашу Махан. Последующую корреспонденцию просим адресовать гл. редактору Юдит Эганд.

Издательство Академии наук ВНР намеревается издать в форме сборника весь научный материал конгресса.

Надеемся, что этот конгресс, как и его предшественники в Эксетере и Цюрихе, станет не только местом встречи лексикографов, филологов и работников издательств, но и на то, что участникам, прибывшим с востока и запада, предоставится возможность посредством личных и профессиональных контактов положить основы дальнейшего сотрудничества.

До встречи на BUDALEX '88
Languages: English (original), Arabic.
Description: A press release issued by Brintons Limited (carpet manufacturers) to coincide with the Gulf Fair, Dubai, April 1986. The text was included in an information pack and handed out to visitors at the Brintons stand.

**ENGLISH TEXT**

Brintons have been manufacturing fine quality woven carpet for over 200 years. They are a privately owned company specialising in Axminster and Wilton Carpets, using wool-rich blends. They have a totally integrated operation from the preparation of the yarn through to the weaving process. All their products are made on looms designed and built by their own engineers and recognised as the most technically superior weaving plant in the World. Brintons are one of the largest weavers with a production capacity in excess of 100,000 square metres per week.

The recently introduced New Tradition Axminster range is already creating great interest and will be on display at the Exhibition. New Tradition offers a fascinating series of traditional patterns in miniature using rich jewel-like colours that glow against dark backgrounds, suitable for a wide variety of heavy wear locations from hotels, restaurants and leisure areas to high quality residential situations.

The successful Finesse and Palace Design qualities will also be displayed. Both carpets have geometrically styled designs suitable for both residential and contract use. Palace Design also incorporates a border and plain range in complementary colours.

Other Brintons products suitable for the commercial world, such
as Bell Twist, Heather Berber, Broadloop, Bell Trinity and Trident Tile will also be on display.

Brintons will be delighted to solve any carpeting problems as special designs and qualities can be produced for minimum quantities. Their standard range of colours offers over 200 possibilities for the discerning designer to select from.

**ARABIC TEXT**


Glossary

Note: This glossary is offered as a quick look-up facility. It includes technical terms which are used repeatedly in the book and which can be defined in a straightforward way. Other technical terms which require more involved explanations have not been included. Their definitions can be traced through the subject index.

Words are quoted in block letters in the body of a definition if they appear as separate entries.

**active**  See voice.

**adjunct**  A word or group of words added to a clause to give more information about the circumstances of an event or situation, usually in terms of time, place, or manner, e.g. *I've known him for years*.

**anaphora**  The use of a word or group of words to refer back to someone or something that has already been mentioned in a text, e.g. *The Chancellor remarked that he had no inferiority complex about the Soviet Union*.

**aspect**  A grammatical category which involves using affixes and/or changing the form of the verb to indicate the temporal distribution of an event, for example whether an event is completed, whether it is momentary or continuous.

**case**  A grammatical category which indicates the function of a noun or noun group in a clause. For example, in Arabic *al-waladu* is in the nominative case (usually indicating that it is subject of the clause), and *al-walada* is in the accusative case (usually indicating that it is the object of the verb). In some languages, such as English, similar functions are indicated mainly by word order.

**clause**  A group of words which form a grammatical unit containing a subject and a verb.

**coherence**  The network of semantic relations which organize and create a text by establishing continuity of sense.
cohesion The network of lexical, grammatical, and other relations which provide formal links between various parts of a text.
collocation The tendency of certain words to co-occur regularly in a given language.
colloquial restrictions Semantically arbitrary restrictions which do not logically follow from the PROPOSITIONAL MEANING of a word (cf. SELECTIONAL RESTRICTIONS).
complement A noun group or adjective which comes after a link verb such as is, was, or remain and gives more information about the SUBJECT, e.g. *The child looked neglected*.
conjunction A word or phrase which links together two CLAUSES, groups or words. *And, but, and on the other hand* are conjunctions. In the model of COHESION used in this book, conjunction is also the process by which this type of linkage takes place.
dialect A variety of language which has currency within a specific community or group of speakers.
evoked meaning Meaning which arises from variations in DIALECT and REGISTER.
expressive meaning Meaning which relates to the speaker’s feelings or attitude.
gender A grammatical distinction according to which a noun or pronoun (and sometimes an accompanying adjective, verb, or article) are marked as either masculine or feminine in some languages.
genre A set of texts, spoken or written, which are institutionalized in so far as they are considered by a given speech community to be of the same type, for example the genre of political speeches or the genre of editorials.
hyponym A specific word in a SEMANTIC FIELD. In the field of ‘plants’, *conifer* is a hyponym of *tree* and *tree* is a hyponym of *plant* (cf. SUPERORDINATE).
implicature A term used in PRAGMATICS to refer to what the speaker means or implies rather than what s/he literally says.
intransitive verb A verb which does not take an OBJECT, e.g. *The lorry stopped* (cf. TRANSITIVE VERB).
lexical set This term has two meanings. It may refer to the actual words and expressions within a SEMANTIC FIELD. In lexical studies, a lexical set is also used to refer to a list of items which have a like privilege of COLLOCATION, i.e. items which collocate with a specific word or expression.
morpheme The minimal formal element of meaning in language. The word *unhappy* consists of two morphemes: *un-* and *happy*.
morphology The study of word structure, the way in which the form
of a word changes to indicate contrasts in grammatical systems such as tense and gender.

**object** (of verb) A noun or noun group which refers to a person or thing, other than the subject, which is involved in or affected by the action of the verb, e.g. They treated him for a stomach ulcer. The object of an active clause can often be made the subject of a passive clause: He was treated for a stomach ulcer.

**passive** See voice.

**person** A grammatical category which defines participant roles through a closed system of pronouns, such as I, you, he, she, and it in English.

**pragmatics** The study of language in use: of meaning as generated by specific participants in specific communicative situations, rather than meaning as generated by an abstract system of linguistic relations.

**predicator** The verb or verb group in a clause.

**presupposed meaning** Meaning which arises from co-occurrence restrictions, namely selectional restrictions and collocational restrictions.

**propositional meaning** Meaning which arises from the relationship between a word or utterance and what it refers to.

**range** The set of collocates which are typically associated with a given word define its collocational range (see collocation).

**reflexive** Reflexive structures are structures in which the subject and the object of the verb are the same. The object is a reflexive pronoun such as myself or himself, e.g. I blame myself.

**register** A variety of language that a language user considers appropriate to a specific situation.

**selectional restrictions** Restrictions which follow logically from the propositional meaning of words (cf. collocational restrictions).

**semantic fields** Conceptual fields which reflect the divisions and subdivisions imposed by a given linguistic community on the continuum of experience, e.g. the field of ‘plants’ with sub-divisions such as ‘flowers’, ‘shrubs’, and ‘trees’.

**subject** The noun or noun group which comes in front of the verb group in English and with which the verb agrees in terms of number and person, e.g. He had always liked her.

**superordinate** A general word in a semantic field. The meaning of a superordinate includes the meaning of all its hyponyms. In the field of ‘trees’, tree is the superordinate of conifer, oak, maple, and so on (cf. hyponym).

**syntax** The study of the way in which classes of words such as nouns and verbs and functional elements such as subject and object combine to form clauses and sentences.
tense  A grammatical category which involves changing the form of the verb to reflect the location of an event in time. The usual distinction is between past, present, and future.

transitive verb  A verb which takes an object, e.g. Everyone put their pens down (cf. intransitive verb).

voice  A grammatical category which defines the relationship between a verb and its subject. In an active clause, the subject is the agent, e.g. He never writes letters. In a passive clause, the subject is the person or thing affected by the action, e.g. Letters are never written in this way. The difference is reflected in the form of the verb (cf. writes and are written in the above examples).
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119, 121, 125, 136, 144–60, 161, 172–4, 179–80, 224; see also marked information structure

information unit 145, 159, 163

informative verbs 100

instantial meaning 205–6

Institute of Translation and Interpreting (ITI) 1–2, 22, 45, 55, 81

intonation 136, 147, 151, 157, 179, 227

ITI see Institute of Translation and Interpreting

it-structures 135, 139, 178; see also cleft structures

known 120, 136, 144; see also given

lexical: categories see categories;

chain 202, 204, 206–7; cohesion 180, 202–11, 214; meaning 12–17, 44, 47; set 18–19, 45, 67, 203

lexicalized 21, 37–8

lexis 45, 112, 116, 256

linear dislocation 167

loan word 25, 34–6, 39, 207, 244

local interpretation, principle of 254, 259

manner see conversational maxims

marked information structure 148,

150, 156–60, 174

marked rhyme 157–60; function of 158

marked theme 123, 129–40, 157, 165, 179; function of 131–32

markedness (marked/unmarked) 81, 90–91, 94, 117, 119, 129–31, 142,
145, 172, 175; in FSP 165–6; see also collocational markedness maxims see conversational maxims mode 16, 45
modes of address 96, 241, 243–4
mood 109, 130, 179
morpheme 11–12, 22, 142; see also affix, prefix, suffix
morphemic 166–7
morphology/morphological 8, 22, 83–4, 87, 210
motivation 249–51, 260

neologisms 12
new 120, 125, 136, 144–5, 153,
155–61, 163, 166, 179; signalling of 147–52
nominalization 136, 169–71
number 5, 82–5, 87–90, 95, 127, 176,
182–3, 189–90

object 84, 110, 117–18, 121, 166,
168, 176, 178; as theme 123, 129,
133–4
obligatory 84, 98, 103, 129, 144, 169
omission 40–2, 77–8, 86, 142–3, 159,
185–7, 248
optional 84, 86, 99, 117, 159, 178

paraphrase 24, 37–40, 42–3, 60,
74–7, 79, 196, 207, 210; see also
circumlocution
passive 94, 102–9, 115–16, 118, 131,
134, 147, 167–9, 176
person 82, 90–1, 93, 94–8, 118, 125,
149, 152, 176, 183, 185
politeness see conversational maxims
postposed theme 139–40, 178
pragmatic(s) 5, 113, 196, 217, 226–7,
248, 259
Prague School 121, 123, 129, 131,
140, 160, 165–6, 179
predicated theme 132, 135–9, 149,
171, 178
predicator 84, 110, 117–18, 139, 176;
as theme 123, 129, 131, 134–5
prefix 24
preposed theme 139–40
presupposed meaning 13, 14–15, 47
presupposition 259

prominence 131–2, 134, 136, 147,
158
pronominal(ization) 92, 95–6, 151,
153, 183, 185, 189–90, 203,
211–12, 215, 220
pronoun 84, 90–2, 94–8, 118, 125,
127–8, 149, 152, 164, 181–5, 190,
202, 215, 241, 243
propositional meaning 13–14, 17,
19–20, 23–5, 28–9, 31, 36, 39–40,
47, 59, 90, 123
pseudo-cleft 136, 149–50, 171 see also wh-structures
punctuation 151, 156, 158–9, 179,
193, 211, 215

quality see conversational maxims
quantity see conversational maxims

range see collocational range
reference 143, 180, 181–6, 187,
189–90, 197, 202–3, 211–13, 216,
220, 228–32, 244, 246, 250
reflexive 103, 106, 116, 118
register 13, 15–17, 43, 52–3, 64, 72,
102–3
reiteration 203–4, 207, 210–11
relation/relevance see conversational maxims
repetition 150, 157–8, 183, 185, 190,
203–5, 207, 210–12, 216, 220, 236
rhematic 119, 129, 131–2, 136, 140, 144, 148–9, 156,
158, 160–6, 178–9; Hallidayan 121–4; see also marked rHEME

selectional restrictions 14
semantic field 18–20, 22, 26, 28, 44,
129

speech act theory 259

stress 136, 147, 149–51, 157, 165
subject 85, 94, 102–3, 107, 110,
117–18, 121, 124, 135, 139, 142–3,
146–7, 158, 166, 168–9, 176, 178,
185–6, 189–90; as theme 123, 129,
130–1, 141; as marked theme 135,
178

subject-prominent 141, 167
substitution 178, 180, 186–8, 190,
211
subject index

suffix 24, 35, 86, 87, 90, 101, 142, 144, 189-90, 215
superordinate 20, 22, 26, 28, 36, 38, 183, 203-4, 207
syntax/syntactic 8, 83-4, 110, 141-3, 146, 148-52, 160, 164, 166-9, 171-3, 179, 216, 250, 252, 256
system see grammatical system

tenor 16, 23, 98
tense 12, 84, 98-102, 170, 211, 239
textual 5-6, 111-14
text type 6, 85, 109, 113-14, 183, 190, 197, 222; see also genre
thematic choice 129, 131
thematic structure 113, 119, 121-41, 144-5, 151, 156, 159-66, 169, 171-4, 179-80, 223, 240; and grammaticality 124-5; and markedness 129-40; and text organization 125-9
thematization 122, 127, 131-2, 134, 136, 139, 141, 163, 171, 179
theme 119, 125-41, 148-9, 156-8, 160-6, 178-89, 185; and Chinese-style topic 141-4;
Hallidayan 121-4; see also fronted, identifying, marked, preposed, postposed theme
tone/tonic 147-8, 156, 158-9
topic (Chinese-style) 141-4, 185
topicalization 142
topic-prominent 141, 142-4, 167
transition 162, 164
Translators' Guild 64, 66, 69, 79

wh-structures 136; see also pseudocleft structures
word 10-12
word order 5, 110, 117, 119, 136-7, 139-41, 152, 160, 166-7, 171-2, 176, 178

voice 94, 102-9, 118, 167-8
‘Essential reading for anyone who teaches translation whether at a theoretical or practical level.’

David Harris, Vice President of ITI

‘Thorough, very well written in a highly accessible style, and contains material of considerable interest. The varied and excellent examples are a mine of information, the exercises are valuable, and the attitude towards translation is sensibly undogmatic.’

Peter Fawcett, University of Bradford

‘A remarkable down-to-earth course-book which is consistently related to real life experience in the translating world.’

Lanna Castellano, Translator

This book addresses the need for a systematic approach to training in translation studies by drawing on key areas in modern linguistic theory and relating them systematically to a number of translation problems and strategies. The strategies are identified by an examination of authentic examples of translated texts in a variety of languages. No knowledge of linguistic or foreign languages is assumed. Each chapter begins with an explanation of the key linguistic concepts referred to and ends with a series of practical exercises. By striking a balance between theory and practice, the book provides a sound basis for training professional translators.
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